IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

INTERNET MEDIA INTERACTIVE CORPORATION,

Civil Action No.:

Plaintiff,

v.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

AARP SERVICES INC.,

Defendant.

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Internet Media Interactive Corporation ("IMIC") makes the following claims for relief against Defendant AARP Services Inc. ("AARP") as follows:

NATURE OF LAWSUIT

1. This is a claim for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code § 1 et seq. This Court has exclusive jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Complaint under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

PARTIES AND PATENT

- 2. Plaintiff IMIC is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 625 Barksdale Professional Center, Suite 113, Newark, Delaware 19711.
- 3. IMIC owns and has all right, title and interest in United States Patent No. 6,049,835 ("the '835 Patent"), entitled "System For Providing Easy Access To The World Wide Web Utilizing A Published List Of Preselected Internet Locations Together With Their Unique Multi-Digit Jump Codes," which issued on April 11, 2000. Hence, IMIC has the exclusive right to license and enforce the '835 Patent and to collect damages for infringement. IMIC, thus, has standing to sue for infringement of the '835 Patent. A copy of the '835 Patent is attached as

Exhibit A. The '835 Patent is generally directed to a system and method for quickly and easily accessing preselected desired addresses or URLs on the Internet.

- 4. AARP is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware with The Corporation Trust Company, Corporation Trust Center, 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19801 as its registered agent. AARP's principal place of business is at 601 East Street NW, Washington, District of Columbia 20049.
- 5. AARP provides and operates the website www.aarp.org and related URLs and also distributes advertisements instructing recipients to enter a code.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. IMIC's claim for patent infringement against AARP arises under the patent laws of the United States including 35 U.S.C. §§271 and 281. Consequently, this Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over this suit pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1338.
- 7. AARP is subject to the specific personal jurisdiction of the Court because it is a Delaware corporation and, among other things, it have committed acts within Delaware and this judicial district giving rise to this action, and it has minimum contacts with the forum such that the exercise of jurisdiction over the Defendant would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice, as shown by, for example, directing advertisements at residents of Delaware.
 - 8. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b).

DEFENDANT'S ACTS OF PATENT INFRINGEMENT

- 9. Defendant publishes ads with an assigned code, referred to as a "QR code."
- 10. For example, Defendant publishes the following ads containing a QR code in AARP Magazine:





- 11. Upon information and belief, Defendant contracts with QRStuff.com (QR Code Generator, Inc.) to create the QR codes included in AARP's ads.
- 12. A unique predetermined multi-digit jump code is encoded in the "QR codes" published by Defendant.
- 13. Each QR code corresponds to a preselected Internet location, including, for example, http://www.aarp.org/entertainment/television/info-06-2013/kathie-lee-hoda-kotb-today-show-video.html?cmp=ATM-QR-JUN13-LEEHODA and http://www.aarp.org/entertainment/leisure-activities/sweeps.html?CMP=PRC-ATM-THUNTSWPS.
- 14. Each QR code published in the AARP ads contains a predetermined Internet location like, for example, qrs.ly.
 - 15. qrs.ly has software for capturing the multi-digit jump code.
- 16. By publishing the QR codes, Defendant induces users to scan the QR codes using a smartphone scanning application and thereby access the predetermined Internet location, qrs.ly.

- 17. After qrs.ly is accessed, the user, through use of a smartphone scanner application, enters the multi-digit jump code at qrs.ly.
- 18. QRStuff.com (QR Code Generator, Inc.) receives the jump code entered at the qrs.ly website.
- 19. QRStuff.com (QR Code Generator, Inc.) converts the jump code to a URL addresses corresponding to the AARP website, such as http://www.aarp.org/entertainment/television/info-06-2013/kathie-lee-hoda-kotb-today-show-video.html?cmp=ATM-QR-JUN13-LEEHODA or http://www.aarp.org/entertainment/leisure-activities/sweeps.html?CMP=PRC-ATM-THUNTSWPS.
- 20. Thereafter, QRStuff.com (QR Code Generator, Inc.) automatically accesses said AARP websites.
- 21. Defendant has knowingly induced and continues to knowingly induce infringement of at least claim 11 of the '835 Patent within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by contracting for services with QRStuff.com (QR Code Generator, Inc.), thereby inducing QRStuff.com (QR Code Generator, Inc.) to perform the steps of at least claim 11 of the '835 Patent identified above, and, through advertisements, inducing users to perform any remaining steps of claim 11 of the '835 Patent with a smartphone scanning application, as specified above.
- 22. AARP has had knowledge of the '835 Patent since at least as early as July 11, 2013, when IMIC informed AARP of its infringement by letter, and at least as of the filing date of this Complaint.
- 23. Such infringing activities have damaged IMIC. IMIC is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate it for such infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty.

24. Defendant's infringement has injured and will continue to injure IMIC, unless and until this Court enters an injunction prohibiting further infringement of the '835 Patent.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, IMIC asks this Court to enter judgment against Defendant and against its subsidiaries, affiliates, agents, servants, employees and all persons in active concert or participation with it, granting the following relief:

- A. An award of damages adequate to compensate IMIC for the infringement that has occurred, together with prejudgment interest from the date infringement of the '835 Patent began;
 - B. An award to IMIC of all remedies available under 35 U.S.C. § 284;
 - C. An award to IMIC of all remedies available under 35 U.S.C. § 285;
- D. A permanent injunction under 35 U.S.C. § 283 prohibiting further infringement of the '835 Patent; and
 - E. Such other and further relief as this Court or a jury may deem proper and just.

JURY DEMAND

IMIC demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: March 18, 2014

Of Counsel: Timothy J. Haller Ashley E. LaValley NIRO, HALLER & NIRO 181 West Madison Street, Suite 4600 Chicago, IL 60602

Phone: (312) 236-0733
Fax: (312) 236-3137
haller@nshn.com
alavalley@nshn.com

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ George Pazuniak

George Pazuniak (DE Bar No. 00478) O'KELLY ERNST & BIELLI, LLC 901 North Market Street, Suite 1000

Wilmington, DE 19801 Phone: (302) 478-4230 Fax: (302) 295-2873 gp@del-iplaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Internet Media Interactive Corporation