IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

§	
§	
§	
§	
§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:15-cv-2081
§	JURY DEMAND
§	
§	
§	
§	
§	
§	
	co

PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

1. Plaintiff Trover Group, Inc. ("Plaintiff" or "Trover") files its Complaint for patent infringement. Plaintiff asserts claims for patent infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,751,345 (the "'345 Patent") and/or 5,751,346 (the "'346 Patent"), copies of which are attached hereto as Exhibits "A" and "B" against Defendants Anixter Inc., Northern Video Systems, Inc., Tri-Ed Distribution, Inc., and Video Security Specialists, Inc. (collectively, "Defendants") under 35 U.S.C. § 271, et seq. In support thereof, Plaintiff Trover Group, Inc. would respectfully show the Court the following:

PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff Trover Group, Inc. is a Texas corporation with its principal place of business located at 101 East Park Blvd., Suite 600, Plano, Texas 75074. Trover was formerly known as Dozier Financial Corporation.
- 3. Defendant Anixter Inc. ("Anixter") is a corporation that has its principal place of business located at 2301 Patriot Blvd., Glenview, Illinois 60026 USA. Anixter does business in

the State of Texas and in the Eastern District of Texas. Anixter maintains a registered agent for service of process in Texas. Accordingly, Anixter may be served through its registered agent, Corporation Service Company d/b/a CSC-Lawyers Incorporating Service Company, at 211 E. 7th Street, Suite 620, Austin, Texas 78701-3218 USA.

- 4. Defendant Northern Video Systems, Inc. ("Northern Video") is a corporation that has its principal place of business located at 3625 Cincinnati Avenue, Rocklin, California 95765 USA. Northern Video does business in the State of Texas and in the Eastern District of Texas. Northern Video maintains a registered agent for service of process in Texas. Accordingly, Northern Video may be served through its registered agent, William R Brown, at 119 E MLK Industrial Park Blvd., Lockhart, Texas 78644 USA.
- 5. Defendant Tri-Ed Distribution, Inc. ("Tri-Ed") is a corporation that has its principal place of business located at 135 Crossways Park Drive, Suite 101 Woodbury, New York 11797 USA. Tri-Ed does business in the State of Texas and in the Eastern District of Texas. Tri-Ed maintains a registered agent for service of process in Texas. Accordingly, Tri-Ed may be served through its registered agent, C T Corporation System, at 1999 Bryan St., Ste. 900, Dallas, Texas 75201-3136 USA.
- 6. Defendant Video Security Specialists, Inc. ("VSS") is a corporation that has its principal place of business located at 632 North Victory Boulevard Burbank, California 91502 USA. VSS does business in the State of Texas and in the Eastern District of Texas. VSS does not maintain an agent for service of process in Texas. Accordingly, VSS may be served through the Texas Secretary of State under the Texas Long Arm Statute. Alternatively, VSS may be served through any other means permitted by law.

- 7. Upon information and belief, Anixter has acquired Tri-Ed, and, prior to Anixter's acquisition of Tri-Ed, Tri-Ed itself had acquired Northern Video and VSS.
- 8. Upon information and belief, Anixter sells infringing products made and/or distributed by Tri-Ed, Northern Video, and/or VSS. Plaintiffs are not accusing Anixter of any direct or indirect infringement for its sales of products made by any companies besides Tri-Ed, Northern Video, and VSS.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 9. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35, United States Code. This Court has exclusive subject matter jurisdiction over this case for patent infringement under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 10. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants. Defendants conduct business within the State of Texas and the Eastern District of Texas. Defendants directly or through intermediaries (including distributors, retailers, and others) ship, distribute, offer for sale, sell, and advertise their products in the United States, the State of Texas, and the Eastern District of Texas. Defendants have purposefully and voluntarily placed infringing products in the stream of commerce with the expectation that their products will be purchased by end users in the Eastern District of Texas. Defendants have committed the tort of patent infringement within the State of Texas and this District.
- 11. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of Texas under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and 1400.
- 12. Trover has enforced its rights under the '345 and '346 Patents against numerous infringers over the last several years. Companies against whom Trover has enforced its patent include: Diebold Corporation, Verint Systems, Inc., Tyco Integrated Security, LLC; Sensormatic,

LLC; ADT, LLC; March Networks, Inc., 3VR Security, Inc., Vicon Industries, Inc., i3 International, Inc., Dedicated Micros USA, Kaltec Electronics, Inc. d/b/a Digital Watchdog, Pelco, Inc., Panasonic Corporation of North America, USA Vision Systems, Inc., FireKing Security Group, Say Security Group, USA, LLC, HikVision USA, Inc., Hunt Electronics USA, Inc., AXIS Communications, Inc., T.S. MicroTech, Inc., Unix CCTV Corp, Vitek Industrial Video Products, Inc., Watchnet, Inc., Avant Technologies, Aver Information, Inc., Milestone Systems, Inc., Observint Technologies, Inc., Samsung Techwin America and Telexper Incorporated.

PATENT INFRINGEMENT

- 13. On May 12, 1998, the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("PTO") issued the '345 and '346 Patents, entitled "Image Retention and Information Security System," after a full and fair examination. The '345 Patent relates generally to video monitoring systems, and in particular to such systems that store and retrieve images and related transaction data by the use of computer equipment and digital storage. The '346 Patent relates generally to video monitoring systems, and in particular to such systems that store images based on the detection of changes in the pixilation between images.
- 14. The '345 Patent includes three independent claims and six dependent claims. The '346 Patent contains five independent and two dependent claims.
- 15. The '345 and '346 Patents were originally assigned to Dozier Financial Corporation. Dozier Financial Corporation later changed its name to Trover Group, Inc. Plaintiff Trover is the successor-in-interest to Dozier Financial Corporation and is the assignee of all rights, title and interest in and to the '345 and '346 Patents and possesses all rights of recovery under the '345 and '346 Patents.

Infringement of the '345 and '346 Patents

16. Defendants manufacture, distribute, and/or sell to customers within the United States numerous digital cameras and video recording devices that infringe the '345 and/or '346 Patents, including but not limited to the following (collectively "Accused Products"):

Cameras	Recorders
KT&C OMNI-IP KNC-p3BR28V12IR	KT&C EZHD-TVL4 DVR
Northern Video IP3B	KT&C KVR-0412H DVR
Northern Video IP3T	Northern Video DVRN4960
Northern Video IP3VFB	Northern Video DVRN8960
Northern Video IP3VFD	Northern Video DVRN16960
Northern Video IP3W	Northern Video NVR4POE
	Northern Video NVR8POE

- 17. The Accused Products allow images to be compressed in various formats, including JPEG and/or MJPEG. The Accused Products also allow images to be captured along with transaction data, such that the images and the transaction data can be stored and later retrieved for examination. The Accused Products also include motion detection functionality that compares two digitized images to measure the extent of change from the first image to the second. If the extent of change is greater than a reference value, then the second image is saved. Later the saved images can be retrieved for examination. Further, many of the Accused Products allow images to be stored locally, for example through an on board SD card and/or Hard Disk.
- Products in the United States. For example, Defendants routinely participates in industry trade shows such as the ISC West trade show held every year in Las Vegas, Nevada, or the ASIS trade show, held at various locations throughout the United States. At these trade shows, Defendants have displayed one or more of the Accused Products and has provided demonstrations of the various functions and features of those products, including the motion detection feature. Upon

information and belief, Defendants routinely test the Accused Products in the United States to verify that the products operate as they are designed and intended.

Defendants' Knowledge of the '345 and '346 Patents

- 19. The commercial embodiments of the '345 and '346 Patents, namely the IRIS DVS, IRIS Total Vision, and EyzOn Camera products have consistently been marked with the patent numbers for the '345 and '346 Patents since each of those products were first introduced. The IRIS DVS and IRIS Total Vision products have been publicly displayed at numerous industry trade shows and conventions held at various locations through the years. Further, the EyzOn Camera, together with the IRIS DVS and IRIS Total Vision products, were publicly displayed at the 2013 ISC West trade show and convention in Las Vegas in May of 2013. Defendants Anixter, Tri-Ed, and Northern Video were also exhibitors at the same trade show. Upon information and belief, employees or representatives from Anixter, Tri-Ed, and Northern Video visited the booth where the IRIS and EyzOn products, and a copy of the '345 and '346 Patents were being displayed.
- 20. The '345 Patent has been cited as prior art with respect to at least 13 patent applications considered by the PTO. More significantly, the '346 Patent has been cited as prior art with respect to 68 patent applications.

COUNT ONE: PATENT INFRINGEMENT OF THE '345 PATENT

- 21. Trover realleges paragraphs 1 through 20 herein.
- 22. Defendants have infringed the '345 Patent by making, using, selling, or offering for sale in the United States, including in the Eastern District of Texas, systems, products and devices, and/or by undertaking processes and methods embodying the patented inventions without authority. By way of example, and without limitation, Defendants manufacture, sell, and/or distribute within the United States the Accused Products identified above. By manufacturing,

selling, and/or distributing these products, Defendants have directly infringed one or more of claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8 and/or 9 of the '345 Patent. In addition, by selling and offering to sell these products to customers in the United States and instructing those customers to use these products such that those customers would directly infringe one or more claims of the '345 Patent, Defendants have actively, intentionally, and/or knowingly induced or contributed to the infringement of one or more of claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8 and/or 9 of the '345 Patent, either directly or through the doctrine of equivalents.

23. The Accused Products have no substantial uses that did not infringe the '345 Patent.

COUNT TWO: PATENT INFRINGEMENT OF THE '346 PATENT

- 24. Trover realleges paragraphs 1 through 23 herein.
- 25. By testing and demonstrating the operations and functionalities of the Accused Products in the United States, including but not limited to the motion detection feature or functionality, Defendants have directly infringed at least Claim 7 of the '346 Patent, either literally or through the doctrine of equivalents. In addition, by selling and offering to sell these products to customers in the United States, and instructing those customers to use these products such that those customers would directly infringe at least Claim 7 of the '346 Patent, Defendants have actively, intentionally, and/or knowingly induced or contributed to the infringement of at least Claim 7 of the '346 Patent by others, either literally or through the doctrine of equivalents.
 - 26. The Accused Products have no substantial uses that did not infringe the '346 Patent.

MISCELLANEOUS

27. Trover has satisfied all conditions precedent to filing this action, or any such conditions that have not been satisfied have been waived.

28. Through this pleading, Trover has not elected any one remedy to which they may be entitled, separately or collectively, over any other remedy.

RELIEF

Plaintiff Trover respectfully requests the following relief:

- A. That the Court award damages to Plaintiff Trover to which it is entitled;
- B. That the Court award pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on such damages at the highest rates allowed by law;
- C. That the Court award such other and further relief, at law or in equity, as the Court deems just and proper.

A JURY TRIAL IS DEMANDED BY PLAINTIFF TROVER GROUP, INC.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Steven N. Williams Steven N. Williams swilliams@mcdolewilliams.com Texas Bar No. 21577625 Kenneth P. Kula kkula@mcdolewilliams.com Texas State Bar No. 24004749 William Z. Duffy zduffy@mcdolewilliams.com Texas State Bar No. 24059697 Brian P. Herrmann bherrmann@mcdolewilliams.com Texas State Bar No. 24083174 McDOLE WILLIAMS **A Professional Corporation** 1700 Pacific Avenue, Suite 2750 Dallas, Texas 75201 (214) 979-1122 - Telephone (214) 979-1123 – Facsimile ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF