

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

Sigma Enterprises, LLC,

Civil File No. 0:15-cv-03804-SRN-SER

Plaintiff,

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

v.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Tekno Products, Inc. and Dollar
General Corporation,

Defendants.

Plaintiff Sigma Enterprises, LLC (“Sigma”), for its complaint against Tekno Products, Inc. (“Tekno”) and Dollar General Corporation (“Dollar General”), states and alleges as follows:

1. This is a patent infringement action to stop Tekno and Dollar General’s infringement of Sigma’s United States Patent No. 9,015,895 (“‘895 patent”) (Ex. A) entitled “Textured Device For Cleaning Cosmetic Brushes.”

PARTIES

2. Plaintiff Sigma is a Minnesota limited liability company, with its principal place of business at 1395 Commerce Drive, Mendota Heights, 55120. Sigma is the owner by assignment of the ‘895 patent.

3. Defendant Tekno is a New Jersey corporation, with its principal place of business at 301 Route 17 North, Suite 800, Rutherford, New Jersey 07070.

4. Defendant Dollar General is a Tennessee corporation, with its principal place of business at 100 Mission Ridge, Goodlettsville, Tennessee 37072. Dollar General operates at least twenty-five retail stores in Minnesota.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This action arises under the Patent Act, 35 U.S.C. § 1, *et seq.*

6. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

7. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Tekno because Sigma's cause of action arises directly from Tekno's infringing actions of selling and offering to sell infringing products in the State of Minnesota and this District.

8. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Dollar General because it regularly conducts business in the State of Minnesota and this District, and Sigma's cause of action arises directly from Dollar General's infringing actions of selling and offering to sell infringing products in the State of Minnesota and this District.

9. Venue is proper in the District of Minnesota pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)-(d) and 1400(b) because Tekno and Dollar General are each subject to personal jurisdiction in this District and each has committed acts of infringement in this District.

BACKGROUND

Sigma, Its Patented 2X Sigma Spa® Product, and the '895 Patent

10. Sigma was formed in 2009, and initially operated an online beauty store. Sigma opened its first brick-and-mortar store in the Mall of America in 2012. Sigma's

mission is to provide beauty enthusiasts with the latest innovations in cosmetics, makeup brushes, and brush care accessories. Today, Sigma has authorized retailers in 225+ countries.

11. Sigma's two founders, Rene Xavier Fihlo and Simone Rodrigues Oliveira Xavier, invented a device for cleaning cosmetic brushes and filed a patent for their invention on July 2, 2012. The application eventually issued as the '895 patent on April 28, 2015. Sigma is the assignee and sole owner of the '895 patent.

12. The '895 patent is valid, enforceable, and currently in full force and effect.

13. Sigma markets an embodiment of the '895 patent under the name 2X Sigma Spa®. Below is a photograph of Sigma's patented product:



14. Since its introduction, the Sigma patented product has enjoyed commercial success. Sigma’s patented products were the first mitten-shaped cleaning devices for cosmetic brushes on the market.

Tekno and Dollar General’s Sales of Tekno’s Infringing Product

15. Tekno makes, uses, sells, offers for sale, and/or imports for sale in the United States a product called “cosmetic brush cleaning glove” (hereinafter “the

infringing product”). Tekno’s infringing product is a copy of Sigma’s patented 2X Sigma Spa® product. A picture of the infringing product is shown below:



16. The infringing product is available at Dollar General stores throughout the United States, including at several Dollar General stores in Minnesota.

17. The infringing product infringes one or more claims of the ‘895 patent, as described more fully below.

COUNT ONE

Infringement of the '895 Patent

(Against Tekno)

18. Sigma restates and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1-17.

19. Sigma is the assignee and sole owner of the '895 patent.

20. Tekno has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '895 patent, including at least claim 22, by making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing for sale (directly or through intermediaries), in this District and elsewhere in the United States, a "cosmetic brush cleaning glove."

21. Sigma did not give Tekno authorization or license to make, use, offer to sell, or sell the infringing product.

22. Sigma marked the 2X Sigma Spa® with the number of the '895 patent since the patent's issuance and Tekno nevertheless copied the 2X Sigma Spa® product. Moreover, Tekno received notice of its infringement by letter from Sigma dated September 22, 2015. Therefore, Tekno had knowledge of the '895 patent, yet willfully infringed and continues to willfully infringe the '895 patent.

23. Tekno has actively induced infringement of at least claim 22 of the '895 patent. Tekno has knowingly and intentionally encouraged Dollar General to re-sell the infringing product in this District and throughout the United States, and Tekno has knowingly and intentionally instructed and encouraged Dollar General customers to use the infringing product.

24. Tekno's infringement and inducement of infringement of the '895 patent has caused and will continue to cause damage to Sigma. Tekno's infringement has also caused and will continue to cause irreparable harm to Sigma for which there is no adequate remedy at law unless enjoined.

COUNT TWO

Infringement of the '895 Patent

(Against Dollar General)

25. Sigma restates and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1-24.

26. Sigma is the assignee and sole owner of the '895 patent.

27. Dollar General has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '895 patent, including at least claim 22, by offering to sell and/or selling in this District and elsewhere in the United States, a "cosmetic brush cleaning glove."

28. Sigma did not give Dollar General authorization or license to offer to sell or sell the infringing product.

29. Dollar General received notice of its infringement by letter from Sigma dated September 30, 2015. Any ongoing sales by Dollar General after notice of the '895 patent would constitute willful infringement of the '895 patent.

30. Dollar General has actively induced infringement of at least claim 22 of the '895 patent. Dollar General has knowingly and intentionally instructed and encouraged its customers in this District and throughout the United States to use the infringing product.

31. Dollar General's infringement and inducement of infringement of the '895 patent has caused and will continue to cause damage to Sigma. Dollar General's infringement has also caused and will continue to cause irreparable harm to Sigma for which there is no adequate remedy at law unless enjoined.

JURY DEMAND

Sigma requests a trial by jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Sigma Enterprises, LLC prays for Judgment:

- (a) In favor of Sigma and against Tekno and Dollar General on all of Sigma's claims;
- (b) Finding that Tekno and Dollar General have willfully infringed one or more claims of the '895 patent;
- (c) Preliminarily and permanently enjoining Tekno, its agents, servants, employees, officers, directors, successors, licensees, assigns, and all others in active concert or participation with Tekno, from making, using, offering to sell, or selling its infringing product in the United States, or from otherwise infringing, inducing infringement, or contributing to the infringement of claims of the '895 patent;
- (d) Preliminarily and permanently enjoining Dollar General, its agents, servants, employees, officers, directors, successors, licensees, assigns, and all others in active concert or participation with Dollar General, from making, using, offering to sell,

or selling the infringing product in the United States, or from otherwise infringing, inducing infringement, or contributing to the infringement of claims of the '895 patent;

(e) Awarding Sigma damages adequate to compensate Sigma for Tekno and Dollar General's acts of patent infringement, including enhanced damages for willful infringement, together with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest;

(f) Declaring this to be an exceptional case and awarding Sigma reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285;

(g) Awarding Sigma its taxable costs and expenses; and

(h) Granting such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and equitable.

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP

Dated: November 16, 2015

By s/Forrest K. Tahdooahnippah
J. Thomas Vitt #0183817
vitt.thomas@dorsey.com
Forrest K. Tahdooahnippah # 0391459
forrest@dorsey.com
Suite 1500, 50 South Sixth Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402-1498
Telephone: (612) 340-2600

***Attorneys for
Plaintiff Sigma Enterprises, LLC***