1 2 3 4	H.H. (Shashi) Kewalramani (SBN 26 LEE JORGENSEN PYLE & KEWA 440 W. 1st Street, Suite 205 Tustin, CA 92780 Telephone: (714) 252-6611 Facsimile: (714) 602-4690 Email: shashi@ljpklaw.com	2290) LRAMANI, PC		
5	JONATHAN T. SUDER (<i>Pro Hac Vice To Be Filed</i>) BRETT M. PINKUS (<i>Pro Hac Vice To Be Filed</i>) GLENN S. ORMAN (<i>Pro Hac Vice To Be Filed</i>)			
6	TED HEINMANI CHINID O-CYMNED			
7	Tindall Square Warehouse No. 1 604 East 4th Street, Suite 200 Fort Worth, Texas 76102 Telephone: (817) 334-0400 Facsimile: (817) 334-0401 Email: jts@fsclaw.com Email: orman@fsclaw.com			
8	Fort Worth, Texas 76102 Telephone: (817) 334-0400			
9	Facsimile: (817) 334-0401 Email: its@fsclaw.com			
10 11	Email: pinkus@fsclaw.com Email: orman@fsclaw.com			
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff BACK BAY MEDICAL INC.			
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
14	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
	SOUTHERN DIVISION			
15	SOUTH	ERN DIVISION		
15 16	BACK BAY MEDICAL INC.,	ERN DIVISION CASE NO. 8:14-CV-1415		
16	BACK BAY MEDICAL INC.,			
16 17	BACK BAY MEDICAL INC., Plaintiff, vs. COVIDIEN HOLDING INC., and	CASE NO. 8:14-CV-1415 COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT		
16 17 18	BACK BAY MEDICAL INC., Plaintiff, vs. COVIDIEN HOLDING INC., and EV3, INC.,	CASE NO. 8:14-CV-1415 COMPLAINT FOR PATENT		
16 17 18 19	BACK BAY MEDICAL INC., Plaintiff, vs. COVIDIEN HOLDING INC., and	CASE NO. 8:14-CV-1415 COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT		
16 17 18 19 20	BACK BAY MEDICAL INC., Plaintiff, vs. COVIDIEN HOLDING INC., and EV3, INC.,	CASE NO. 8:14-CV-1415 COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT		
16 17 18 19 20 21	BACK BAY MEDICAL INC., Plaintiff, vs. COVIDIEN HOLDING INC., and EV3, INC.,	CASE NO. 8:14-CV-1415 COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT		
16 17 18 19 20 21	BACK BAY MEDICAL INC., Plaintiff, vs. COVIDIEN HOLDING INC., and EV3, INC.,	CASE NO. 8:14-CV-1415 COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BACK BAY MEDICAL INC., Plaintiff, vs. COVIDIEN HOLDING INC., and EV3, INC.,	CASE NO. 8:14-CV-1415 COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	BACK BAY MEDICAL INC., Plaintiff, vs. COVIDIEN HOLDING INC., and EV3, INC.,	CASE NO. 8:14-CV-1415 COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	BACK BAY MEDICAL INC., Plaintiff, vs. COVIDIEN HOLDING INC., and EV3, INC.,	CASE NO. 8:14-CV-1415 COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	BACK BAY MEDICAL INC., Plaintiff, vs. COVIDIEN HOLDING INC., and EV3, INC.,	CASE NO. 8:14-CV-1415 COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT		

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

1 2

Plaintiff BACK BAY MEDICAL INC. files its Complaint against Defendants COVIDIEN HOLDING INC. and EV3, INC., alleging as follows:

3

5

4

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27 28

THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff BACK BAY MEDICAL INC. ("Plaintiff") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California with its principal place of business located at 600 Anton Boulevard, Suite 1350, Costa Mesa, CA 92626.
- 2. information and belief, COVIDIEN HOLDING INC. Upon ("Covidien") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in Mansfield, MA. Covidien may be served with process through its registered agent The Corporation Company located at 555 Capitol Mall, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814.
- Upon information and belief, EV3, INC. ("ev3") is a corporation 3. organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in Plymouth, MN. ev3 may be served with process through its registered agent, The Corporation Trust Company, Corporation Trust Center, 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, DE 19801.
- Upon information and belief, Covidien is a medical technology company with a range of products in various medical specialties.
- 5. Upon information and belief, eV3 is a medical technology company with a range of products for treating vascular diseases and disorders, including the peripheral vascular and neurovascular markets. eV3 sells certain neurovascular intervention products through its ev3 Neurovascular division located in Irvine, California, including but not limited to the Pipeline Embolization Device. ev3 was acquired by Covidien in July 2010 and now operates as a subsidiary of Covidien.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

This is an action for infringement of United States patents. This Court 6. has exclusive jurisdiction of such action under Title 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a). Upon information and belief, Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction by this Court. Defendants have committed such purposeful acts and/or transactions in the State of California that they reasonably knew and/or expected that they could be hailed into a California court as a future consequence of such activity. Defendants make, use, and/or sell infringing products within the Central District of California and have a continuing presence and the requisite minimum contacts with the Central District of California such that this venue is a fair and reasonable one. Upon information and belief, Defendants have transacted and, at the time of the filing of this Complaint, are continuing to transact business within the Central District of California. For all of these reasons, personal jurisdiction exists and venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)(1), (2) and (c)(2) and 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b).

PATENTS-IN-SUIT

- 7. On January 24, 2006, United States Patent No. 6,989,024 B2 ("the '024 Patent") was duly and legally issued for "Guidewire Loaded Stent for Delivery Through a Catheter." A true and correct copy of the '024 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A and made a part hereof.
- 8. On February 4, 2014, United States Patent No. 8,641,748 B2 ("the '748 Patent" was duly and legally issued for "Guidewire Loaded Stent for Delivery Through a Catheter." A true and correct copy of the '748 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B and made a part hereof.
- 9. The '024 Patent and the '748 Patent are sometimes referred to herein collectively as "the Patents-in-Suit."
- 10. As it pertains to this lawsuit, the Patents-in-Suit, very generally speaking, relate to a system for delivery of a vascular prosthesis within tortuous regions of the body having small diameter vessels, such as the peripheral or intracranial vasculature.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Patent Infringement)

- 11. Plaintiff repeats and realleges every allegation set forth above.
- 12. Plaintiff is the owner of the Patents-in-Suit with the exclusive right to enforce the Patents-in-Suit against infringers, and collect damages for all relevant times, including the right to prosecute this action.
- 13. Upon information and belief, and without authority, consent, right, or license, and in direct infringement of the Patents-in-Suit, Defendants manufacture, make, have made, use, practice, import, provide, supply, distribute, sell, and/or offer for sale products or systems that infringe one or more claims in the Patents-in-Suit. Such conduct constitutes, at a minimum, patent infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a).
- 14. More specifically, Defendants, at a minimum, have directly infringed and continue to directly infringe at least Claim 18 of the '024 Patent and at least Claim 12 of the '748 Patent because they manufacture, make, have made, use, practice, import, provide, supply, distribute, sell, and/or offer for sale neurovascular intervention prostheses and delivery systems, including at least the Pipeline Embolization Device.
- 15. Defendants have had actual notice of the Patents-in-Suit at least as early as the receipt of this Complaint.
- 16. Plaintiff has been damaged as a result of Defendants' infringing conduct. Defendants are, thus, liable to Plaintiff in an amount that adequately compensates Plaintiff for their infringement, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.
- 17. Upon information and belief, Defendants will continue their infringement of the Patents-in-Suit unless enjoined by the Court. Defendants'

infringing conduct has caused Plaintiff irreparable harm and will continue to cause such harm without the issuance of an injunction.

18. Plaintiff reserves the right to assert additional claims of the Patents-in-Suit.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Plaintiff requests that the Court find in its favor and against Defendants, and that the Court grant Plaintiff the following relief:

- a. Judgment that one or more claims of the Patents-in-Suit have been infringed, either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by Defendants;
- b. Judgment that Defendants account for and pay to Plaintiff all damages to and costs incurred by Plaintiff because of their infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein;
- c. That Defendants, their officers, agents, servants and employees, and those persons in active concert and participation with any of them, be permanently enjoined from infringement of the Patents-in-Suit. In the alternative, if the Court finds that an injunction is not warranted, Plaintiff requests an award of post judgment royalty to compensate for future infringement;
- d. That Defendants' infringement be found to be willful from the time Defendants became aware of the infringing nature of its services, and that the Court award treble damages for the period of such willful infringement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284.
- e. That Plaintiff be granted pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on the damages caused to it by reason of Defendants' infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein;

	1	
1	f.	That this Court declare this an exceptional case and award Plaintiff its
2		reasonable attorney's fees and costs in accordance with 35 U.S.C
3		§ 285; and
4	g.	That Plaintiff be granted such other and further relief as the Court may
5		deem just and proper under the circumstances.
6		JURY DEMAND
7	Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federa	
8	Rules of Ci	vil Procedure.
9	DATED: So	eptember 4, 2014. John School
10		Lee Jorgensen Pyle & Kewalramani 440 W. 1st Street, Suite 205
11		Tustin, CA 92780
12		Telephone: (714) 252-6611 Facsimile: (714) 602-4690 Email: shashi@lkpklaw.com
13		Jonathan T. Suder
14		Brett M. Pinkus Glenn S. Orman
15		FRIEDMAN, SUDER & COOKE
16		Tindall Square Warehouse No. 1 604 East 4th Street, Suite 200 Fort Worth, Texas 76102
17		Telephone: (817) 334-0400 Facsimile: (817) 334-0401
18 19		Email: jts@fsclaw.com Email: pinkus@fsclaw.com
20		Email: orman@fsclaw.com
20		Attorneys for Plaintiff BACK BAY MEDICAL INC.
$\begin{bmatrix} 21 \\ 22 \end{bmatrix}$		
23		
$\begin{bmatrix} 24 \\ 24 \end{bmatrix}$		
25		
26		
27		
28		

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT