IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

HAILO TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,

Plaintiff,

Case No. _____

v.

MOBISOFT INFOTECH, LLC,

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendant.

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Hailo Technologies, LLC, ("Hailo" or "Plaintiff"), by and through its undersigned counsel, for its Complaint against Defendant Mobisoft Infotech, LLC ("Mobisoft") makes the following allegations. These allegations are made upon information and belief.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is an action against Defendant for infringement of one or more claims of United States Patent No. 6,756,913 ("the '913 Patent").

PARTIES

2. Plaintiff Hailo Technologies, LLC, is a California limited liability company with its principal office located in California, at 35 Hugus Alley, Suite 210, Pasadena CA 91103.

3. Defendant Mobisoft Infotech, LLC is a Texas limited liability company, with its principal office located in Texas at 1811 Bering Dr., Suite 200, Houston, TX, 77057. On information and belief, Mobisoft Infotech, LLC may be served via its agent for service of process, InCorp Services, Inc., 815 Brazos St., Suite 500, Austin, TX 78701.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This patent infringement action arises under the patent laws of the United States, including 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, et seq.

5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
§§ 1331 and 1338(a) because it arises under United States Patent law.

6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendant because it (either directly or through its subsidiaries, divisions, groups or distributors) has sufficient minimum contacts with the forum as a result of business conducted within the State of Texas and this district; and/or specifically over the Defendant (either directly or through its subsidiaries, divisions, groups or distributors) because of its infringing conduct within or directed at the State of Texas and this district.

7. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) and §1391(c). Defendant is subject to this Court's personal jurisdiction because it offers to sell and does sell the Accused Products identified herein into the State of Texas and in this district. Specifically, the Defendant offers to sell and does sell the Accused Products identified herein through its Houston office.

FACTS

8. Plaintiff is the owner, by assignment, of U.S. Patent No. 6,756,913, entitled "System for Automatically Dispatching Taxis to Client Locations," which was duly and legally issued on June 29th, 2004 by the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO").

9. A copy of the '913 Patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit A.

10. The claims of the '913 Patent are valid and enforceable.

COUNT I: CLAIM FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 271 ('913 PATENT)

11. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through10 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

12. Defendant makes, has made, sells, offers for sale, uses and/or imports into the United States, taxi dispatch applications, including without limitation the Mobisoft application ("Accused Products").

13. Each of the Accused Products uses a method for sending notifications to a server. *See* <u>http://mobisoftinfotech.com/products/taxi-apps-development-solutions</u> and Exhibit A.

14. Each of the Accused Products periodically senses if a meter on a taxi is active to determine if the taxi is available for customer service. *See* <u>http://mobisoftinfotech.com/products/taxi-apps-development-solutions</u> and Exhibit A.

15. Each of the Accused Products, on sensing that the vehicle is available, periodically determines the vehicle's current position coordinates using a position coordinates determination device. *See* <u>http://mobisoftinfotech.com/products/taxi-apps-development-solutions</u> and Exhibit A.

16. Each of the Accused Products sends the current position coordinates information to the server. *See <u>http://mobisoftinfotech.com/products/taxi-apps-development-solutions</u> and Exhibit A.*

17. Each one of the steps performed by the Accused Product(s), itemized in paragraphs 13-16 above, is a step in Claim 1 of the '913 Patent.

18. Thus, each of the Accused Products infringes at least Claim 1 of the '913 Patent.

19. Defendant has indirectly infringed and continues to indirectly infringe one or more claims of the '913 Patent, including at least Claim 3, by, among other things, actively

Case 4:17-cv-00076 Document 1 Filed in TXSD on 01/11/17 Page 4 of 5

inducing infringement through customers and end users use of the Accused Products to practice the patented methods of the '913 Patent. Defendant's customers and end users of the Accused Products who purchase and/or operate such products in accordance with Defendant's instructions and the capabilities built in to the Accused Products by Defendant directly infringe one or more claims of the '913 Patent, including at least Claim 3, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271.

20. Specifically, each of the Accused Product(s) includes a system for location in a taxi for sending notifications to a server. *See <u>http://mobisoftinfotech.com/products/taxi-apps-</u><u>development-solutions</u> and Exhibit A.*

21. Each of the Accused Products includes a position coordinates determination device for obtaining location information. *See <u>http://mobisoftinfotech.com/products/taxi-apps-</u><u>development-solutions</u> and Exhibit A.*

22. Each of the Accused Products includes a transmitter for sending location information obtained from the determination device. *See* <u>http://mobisoftinfotech.com/products/taxi-apps-development-solutions</u> and Exhibit A.

23. Each of the Accused products includes a sensor for sensing if a taxi meter is active or not, thereby indicating availability status, wherein upon sensing of the availability status of the taxi meter, the transmitter sends location information. *See* http://mobisoftinfotech.com/products/taxi-apps-development-solutions and Exhibit A.

24. Each one of the steps of the Accused Product(s), itemized in paragraphs 19-23 above, is an element in Claim 3 of the '913 Patent.

25. Thus, Defendant has actively induced its customers and end-users to directly infringe at least Claim 3 of the '913 Patent.

26. Plaintiff has been, and will continue to be, irreparably harmed by Defendant's ongoing infringement of the '913 Patent.

Case 4:17-cv-00076 Document 1 Filed in TXSD on 01/11/17 Page 5 of 5

27. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's infringement of the '913 Patent, Plaintiff has been and will continue to be damaged in an amount yet to be determined, including but not limited to Plaintiff's lost profits and/or a reasonable royalty.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief against Defendant as follows:

A. In favor of Plaintiff that Defendant has infringed one or more claims of the '913Patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents;

B. Requiring Defendant to pay Plaintiff its damages, costs, expenses, and prejudgment and post-judgment interest for Defendant's infringement of the '913 Patent as provided under 35 U.S.C. § 284, but not less than a reasonable royalty; and

C. For such other and further relief as may be just and equitable.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial on all issues and causes of action triable to a jury.

DATED: January 11, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Todd Y. Brandt

Todd Y. Brandt State Bar No. 24027051 BRANDT LAW FIRM 222 N. Fredonia Street Longview, Texas 75606 Telephone: (903) 212-3130 Facsimile: (903) 753-6761 tbrandt@thebrandtlawfirm.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Hailo Technologies, LLC