

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

MAZ ENCRYPTION TECHNOLOGIES LLC,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	C.A. No. 13-306-LPS
)	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY, AND)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
HEWLETT-PACKARD DEVELOPMENT)	
COMPANY, L.P.)	
Defendant.		

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

This is an action for patent infringement in which Plaintiff MAZ Encryption Technologies LLC (“MAZ” or “Plaintiff”) makes the following allegations against Defendants Hewlett-Packard Company and Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. (collectively, “Defendants”):

BACKGROUND

1. Stephen J. Zizzi is an accomplished electrical engineer and the inventor of United States Patent Nos. 6,185,681 (“681 patent”); and 8,359,476 (“476 patent”) (collectively, the “Asserted Patents”). In 1996, Mr. Zizzi and Chris Mahne, an entrepreneur and co-inventor on another patent, launched MAZ Technologies, Inc. to develop software security products. Mr. Mahne was the President of MAZ Technologies, Inc., and Mr. Zizzi was the Chief Technology Officer. While at MAZ Technologies, Inc., Mr. Zizzi developed novel technologies relating to electronic information and document security using file-level and biometric encryption. The MAZ technology includes, among other things, information security that is transparent and seamless to the users.

PARTIES

2. MAZ is a Delaware limited liability company.

3. On information and belief, Defendant Hewlett-Packard Company is a Delaware corporation with principal office at 3000 Hanover Street, Palo Alto, California. Defendant Hewlett-Packard Company has appointed The Corporation Trust Company Corporation Trust Center 1209 Orange St., Wilmington, DE 19801 as its agent for service of process.

4. On information and belief, Defendant Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. is a Texas limited partnership with principal office at 20555 State Hwy 249, Houston, TX 77070. On information and belief, Defendant Hewlett-Packard Development Company is a wholly owned subsidiary of Defendant Hewlett-Packard Company.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1, *et seq.*, including § 271. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because, among other reasons, Defendants have done business in this District, have committed and continue to commit acts of patent infringement in this District, and have harmed and continue to harm MAZ in this District, by, among other things, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing infringing products and/or services in this District.

7. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)-(d) and 1400(b) because, among other reasons, Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in this District, have committed and continue to commit acts of patent infringement in this District. For

example, on information and belief, Defendants have used, sold, offered for sale, and/or imported infringing products and/or services in this District.

COUNT I
INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,185,681

8. MAZ is the owner by assignment of the ‘681 Patent, entitled “Method Of Transparent Encryption And Decryption For An Electronic Document Management System.” The application for the ‘681 Patent was filed on May 7, 1998. The patent issued on February 6, 2001. The ex parte reexamination certificate for the ‘681 Patent issued on May 23, 2006. A true and correct copy of the ‘681 Patent is attached as **Exhibit A**.

9. Defendants have been and now are directly and/or indirectly infringing the ‘681 Patent literally and/or through the doctrine of equivalents, in this judicial District and elsewhere in the United States, by, among other things, making, using, importing, offering for sale, and/or selling computer encryption products that execute program steps recorded in a computer-readable media to perform a method of encrypting and/or decrypting a selected one of plural electronic documents which is open or is to be opened in an application program. The infringing products and services include, for example, Defendants’ HP-UX 11i Encrypted Volume and File System (EVFS), and Defendants’ products and services incorporating the same, and various versions thereof.

10. On information and belief, Defendants had actual knowledge of the ‘681 Patent at least as early as filing of the original Complaint.

11. MAZ is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendants have contributorily infringed and are currently contributorily infringing the ‘681 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c), by selling or offering for sale to third parties (*e.g.*, Defendants’ customers), in this judicial district and elsewhere throughout the United States, without license or authority

from MAZ, components that embody a material part of the inventions described in the '681 Patent, are known by Defendants to be especially made or especially adapted for use in infringement of the '681 Patent, and are not staple articles or commodities suitable for substantial, non-infringing use, including the infringing products set forth above and their respective components. MAZ is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that these third parties have infringed and will infringe the '681 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by using infringing software and hardware products, including some or all of the infringing products and their respective components.

12. MAZ is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendants have actively induced and are currently inducing the infringement of the '681 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by knowingly and intentionally encouraging or aiding third parties (*e.g.*, Defendants' customers) to use infringing software and hardware products in this judicial district and elsewhere throughout the United States, without license or authority from MAZ, including at least the infringing products set forth above. MAZ is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that these third parties have infringed and will infringe the '681 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by using infringing software and hardware products, including some or all of the infringing products. The Defendants through at least their user manuals, product support and training materials actively induced their customers and users of the infringing products to infringe the '681 Patent.

13. By engaging in the conduct described herein, Defendants have injured MAZ and is thus liable for infringement of the '681 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

14. Defendants have committed these acts of infringement without license or authorization.

15. As a result of Defendants' infringement of the '681 Patent, MAZ has suffered monetary damages and is entitled to a money judgment in an amount adequate to compensate for Defendants' infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the invention by Defendant, together with interest and costs as fixed by the Court. MAZ will continue to suffer damages in the future unless this Court enjoins Defendants' infringing activities.

16. MAZ has also suffered and will continue to suffer severe and irreparable harm unless this Court issues a permanent injunction prohibiting Defendant, its agents, servants, employees, representatives, and all others acting in active concert therewith from infringing the '681 Patent.

COUNT II
INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,359,476

17. MAZ is the owner by assignment of the '476 Patent, entitled "User Authentication System And Method For Encryption And Decryption." The application for the '476 Patent was filed on December 1, 2010. The patent issued on January 22, 2013. A true and correct copy of the '476 Patent is attached as **Exhibit B**.

18. Defendants have been and now are directly and/or indirectly infringing the '476 Patent literally and/or through the doctrine of equivalents, in this judicial District and elsewhere in the United States, by, among other things, making, using, importing, offering for sale, and/or selling bio-metric computer encryption systems configured to authenticate a user for encryption or decryption. The infringing products and services include, for example, Defendants' HP ProtectTools and fingerprint readers, and Defendants' products and services incorporating the same, and various versions thereof.

19. On information and belief, Defendants had actual knowledge of the '476 Patent at least as early as filing of the original Complaint.

20. MAZ is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendants have contributorily infringed and are currently contributorily infringing the '476 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c), by selling or offering for sale to third parties (*e.g.*, Defendants' customers), in this judicial district and elsewhere throughout the United States, without license or authority from MAZ, components that embody a material part of the inventions described in the '476 Patent, are known by Defendants to be especially made or especially adapted for use in infringement of the '476 Patent, and are not staple articles or commodities suitable for substantial, non-infringing use, including the infringing products set forth above and their respective components. MAZ is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that these third parties have infringed and will infringe the '476 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by using infringing software and hardware products, including some or all of the infringing products and their respective components.

21. MAZ is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendants have actively induced and are currently inducing the infringement of the '476 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by knowingly and intentionally encouraging or aiding third parties (*e.g.*, Defendants' customers) to use infringing software and hardware products in this judicial district and elsewhere throughout the United States, without license or authority from MAZ, including at least the infringing products set forth above. MAZ is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that these third parties have infringed and will infringe the '476 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by using infringing software and hardware products, including some or all of the infringing products. The Defendants through at least their user manuals, product support and

training materials actively induced their customers and users of the infringing products to infringe the ‘476 Patent.

22. By engaging in the conduct described herein, Defendants have injured MAZ and are thus liable for infringement of the ‘476 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

23. Defendants have committed these acts of infringement without license or authorization.

24. As a result of Defendants’ infringement of the ‘476 Patent, MAZ has suffered monetary damages and is entitled to a money judgment in an amount adequate to compensate for Defendants’ infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the invention by Defendant, together with interest and costs as fixed by the Court. MAZ will continue to suffer damages in the future unless this Court enjoins Defendants’ infringing activities.

25. MAZ has also suffered and will continue to suffer severe and irreparable harm unless this Court issues a permanent injunction prohibiting Defendant, its agents, servants, employees, representatives, and all others acting in active concert therewith from infringing the ‘476 Patent.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

MAZ respectfully requests that this Court enter:

- A. A judgment in favor of MAZ that Defendants have infringed, directly and/or indirectly, the ‘681 Patent, and the ‘476 Patent (collectively, the “Asserted Patents”) literally and/or through the doctrine of equivalents;
- B. A permanent injunction enjoining Defendants and their officers, directors, agents, servants, affiliates, employees, divisions, branches, subsidiaries, parents, and all

others acting in active concert therewith from infringement of the Asserted Patents, or such other equitable relief the Court determines is warranted;

- C. A judgment and order requiring Defendants to pay MAZ its damages, costs, expenses, and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest for Defendants' infringement of the Asserted Patents as provided under 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- D. A judgment and order finding that this is an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and awarding to MAZ its reasonable attorneys' fees against Defendant;
- E. A judgment and order requiring Defendants to provide an accounting and to pay supplemental damages to MAZ, including without limitation, pre-judgment and post-judgment interest; and
- F. Any and all other relief to which MAZ may be entitled.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

MAZ, under Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, requests a trial by jury of any issues so triable by right.

Dated: May 6, 2013

BAYARD, P.A.

Of Counsel:

/s/ Vanessa R. Tiradentes

Alexander C.D. Giza
C. Jay Chung
RUSS AUGUST & KABAT
12424 Wilshire Boulevard 12th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90025
Telephone: (310) 826-7474
Facsimile: (310) 826-6991
agiza@raklaw.com
jchung@raklaw.com

Richard D. Kirk (#0922)
Stephen B. Brauerman (#4952)
Vanessa R. Tiradentes (#5398)
222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 900
P.O. Box 25130
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 655-5000
rkirk@bayardlaw.com
sbrauerman@bayardlaw.com
vtiradentes@bayardlaw.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiff MAZ Encryption
Technologies LLC*

