Case 3:15-cv-05798 Document 1 Filed 12/17/15 Page 1 of 15 Anton N. Handal (Bar No. 113812) 1 anh@handal-law.com 2 Gabriel G. Hedrick (Bar No. 220649) ghedrick@handal-law.com 3 Lauren G. Kane (BAR NO. 286212) lkane@handal-law.com 4 HANDAL & ASSOCIATES 750 B Street, Suite 2510 5 San Diego, CA 92101 6 Tel: (619) 544-6400 Fax: (619) 696-0323 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff 8 e.Digital Corporation UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 12 e.Digital Corporation, 13 Case No. **COMPLAINT FOR PATENT** 14 Plaintiff, **INFRINGEMENT** 15 V. **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** MYFOX, Inc. a Delaware Corporation, 16 17 Defendant. 18 Plaintiff e.Digital Corporation ("e.Digital" or "Plaintiff"), by and through its undersigned 19 counsel, complains and alleges against Defendant MYFOX, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of 20 MYFOX, a French Corporation, located at 2460 L'Occitane, Regent Park II, Btiment I, Labge, 21 31670, ("MYFOX" or "Defendant") as follows: 22 NATURE OF THE ACTION 23 1. This is a civil action for infringement of a patent arising under the laws of the 24 United States relating to patents, 35 U.S.C. § 101, et seq., including, without limitation, 35 25 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281. Plaintiff e.Digital seeks a preliminary and permanent injunction and 26 monetary damages for patent infringement. 27

-1-

28

HANDAL & ASSOCIATES
750 B STREET
SUITE 2510
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101
TEL: 619.544.6400
FAX: 619.696.0323

COMPLAINT

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

COMPLAINT

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This court has subject matter jurisdiction over this case for patent infringement under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) and pursuant to the patent laws of the United States of America, 35 U.S.C. § 101, et seq.
- 3. Venue properly lies within the Northern District of California pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), (c), and (d) and 1400(b). On information and belief, Defendant conducts substantial business directly and/or through third parties or agents in this judicial district by selling and/or offering to sell the infringing products and/or by conducting other business in this judicial district. Furthermore, Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendant is headquartered and has its principal place of business in this district, engages in business in this district, and that Plaintiff has been harmed by Defendant's conduct, business transactions and sales in this district.
- 4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because, on information and belief, Defendant maintains an office at 1999 S. Bascome Ave., #700 Santa Clara, CA, 95008-2205 and another office at 350 California St., San Francisco, California, 94104-2412. Also, Plaintiff is informed and believes that MYFOX transacts continuous and systematic retail business within the State of California and the Northern District of California. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendant because Plaintiff is informed and believes that this Defendant's infringing activities, including, without limitation, the making, using, selling and/or offering to sell infringing products, occur in the State of California and the Northern District of California. In particular, Defendant admits to selling its infringing product at local retail stores within the Northern District at Home Depot®, WalMartTM, Fry's Electronics and Best Buy. Finally, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because, on information and belief, Defendant has made, used, sold and/or offered for sale its infringing products and placed such infringing products in the stream of interstate commerce with the expectation that such infringing products would be made, used, sold and/or offered for sale within the State of California and the Northern District of California.
 - 5. Upon information and belief, certain of the products manufactured by or for

Case 3:15-cv-05798 Document 1 Filed 12/17/15 Page 3 of 15

Defendant have been and/or are currently sold and/or offered for sale at, among other places, the MYFOX website located at http://www.getmyfox.com/us en/alarm-security-systems-store.html to consumers including, but not limited to, consumers located within the State of California and this District. **PARTIES**

- 6. Plaintiff e.Digital is a Delaware corporation with its headquarters and principal place of business at 16870 West Bernardo Drive, Suite 120, San Diego, California 92127.
- 7. Upon information and belief, Defendant MYFOX, Inc. maintains an office at 1999 S. Bascome Ave., #700 Santa Clara, CA, 95008-2205 and another office at 350 California St., San Francisco, California, 94104-2412.

THE ACCUSED PRODUCTS

- 8. The Defendant's accused products for purposes of the asserted patents include but are not limited to sensor-based products and services, such as, without limitation, Defendant's home alarm system that includes, remote sensors and a server sold as "MYFOX" branded products and services (the "Accused Products").
- 9. By way of example, information about and demonstration videos showing how to use the accused products so as to infringe the asserted patents are posted by MYFOX on its YouTube channel at https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PLCRNWLKdNv5 TF6bMt B0YSGT4rCHY7Ut. is available media same MYFOX's social sites such like: Facebook on https://www.facebook.com/getmyfox; Twitter https://twitter.com/getmyfox; and Instagram https://www.instagram.com/getmyfox/.
- 10. MYFOX also provides operating manuals, user or installation guides, "quick reference guides," instructional/informational videos on its website and other public websites that instruct customers and end-users on how to purchase the Accused Products and set them up in conjunction with the Defendant's servers, mobile apps, and/or web sites. (See, e.g. http://medias.getmyfox.com/media/help-desk/user-guide-security-camera.pdf). Among other things, such materials lay out step-by-step instructions on how to set up an apparatus or system

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

COMPLAINT

that infringes the asserted claims of the asserted patents.

11. Plaintiff believes and thereupon alleges that MYFOX is aware that its customers and end-users are using the accused products in an infringing manner based on, among other things: the discussions, questions, answers, and/or comments posted on its website, YouTube page, Twitter page (https://twitter.com/getmyfox), and/or Facebook page (https://www.facebook.com/getmyfox) where MYFOX's authorized agents, customers and/or end-users discuss and disclose the use of the accused products, a process which MYFOX knows infringes the patents-in-suit. Further, Plaintiff alleges that MYFOX encourages its customers and end-users to use the accused products in an infringing manner as alleged herein.

THE ASSERTED PATENTS

- 12. On November 13, 2012, the United States and Trademark office duly and legally issued United States Patent No. 8,311,522, entitled "System and Method for Managing Mobile Communications" ("the '522 patent"). The patent's named invertor is Patrick Nunally and Plaintiff e.Digital is assignee and owner of the entire right, title and interest in and to the '522 patent and vested with the right to bring this suit for damages and other relief. A true and correct copy of the '522 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."
- 13. On November 6, 2012, the United States and Trademark office duly and legally issued United States Patent No. 8,306,514, entitled "System and Method for Managing Mobile Communications" ("the '514 patent"). Although, issued one week earlier, the '514 patent is a continuation of the '522 patent. The patent's named invertor is Patrick Nunally and Plaintiff e.Digital is assignee and owner of the entire right, title and interest in and to the '514 patent and vested with the right to bring this suit for damages and other relief. A true and correct copy of the '514 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit "B."
- 14. On November 13, 2012, the United States and Trademark office duly and legally issued United States Patent No. 8,311,524, entitled "System and Method for Managing Mobile Communications" ("the '524 patent"). The '524 patent is a continuation of the '522 patent. The patent's named invertor is Patrick Nunally and Plaintiff e.Digital is assignee and owner of the entire right, title and interest in and to the '524 patent and vested with the right to bring this suit

COMPLAINT

750 B STREET
SUITE 2510
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101
TEL: 619.544.6400
FAX: 619.696.0323

for damages and other relief. A true and correct copy of the '524 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit "C."

- 15. On April 7, 2015, the United States Patent and Trademark office duly and legally issued United States Patent No. 9,002,331, entitled "System and Method for Managing Mobile Communications" ("the '331 patent"), which is a continuation of the '522 patent. Patrick Nunally is the sole named inventor and Plaintiff e.Digital is assignee and owner of the entire right, title and interest in and to the '331 patent and vested with the right to bring this suit for damages and other relief. A true and correct copy of the '331 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit "D."
- 16. On November 3, 2015, the United States Patent and Trademark office duly and legally issued United States Patent No. 9,178,983, also entitled "System and Method of Managing Mobile Communications ("the '983 patent"). The '983 patent is a continuation of U.S. Patent No. 8,315,618, which is, in turn, a continuation of the '522 patent. Patrick Nunally is the sole named inventor and Plaintiff e.Digital is assignee and owner of the entire right, title and interest in and to the '983 patent and vested with the right to bring this suit for damages and other relief. A true and correct copy of the '983 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit "E."

COUNT ONE

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '522 PATENT BY DEFENDANT

- 17. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference each of the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 16 above.
- 18. Defendant has knowledge of infringement of the '522 patent since at least the filing of this complaint.
- 19. The accused products, alone or in combination with other products, directly or alternatively under the doctrine of equivalents practice each of the limitations of independent claim 17 and dependent claim 21 of the '522 patent (hereafter "the asserted claims of the '522 patent") in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) when Defendant demonstrates, tests or otherwise uses the accused products in the United States.
 - 20. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant encourages others to directly infringe the asserted

1 claims of the '522 patent by among other things, advertising and promoting the sale and use of 2 the accused products in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) and (c) by knowingly inducing and 3 contributing to the infringement of the '522 patent by, amount other things, providing operating 4 manuals, guides, instructional and/or informational videos and other materials designed to 5 instruct others how to use the products in an infringing manner. In particular, Defendant's 6 product literature, materials and instructional videos advertise and encourage customers to use 7 the accused product(s) for remote monitoring, which utilizes the devices described by the '522 8 patent in a manner Defendant knows infringes the patent. As more fully set forth above in 9 paragraphs 9, 10 and 11, Defendant's informational materials lay out step-by-step instructions on 10 how to set up an apparatus or system that infringes the asserted claims of the asserted patents.

- 21. Plaintiff thereupon alleges on information and belief that Defendant has, in the United States, without authority, actively induced and continues to actively induce infringement of the asserted claims of the '522 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by among other things posting information about and demonstration videos showing how to infringe the asserted patents as more specifically set forth above in paragraphs 9, 10 and 11.
- 22. Plaintiff similarly alleges upon information and belief that, without authority, Defendant has contributed and continues to contribute to the infringement of the asserted claims of the '522 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) by importing into the United States, selling and/or offering to sell within the United States accused products, that at a minimum include wireless camera and/or other sensor products together with remote monitoring and communication systems constituting material components of the accused products, that Defendant knows were made and/or especially adapted for use in the accused products and/or are especially adapted for use in infringing the asserted claims of the '522 patent; and which are not otherwise staple articles of commerce suitable for substantial use in a manner that does not infringe the asserted claims of the '522 patent.
- 23. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendant intentionally sells, ships or otherwise delivers the accused products in the United States, with knowledge that are designed to and do practice the infringing features of the asserted claims of the '522 patent.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

COMPLAINT

1

4

5

6 7

8 9

10 11

13 14

12

15 16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28 IANDAL & ASSOCIATES

750 B STREET SUITE 2510 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 TEL: 619.544.6400 FAX: 619.696.0323

24. Plaintiff is without an adequate remedy at law and has thus been irreparably harmed by these acts of infringement. Plaintiff asserts upon information and belief that infringement of the asserted claims of the '522 patent is continuous and ongoing unless and until Defendant is enjoined from further infringement by the court.

COUNT TWO

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '514 PATENT BY DEFENDANT

- 25. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference each of the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 16 above.
- 26. Defendant has knowledge of infringement of the '514 patent since at least the filing of this complaint.
- 27. The accused products, alone or in combination with other products, directly or alternatively under the doctrine of equivalents practice each of the limitations of independent claim 34 and dependent claim 35 of the '514 patent (hereafter "the asserted claims of the '514 patent") in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) when Defendant demonstrates, tests or otherwise uses the accused products in the United States.
- 28. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant encourages others to directly infringe the asserted claims of the '514 patent by among other things, advertising and promoting the sale and use of the accused products in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) and (c) by knowingly inducing and contributing to the infringement of the '514 patent by, amount other things, providing operating manuals, guides, instructional and/or informational videos and other materials designed to instruct others how to use the products in an infringing manner. In particular, Defendant's product literature, materials and instructional videos advertise and encourage customers to use the accused product(s) for remote monitoring, which utilizes the devices described by the '514 patent in a manner Defendant knows infringes the patent. As more fully set forth above in paragraphs 9, 10 and 11, Defendant's informational materials lay out step-by-step instructions on how to set up an apparatus or system that infringes the asserted claims of the asserted patents.
- 29. Plaintiff thereupon alleges on information and belief that Defendant has, in the United States, without authority, actively induced and continues to actively induce infringement

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25 26

27

COMPLAINT

28

IANDAL & ASSOCIATES 750 B STREET SUITE 2510 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 TEL: 619.544.6400 FAX: 619.696.0323 of the asserted claims of the '514 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by among other things posting information about and demonstration videos showing how to infringe the asserted patents as more specifically set forth above in paragraphs 9, 10 and 11.

- 30 Plaintiff similarly alleges upon information and belief that, without authority, Defendant has contributed and continues to contribute to the infringement of the asserted claims of the '514 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) by importing into the United States, selling and/or offering to sell within the United States accused products, that at a minimum include wireless camera and/or other sensor products together with remote monitoring and communication systems constituting material components of the accused products, that Defendant knows were made and/or especially adapted for use in the accused products and/or are especially adapted for use in infringing the asserted claims of the '514 patent; and which are not otherwise staple articles of commerce suitable for substantial use in a manner that does not infringe the asserted claims of the '514 patent.
- 31. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendant intentionally sells, ships or otherwise delivers the accused products in the United States, with knowledge that are designed to and do practice the infringing features of the asserted claims of the '514 patent.
- 32 Plaintiff is without an adequate remedy at law and has thus been irreparably harmed by these acts of infringement. Plaintiff asserts upon information and belief that infringement of the asserted claims of the '514 patent is continuous and ongoing unless and until Defendant is enjoined from further infringement by the court.

COUNT THREE

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '524 PATENT BY DEFENDANT

- 33. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference each of the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 16 above.
- 34. Defendant has knowledge of infringement of the '524 patent since at least the filing of this complaint.
- 35. The accused products, alone or in combination with other products, directly or alternatively under the doctrine of equivalents practice each of the limitations of independent

28

HANDAL & ASSOCIATES
750 B STREET
SUITE 2510
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101
TEL: 619.544.6400
FAX: 619.696.0323

claim 1 and dependent claims 10 and 18 of the '524 patent (hereafter "the asserted claims of the '524 patent") in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) when Defendant demonstrates, tests or otherwise uses the accused products in the United States.

- 36. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant encourages others to directly infringe the asserted claims of the '524 patent by among other things, advertising and promoting the sale and use of the accused products in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) and (c) by knowingly inducing and contributing to the infringement of the '524 patent by, amount other things, providing operating manuals, guides, instructional and/or informational videos and other materials designed to instruct others how to use the products in an infringing manner. In particular, Defendant's product literature, materials and instructional videos advertise and encourage customers to use the accused product(s) for remote monitoring, which utilizes the devices described by the '524 patent in a manner Defendant knows infringes the patent. As more fully set forth above in paragraphs 9, 10 and 11, Defendant's informational materials lay out step-by-step instructions on how to set up an apparatus or system that infringes the asserted claims of the asserted patents.
- 37. Plaintiff thereupon alleges on information and belief that Defendant has, in the United States, without authority, actively induced and continues to actively induce infringement of the asserted claims of the '524 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by among other things posting information about and demonstration videos showing how to infringe the asserted patents as more specifically set forth above in paragraphs 9, 10 and 11.
- 38. Plaintiff similarly alleges upon information and belief that, without authority, Defendant has contributed and continues to contribute to the infringement of the asserted claims of the '524 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) by importing into the United States, selling and/or offering to sell within the United States accused products, that at a minimum include wireless camera and/or other sensor products together with remote monitoring and communication systems constituting material components of the accused products, that Defendant knows were made and/or especially adapted for use in the accused products and/or are especially adapted for use in infringing the asserted claims of the '524 patent; and which are not otherwise staple articles of commerce suitable for substantial use in a manner that does not

11

12 13

14

16

15

18

17

19 20

22

21

23

24 25

26

27

28

ANDAL & ASSOCIATES 750 B STREET SUITE 2510 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 TEL: 619.544.6400 FAX: 619.696.0323

infringe the asserted claims of the '524 patent

- 39. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendant intentionally sells, ships or otherwise delivers the accused products in the United States, with knowledge that are designed to and do practice the infringing features of the asserted claims of the '524 patent.
- 40. Plaintiff is without an adequate remedy at law and has thus been irreparably harmed by these acts of infringement. Plaintiff asserts upon information and belief that infringement of the asserted claims of the '524 patent is continuous and ongoing unless and until Defendant is enjoined from further infringement by the court.

COUNT FOUR

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '331 PATENT BY DEFENDANT

- 41. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference each of the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 16 above.
- 42. Defendant has knowledge of infringement of the '331 patent since at least the filing of this complaint.
- 43 The accused products, alone or in combination with other products, directly or alternatively under the doctrine of equivalents practice each of the limitations of independent claim 1 and dependent claims 2, 3 and 11 of the '331 patent (hereafter "the asserted claims of the '331 patent') in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) when Defendant demonstrates, tests or otherwise uses the accused products in the United States.
- 44. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant encourages others to directly infringe the asserted claims of the '331 patent by among other things, advertising and promoting the sale and use of the accused products in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) and (c) by knowingly inducing and contributing to the infringement of the '331 patent by, amount other things, providing operating manuals, guides, instructional and/or informational videos and other materials designed to instruct others how to use the products in an infringing manner. In particular, Defendant's product literature, materials and instructional videos advertise and encourage customers to use the accused product(s) for remote monitoring, which utilizes the devices described by the '331 patent in a manner Defendant knows infringes the patent. As more fully set forth above in

3 4

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28 ANDAL & ASSOCIATES

750 B STREET SUITE 2510 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 TEL: 619.544.6400 FAX: 619.696.0323 **COMPLAINT**

paragraphs 9, 10 and 11, Defendant's informational materials lay out step-by-step instructions on how to set up an apparatus or system that infringes the asserted claims of the asserted patents.

- 45. Plaintiff thereupon alleges on information and belief that Defendant has, in the United States, without authority, actively induced and continues to actively induce infringement of the asserted claims of the '331 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by among other things posting information about and demonstration videos showing how to infringe the asserted patents as more specifically set forth above in paragraphs 9, 10 and 11.
- 46. Plaintiff similarly alleges upon information and belief that, without authority, Defendant has contributed and continues to contribute to the infringement of the asserted claims of the '331 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) by importing into the United States, selling and/or offering to sell within the United States accused products, that at a minimum include wireless camera and/or other sensor products together with remote monitoring and communication systems constituting material components of the accused products, that Defendant knows were made and/or especially adapted for use in the accused products and/or are especially adapted for use in infringing the asserted claims of the '331 patent; and which are not otherwise staple articles of commerce suitable for substantial use in a manner that does not infringe the asserted claims of the '331 patent.
- 47. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendant intentionally sells, ships or otherwise delivers the accused products in the United States, with knowledge that are designed to and do practice the infringing features of the asserted claims of the '331 patent.
- 48. Plaintiff is without an adequate remedy at law and has thus been irreparably harmed by these acts of infringement. Plaintiff asserts upon information and belief that infringement of the asserted claims of the '331 patent is continuous and ongoing unless and until Defendant is enjoined from further infringement by the court.

COUNT FIVE

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '983 PATENT BY DEFENDANT

49. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference each of the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 16 above.

COMPLAINT

28
ANDAL & ASSOCIATES
750 B STREET

750 B STREET SUITE 2510 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 TEL: 619.544.6400 FAX: 619.696.0323

- 50. Defendant has knowledge of infringement of the '983 patent since at least the filing of this complaint.
- 51. The accused products, alone or in combination with other products, directly or alternatively under the doctrine of equivalents practice each of the limitations of independent claims 1 and 20 and dependent claims 13, 14, 16, and 19 of the '983 patent (hereafter "the asserted claims of the '983 patent") in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) when Defendant demonstrates, tests or otherwise uses the accused products in the United States.
- 52. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant encourages others to directly infringe the asserted claims of the '983 patent by among other things, advertising and promoting the sale and use of the accused products in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) and (c) by knowingly inducing and contributing to the infringement of the '983 patent by, amount other things, providing operating manuals, guides, instructional and/or informational videos and other materials designed to instruct others how to use the products in an infringing manner. In particular, Defendant's product literature, materials and instructional videos advertise and encourage customers to use the accused product(s) for remote monitoring, which utilizes the devices described by the '983 patent in a manner Defendant knows infringes the patent. As more fully set forth above in paragraphs 9, 10 and 11, Defendant's informational materials lay out step-by-step instructions on how to set up an apparatus or system that infringes the asserted claims of the asserted patents.
- 53. Plaintiff thereupon alleges on information and belief that Defendant has, in the United States, without authority, actively induced and continues to actively induce infringement of the asserted claims of the '983 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by among other things posting information about and demonstration videos showing how to infringe the asserted patents as more specifically set forth above in paragraphs 9, 10 and 11.
- 54. Plaintiff similarly alleges upon information and belief that, without authority, Defendant has contributed and continues to contribute to the infringement of the asserted claims of the '983 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) by importing into the United States, selling and/or offering to sell within the United States accused products, that at a minimum include wireless camera and/or other sensor products together with remote monitoring and

6

11

12

13

1415

16

1718

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28 HANDAL & ASSOCIATES

750 B STREET SUITE 2510 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 TEL: 619.544.6400 FAX: 619.696.0323

communication	systems	constituting	material	components	of	the	accused	products,	that
Defendant know	s were ma	ade and/or esp	ecially ad	lapted for use	in th	e aco	cused pro	ducts and/o	r are
especially adapt	ed for use	in infringing	the assert	ted claims of t	he '	983 j	patent; an	d which are	e not
otherwise staple	articles	of commerce	suitable	for substantia	ıl us	e in	a manne	r that does	s not
infringe the asse	rted claim	s of the '983	patent.						

- 55. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendant intentionally sells, ships or otherwise delivers the accused products in the United States, with knowledge that are designed to and do practice the infringing features of the asserted claims of the '983 patent.
- 56. Plaintiff is without an adequate remedy at law and has thus been irreparably harmed by these acts of infringement. Plaintiff asserts upon information and belief that infringement of the asserted claims of the '983 patent is continuous and ongoing unless and until Defendant is enjoined from further infringement by the court.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment as follows:

- 1. That Defendant has infringed the Patents-in-Suit;
- 2. That Defendant, Defendant's officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with them, be preliminarily and permanently enjoined from infringement of the Patents-in-Suit, including but not limited to any making, using, offering for sale, selling, or importing of unlicensed infringing products within and without the United States;
- 3. Compensation for all damages caused by Defendant's infringement of the Patents-in-Suit to be determined at trial;
- 4. A finding that this case is exceptional and an award of reasonable attorneys fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285;
- 5. Granting Plaintiff pre-and post-judgment interest on its damages, together with all costs and expenses; and,
 - 6. Awarding such other relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

	Case 3:15-cv-05798 Document 1 Filed 12/17/15 Page 14 of 15
1	HANDAL & ASSOCIATES
2	Dated: December 17, 2015
3	By: /s/ Gabriel G. Hedrick
4	Gabriel G. Hedrick Lauren G. Kane
5	Anton N. Handal Attorneys for Plaintiff
6	e.Digital Corporation
7	
8	<u>DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL</u>
9	Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all claims.
10	HANDAL & ACCOUNTEC
11	HANDAL & ASSOCIATES Dated: December 17, 2015
12	By: /s/ Gabriel G. Hedrick
13	Gabriel G. Hedrick
14	Lauren G. Kane Anton N. Handal
15	Attorneys for Plaintiff e.Digital Corporation
16	C.Digital Colporation
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28 HANDAL & ASSOCIATES	
750 B STREET SUITE 2510 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 TEL: 619.544.6400	COMPLAINT -14-
FAX: 619.696.0323	COMI LAINI -14-

Case 3:15-cv-05798 Document 1 Filed 12/17/15 Page 15 of 15

COMPLAINT

HANDAL & ASSOCIATES 750 B STREET SUITE 2510 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 TEL: 619.544.6400 FAX: 619.696.0323

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document has been served on this date to all counsel of record, if any to date, who are deemed to have consented to electronic service via the Court's CM/ECF system per CivLR 5.4(d). Any other counsel of record will be served by electronic mail, facsimile and/or overnight delivery upon their appearance in this matter.

I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 17th day of December, 2015 at San Diego, California.

HANDAL & ASSOCIATES

By: /s/ Gabriel G. Hedrick Gabriel G. Hedrick

-15-