Cas	e 3:17-cv-00670-CAB-BLM Document 1 F	Filed 04/03/17 PageID.1 Page 1 of 12				
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	John D. Kinton (CA Bar No. 203250) jkinton@jonesday.com JONES DAY 4655 Executive Drive, Suite 1500 San Diego, CA 92121 Phone: (858) 314-1200 Fax: (858) 314-1150 Attorney for Plaintiff HOIST FITNESS SYSTEMS, INC.					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
10						
11	HOIST FITNESS SYSTEMS, INC.,	Case No. '17CV0670 MMABLM				
12	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND				
13	V.	DAMAGES FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT				
14	TUFFSTUFF FITNESS INTERNATIONAL, INC.,	(DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL)				
15	Defendant.					
16						
17 18						
18 19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
		COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT				

1	Plaintiff Hoist Fitness Systems, Inc. ("Hoist"), asserts this Complaint against				
2	Defendant TuffStuff Fitness International, Inc. ("Defendant").				
3	Hoist seeks injunctive and monetary relief from Defendant for patent				
4	infringement. As alleged more fully below, Defendant has violated, and continues				
5	to violate, the Patent Act (35 U.S.C. § 1, et seq.) through their unauthorized use of				
6	Hoist's intellectual patent rights relating to its strength training products.				
7	Hoist alleges the following against Defendant:				
8	1. This is an action to combat Defendant's willful infringement of Hoist's				
9	United States patents in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271.				
10	2. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful manufacture,				
11	use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation of infringing products, Hoist is				
12	irreparably harmed. Hoist seeks a permanent injunction, damages adequate to				
13	compensate for the infringement and enhanced damages for Defendant's willful				
14	infringement, as well as Hoist's costs, and attorneys' fees as authorized by the				
15	Patent Act.				
16	THE PARTIES				
16 17	THE PARTIES3. Hoist is a corporation organized under, and existing by virtue of, the				
17					
17 18	3. Hoist is a corporation organized under, and existing by virtue of, the				
17 18 19	3. Hoist is a corporation organized under, and existing by virtue of, the laws of the state of California, with its principal place of business located at 11900				
ĺ	3. Hoist is a corporation organized under, and existing by virtue of, the laws of the state of California, with its principal place of business located at 11900 Community Road, Poway, California 92064.				
 17 18 19 20 21 	 3. Hoist is a corporation organized under, and existing by virtue of, the laws of the state of California, with its principal place of business located at 11900 Community Road, Poway, California 92064. 4. On information and belief, Hoist alleges that Defendant is a 				
 17 18 19 20 21 22 	 3. Hoist is a corporation organized under, and existing by virtue of, the laws of the state of California, with its principal place of business located at 11900 Community Road, Poway, California 92064. 4. On information and belief, Hoist alleges that Defendant is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California with its 				
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	 3. Hoist is a corporation organized under, and existing by virtue of, the laws of the state of California, with its principal place of business located at 11900 Community Road, Poway, California 92064. 4. On information and belief, Hoist alleges that Defendant is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California with its principal place of business at 13971 Norton Avenue, Chino, California 91791. 				
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	 3. Hoist is a corporation organized under, and existing by virtue of, the laws of the state of California, with its principal place of business located at 11900 Community Road, Poway, California 92064. 4. On information and belief, Hoist alleges that Defendant is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California with its principal place of business at 13971 Norton Avenue, Chino, California 91791. JURISDICTION AND VENUE 				
17 18 19 20	 Hoist is a corporation organized under, and existing by virtue of, the laws of the state of California, with its principal place of business located at 11900 Community Road, Poway, California 92064. On information and belief, Hoist alleges that Defendant is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California with its principal place of business at 13971 Norton Avenue, Chino, California 91791. <u>JURISDICTION AND VENUE</u> This action arises under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. 				
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	 3. Hoist is a corporation organized under, and existing by virtue of, the laws of the state of California, with its principal place of business located at 11900 Community Road, Poway, California 92064. 4. On information and belief, Hoist alleges that Defendant is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California with its principal place of business at 13971 Norton Avenue, Chino, California 91791. JURISDICTION AND VENUE 5. This action arises under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1, et seq. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28				
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	 3. Hoist is a corporation organized under, and existing by virtue of, the laws of the state of California, with its principal place of business located at 11900 Community Road, Poway, California 92064. 4. On information and belief, Hoist alleges that Defendant is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California with its principal place of business at 13971 Norton Avenue, Chino, California 91791. <u>JURISDICTION AND VENUE</u> This action arises under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1, <i>et seq</i>. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a). 				

business throughout the United States, including in this District, and its infringing 1 activities have occurred and continue to occur throughout the United States and in 2 3 this District. On information and belief, Defendant maintains a sales force in California for the purpose of serving customers in California and in this District. 4 On information and belief, Defendant has furnished and continues to furnish 5 strength training equipment within this District. On information and belief, by 6 furnishing strength training equipment within this District, Defendant has purposely 7 availed itself of the privilege of doing business in California and in this District. 8

9

7.

10

Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

HOIST'S INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS

8. Hoist is in the business of designing, manufacturing, promoting,
 marketing, advertising, distributing, and selling strength training equipment
 worldwide, including the United States and in this District.

9. Hoist began in 1977 when two friends with a passion for exercise and
health launched a fitness club in Solana Beach, CA. They quickly realized the
strength training equipment available did not meet the needs of their facility. Soon
after, Hoist was officially founded with the goal of providing innovative equipment
that would perform better, be easier to use and withstand high-use commercial
settings.

10. Over the years Hoist established a name for itself as an innovator in
developing strength products. Hoist initially specialized in commercial strength
equipment. But later Hoist entered the consumer market after a number of clients
requested "Health Club Quality" fitness equipment, reimagined for home use. The
result was the Hoist 1000 Home Gym, the world's first-ever home gym Vertical
Press. The Hoist 1000 set a new industry standard, firmly establishing Hoist as a
leader in fitness equipment innovation.

27 11. Since then, Hoist has developed and built a series of products suited
28 for the training needs of diverse population groups, ranging from children, to active

- 3 -

1 seniors, to the more athletic and well-conditioned.

One of Hoist's premier commercial fitness product lines is the unique 2 12. ROC-ITTM line. When using ROC-ITTM fitness machines, the user becomes an 3 integral part of the exercise because the position of the user continuously adjusts 4 with the movement of the exercise arm. As a result, the ROC-ITTM line embodies a 5 unique training experience that achieves the unrestricted joint movement and core 6 activating benefits of functional training coupled with the stabilizing benefits of 7 machine-based equipment. The ROC-ITTM products have become prominent 8 throughout the U.S. and around world in major fitness club chains. The ROC-ITTM 9 products are also used at major colleges and universities, and on US military 10 installations. In fact, ROC-ITTM products are on every aircraft carrier in the U.S. 11 Navy. 12

13 13. Hoist has expended significant resources at its San Diego Countybased design center developing its innovative products like ROC-ITTM. As a result
of these innovations, Hoist has been awarded over 70 design and utility patents
world-wide, including United States Patent Nos. 7,549,949 ("'949 patent"),
7,563,209 ("'209 patent"), 7,594,880 ("'880 patent"), 7,654,938 ("'938 patent"),
7,976,440 ("'440 patent") and 7,993,251 ("'251 patent") (collectively, "asserted
patents").

14. Hoist has also expended substantial resources in manufacturing,
promoting, marketing, advertising, distributing and selling its products, brands and
packaging, and has built a very valuable business based on demand for its
distinctively-styled, quality strength training equipment.

24

HOIST'S ASSERTED PATENTS

15. Hoist is the lawful assignee and owner of all right, title, and interest in
and to the '949 patent, which is entitled "Chest press exercise machine with selfaligning pivoting user support." The United States Patent & Trademark Office
("PTO") duly and legally issued the '949 patent on June 23, 2009. A true and

correct copy of the '949 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

16. Hoist is the lawful assignee and owner of all right, title, and interest in
and to the '209 patent, which is entitled "Leg exercise machine with self-aligning
pivoting seat." The PTO duly and legally issued the '209 patent on July 21, 2009.
A true and correct copy of the '209 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

6

7

8

9

17. Hoist is the lawful assignee and owner of all right, title, and interest in and to the '880 patent, which is entitled "Self-aligning pivoting seat exercise machine." The PTO duly and legally issued the '880 patent on September 29, 2009. A true and correct copy of the '880 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 3.

18. Hoist is the lawful assignee and owner of all right, title, and interest in
and to the '938 patent, which is entitled "Exercise machine with pivoting user
support having multiple pivot linkage." The PTO duly and legally issued the '938
patent on February 2, 2010. A true and correct copy of the '938 patent is attached
hereto as Exhibit 4.

15 19. Hoist is the lawful assignee and owner of all right, title, and interest in
and to the '440 patent, which is entitled "Upper back exercise machine with selfaligning pivoting user support." The PTO duly and legally issued the '440 patent
on July 12, 2011. A true and correct copy of the '440 patent is attached hereto as
Exhibit 5.

20 20. Hoist is the lawful assignee and owner of all right, title, and interest in
and to the '251 patent, which is entitled "Pectoral fly exercise machine." The PTO
duly and legally issued the '251 patent on August 9, 2011. A true and correct copy
of the '251 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 6.

24

DEFENDANT'S ACCUSED PRODUCTS

25 21. Defendant's infringing products include the BA-701 Chest Press, the
26 BA-702 Shoulder Press, the BA-703 Biceps Curl, the BA-704 Triceps Dip, BA-705
27 Lat Pulldown, the BA-706 Mid Row, the BA-707 Leg Extension, the BA-708 Leg
28 Curl, and the BA-709 Leg Press (collectively, "Accused Products").

1	22. On information and belief, Defendant is infringing the Hoist asserted			
2	patents by using, manufacturing, offering for sale, selling, and/or importing the			
3	Accused Products without authorization from Hoist.			
4	23. On information and belief, Defendant has not ceased its infringement			
5	of Hoist's foregoing patents.			
6	FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF			
7	(Infringement – '949 patent)			
8	24. Hoist re-alleges and incorporates by reference each of the foregoing			
9	allegations as though fully set forth herein.			
10	25. Hoist is the owner of all right, title, and interest in the '949 patent.			
11	26. Defendant has infringed the '949 patent, and continues to infringe			
12	the '949 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 by using, manufacturing, offering			
13	for sale, selling, and/or importing the BA-701 Chest Press, which practices at least			
14	claims 2, 8 and 23 of the '949 patent. A claim chart comparing the BA-701 Chest			
15	Press to claims 2, 8 and 23 of the '949 patent is attached as Exhibit 7.			
16	27. Hoist has been and will continue to be irreparably injured by			
17	Defendant's ongoing patent infringement in a manner that may be impossible to			
18	quantify, unless enjoined by this Court. Hoist has no adequate remedy at law for			
19	the ongoing injury. Accordingly, Hoist seeks a preliminary and permanent			
20	injunction, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283, to prohibit Defendant from any further			
21	infringement of the '949 patent.			
22	28. As a consequence of Defendant's infringement of the '949 patent and			
23	in addition to injunctive relief, Hoist is entitled to damages, pursuant to 35 U.S.C.			
24	\S 284, in an amount no less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the			
25	invention by Defendant, plus interest and costs.			
26	29. On information and belief, Defendant has willfully infringed the '949			
27	patent. Hoist is entitled to increased damages of three times the damages assessed			
28	pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, as well as attorney's fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285.			

Cas	e 3:17-cv-00670-CAB-BLM Document 1 Filed 04/03/17 PageID.7 Page 7 of 12			
1	SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF			
2	(Infringement – '209 patent)			
3	30. Hoist re-alleges and incorporates by reference each of the foregoing			
4	allegations as though fully set forth herein.			
5	31. Hoist is the owner of all right, title, and interest in the '209 patent.			
6	32. Defendant has infringed the '209 patent, and continues to infringe			
7	the '209 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 by using, manufacturing, offering			
8	for sale, selling, and/or importing the BA-707 Leg Extension and BA-708 Leg Curl,			
9	each of which practices at least claims 6 and 21 of the '209 patent. A claim chart			
10	comparing the BA-707 Leg Extension to claims 6 and 21 of the '209 patent is			
11	attached as Exhibit 8.			
12	33. Hoist has been and will continue to be irreparably injured by			
13	Defendant's ongoing patent infringement in a manner that may be impossible to			
14	quantify, unless enjoined by this Court. Hoist has no adequate remedy at law for			
15	the ongoing injury. Accordingly, Hoist seeks a preliminary and permanent			
16	injunction, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283, to prohibit Defendant from any further			
17	infringement of the '209 patent.			
18	34. As a consequence of Defendant's infringement of the '209 patent and			
19	in addition to injunctive relief, Hoist is entitled to damages, pursuant to 35 U.S.C.			
20	§ 284, in an amount no less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the			
21	invention by Defendant, plus interest and costs.			
22	35. On information and belief, Defendant has willfully infringed the '209			
23	patent. Hoist is entitled to increased damages of three times the damages assessed			
24	pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, as well as attorney's fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285.			
25	THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF			
26	(Infringement – '880 patent)			
27	36. Hoist re-alleges and incorporates by reference each of the foregoing			
28	allegations as though fully set forth herein.			
ļ				

37. Hoist is the owner of all right, title, and interest in the '880 patent.

2 38. Defendant has infringed the '880 patent, and continue to infringe the '880 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 by using, manufacturing, offering 3 for sale, selling, and/or importing the BA-701 Chest Press, the BA-702 Shoulder 4 Press, the BA-703 Biceps Curl, the BA-704 Triceps Dip, and the BA-709 Leg Press, 5 each of which practices at least claim 22 of the '880 patent. 6

39. 7 Hoist has been and will continue to be irreparably injured by 8 Defendant's ongoing patent infringement in a manner that may be impossible to 9 quantify, unless enjoined by this Court. Hoist has no adequate remedy at law for 10 the ongoing injury. Accordingly, Hoist seeks a preliminary and permanent 11 injunction, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283, to prohibit Defendant from any further infringement of the '880 patent. A claim chart comparing the BA-701 Chest Press 12 13 to claim 22 of the '880 patent is attached as Exhibit 9.

40. As a consequence of Defendant's infringement of the '880 patent and 14 in addition to injunctive relief, Hoist is entitled to damages, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 15 § 284, in an amount no less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the 16 invention by Defendant, plus interest and costs. 17

On information and belief, Defendant has willfully infringed the '880 18 41. patent. Hoist is entitled to increased damages of three times the damages assessed 19 pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, as well as attorney's fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285. 20

21 22 23 24

1

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Infringement - '938 patent)

42. Hoist re-alleges and incorporates by reference each of the foregoing allegations as though fully set forth herein.

25

43. Hoist is the owner of all right, title, and interest in the '938 patent.

44. Defendant has infringed the '938 patent, and continue to infringe 26 the '938 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 by using, manufacturing, offering 27 28 for sale, selling, and/or importing the BA-701 Chest Press, the BA-702 Shoulder

Press, the BA-703 Biceps Curl, the BA-704 Triceps Dip, and the BA-709 Leg Press,
 each of which practices at least claims 1, 12 and 13 of the '938 patent. A claim
 chart comparing the BA-701 Chest Press to claims 1, 12 and 13 of the '938 patent
 is attached as Exhibit 10.

45. Hoist has been and will continue to be irreparably injured by
Defendant's ongoing patent infringement in a manner that may be impossible to
quantify, unless enjoined by this Court. Hoist has no adequate remedy at law for
the ongoing injury. Accordingly, Hoist seeks a preliminary and permanent
injunction, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283, to prohibit Defendant from any further
infringement of the '938 patent.

46. As a consequence of Defendant's infringement of the '938 patent and
in addition to injunctive relief, Hoist is entitled to damages, pursuant to 35 U.S.C.
§ 284, in an amount no less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the
invention by Defendant, plus interest and costs.

47. On information and belief, Defendant has willfully infringed the '938
patent. Hoist is entitled to increased damages of three times the damages assessed
pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, as well as attorney's fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285.

18 19

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Infringement - '440 patent)

48. Hoist re-alleges and incorporates by reference each of the foregoing
allegations as though fully set forth herein.

22

49. Hoist is the owner of all right, title, and interest in the '440 patent.

50. Defendant has infringed the '440 patent, and continue to infringe
the '440 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 by using, manufacturing, offering
for sale, selling, and/or importing the BA-705 Lat Pulldown and the BA-706 Mid
Row, each of which practices at least claims 5, 12, 13 and 20 of the '440 patent. A
claim chart comparing the BA-705 Lat Pulldown to claims 5, 12, 13 and 20 of
the '440 patent is attached as Exhibit 11.

1	51. Hoist has been and will continue to be irreparably injured by			
2	Defendant's ongoing patent infringement in a manner that may be impossible to			
3	quantify, unless enjoined by this Court. Hoist has no adequate remedy at law for			
4	the ongoing injury. Accordingly, Hoist seeks a preliminary and permanent			
5	injunction, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283, to prohibit Defendant from any further			
6	infringement of the '440 patent.			
7	52. As a consequence of Defendant's infringement of the '440 patent and			
8	in addition to injunctive relief, Hoist is entitled to damages, pursuant to 35 U.S.C.			
9	§ 284, in an amount no less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the			
10	invention by Defendant, plus interest and costs.			
11	53. On information and belief, Defendant has willfully infringed the '440			
12	patent. Hoist is entitled to increased damages of three times the damages assessed			
13	pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, as well as attorney's fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285.			
14	SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF			
15	(Infringement – '251 patent)			
16	54. Hoist re-alleges and incorporates by reference each of the foregoing			
17	allegations as though fully set forth herein.			
18	55. Hoist is the owner of all right, title, and interest in the '251 patent.			
19	56. Defendant has infringed the '251 patent, and continues to infringe			
20	the '251 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 by using, manufacturing, offering			
21	for sale, selling, and/or importing the BA-701 Chest Press, which practices at least			
22	claims 54 and 71 of the '251 patent. A claim chart comparing the BA-701 Chest			
23	Press to claims 54 and 71 of the '251 patent is attached as Exhibit 12.			
24	57. Hoist has been and will continue to be irreparably injured by			
25	Defendant's ongoing patent infringement in a manner that may be impossible to			
26	quantify, unless enjoined by this Court. Hoist has no adequate remedy at law for			
	quantify, unless enjoined by this court. Those has no adequate remedy at law for			
27	the ongoing injury. Accordingly, Hoist seeks a preliminary and permanent			
27 28				

Case	3:17-cv-00670-CAB-BLM Document 1 Filed 04/03/17 PageID.11 Page 11 of 12				
1					
1	infringement of the '251 patent.				
2	58. As a consequence of Defendant's infringement of the '251 patent and				
3	in addition to injunctive relief, Hoist is entitled to damages, pursuant to 35 U.S.C.				
4	§ 284, in an amount no less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the				
5	invention by Defendant, plus interest and costs.				
6	59. On information and belief, Defendant has willfully infringed the '251				
7	patent. Hoist is entitled to increased damages of three times the damages assessed				
8	pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, as well as attorney's fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285.				
9	PRAYER FOR RELIEF				
10	WHEREFORE, Hoist respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment for				
11	Hoist and against Defendant, and award Hoist the following relief:				
12	1. A judgment that Defendant has infringed one or more claims of the				
13	asserted patents;				
14	2. Permanently enjoining Defendant, its successors, officers, agents,				
15	and employees, and anyone acting in concert or participation with or				
16	at the behest or direction of any of them, from further infringing the				
17	asserted patents by manufacturing, using, offering for sale, selling, or				
18	importing any products that infringe the asserted patents;				
19	3. Awarding Hoist compensation for any and all damages, injury or				
20	harm pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;				
21	4. Awarding Hoist treble damages resulting from Defendant's willful				
22	and intentional conduct pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;				
23	5. Assessing Hoist's costs of this action and Hoist's attorneys' fees				
24	against Defendant pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 284-285; and				
25	6. Ordering or awarding any other such relief that the Court deems just				
26	and proper.				
27	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL				
28	Hoist hereby makes a demand pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure				
	1 1 COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT				
	- 11 -				

Case	3:17-cv-00670-CAB-BLM	Document 1	Filed 04/03/17	PageID.12 Page 12 of 12			
1	38(b) for a trial by jury	38(b) for a trial by jury on all issues triable to a jury.					
2	Dated: April 3, 2017		JONES DAY	<i>r</i> ,			
3							
4			/s/ John D. K John D. Kint	<i>inton</i> on			
5							
6				Plaintiff VESS SYSTEMS, INC.			
7			Email: jkinte	on@jonesday.com			
8							
9							
10 11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							
			- 12 -	DMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT			