

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

**DESHODAX LLC,**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**LENOVO (UNITED STATES), INC.,**

**Defendant.**

**No. 1:17-cv-**

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

**ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

Plaintiff Deshodax LLC, by and through its undersigned counsel, files its Original Complaint for Patent Infringement and alleges based on knowledge as to itself and information and belief as to the Defendant as follows.

**THE PARTIES**

1. Plaintiff Deshodax LLC is a Texas limited liability company with a principal office at 3000 Custer Road, Suite 270-7001, Plano, Texas 75075.
2. Defendant Lenovo (United States), Inc., is a Delaware corporation with a principal office at 1009 Think Place, Morrisville, North Carolina 27560. Defendant may be served with process at The Corporation Trust Company, Corporation Trust Center, 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19801.

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

3. This action arises under the Patent Act, 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*
4. Subject matter jurisdiction is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338.
5. Upon information and belief, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because (i) Defendant conducts business in this Judicial District, directly or through intermediaries; (ii) at least a portion of the alleged infringements occurred in this Judicial District; and (iii) Defendant regularly solicits business, engages in other persistent courses of

conduct, or derives revenue from goods and services provided to individuals in this Judicial District.

6. Venue is proper in this Judicial District under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b).

### **THE PATENT-IN-SUIT**

7. On December 11, 2007, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office duly and lawfully issued U.S. Patent No. 7,307,398 (the “398 patent”), entitled “Image Processing Device and Method for Controlling a Motor System.” A true and correct copy of the 398 patent is attached at Exhibit A.

8. The 398 patent is presumed valid under 35 U.S.C. § 282(a).

9. Plaintiff is the owner and assignee of all substantial rights, title, and interest in the 398 patent.

### **THE ACCUSED PRODUCT**

10. Defendant makes, uses, sells, offers for sale, or imports one or more products that infringe one or more claims of the 398 patent.

11. Defendant’s Accused Products are its Lenovo Phab 2 and Phab 2 Pro smartphones and its Motorola g4 Plus smartphone.

### **COUNT I**

#### **DIRECT INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,307,398**

12. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of its foregoing allegations.

13. Without license or authorization and in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), Defendant directly infringes one or more claims of the 398 patent in this District and throughout the United States, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

14. Defendant directly infringes at least Claim 8 of the 398 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by, among other things, making, using (at least by testing the Accused Products before selling), offering for sale, selling, or importing within this District and the United States its Accused Products as explained in Exhibit B.

15. Claim 8 is understandable to a person of ordinary skill in the art who has the requisite education, training, and experience with the technology at issue in this case.

16. A person of ordinary skill in the art understands Plaintiff's theory of how Defendant's Accused Products infringe Claim 8 upon a plain reading of this Complaint, the 398 patent, and Claim 8.

17. Plaintiff reserves the right to modify its infringement theory as discovery progresses in this case, and it shall not be estopped for infringement contention or claim construction purposes by its preliminary infringement analysis as provided in this Complaint. Plaintiff's preliminary infringement analysis is not representative of its final infringement contention and claim construction positions.

18. Since at least the date that Defendant was served with a copy of this Complaint, Defendant has known that its Accused Products directly infringe one or more claims of the 398 patent.

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

Plaintiff requests the following relief:

- A. Judgment that Defendant has infringed the 398 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a);
- B. An accounting of all infringing acts including, but not limited to, those acts not presented at trial;
- C. An award of damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 adequate to compensate Plaintiff for Defendant's past and future infringement, including any infringement from the date of filing of this Complaint through the date of judgment, together with interest and costs;
- D. Judgment that this case is exceptional under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and an award of Plaintiff's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs; and
- E. Such further relief at law or in equity that this Court deems just and proper.

#### **JURY TRIAL DEMAND**

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all claims and issues so triable under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b).

Dated: June 22, 2017

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Timothy Devlin

Timothy Devlin  
Delaware Bar No. 4241  
DEVLIN LAW FIRM LLC  
1306 N. Broom Street, 1st Floor  
Wilmington, DE 19806  
Phone: (302) 449-9010  
tdevlin@devlinlawfirm.com

OF COUNSEL:

Peter J. Corcoran, III  
CORCORAN IP LAW, PLLC  
2019 Richmond Road, Suite 380  
Texarkana, TX 75503  
Phone: (903) 701-2481  
Fax: (844) 362-3291  
peter@corcoranip.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiff Deshodax LLC*