

DR.LAKSHMI ARUNACHALAM
222 Stanford Avenue, Menlo Park, CA 94025
TEL: (650) 690-0995
FAX: (650) 854-3393
Email: laks22002@yahoo.com
Pro Se Plaintiff

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**
DR. LAKSHMI ARUNACHALAM, **Case No.:16-cv-281-RGA**
Plaintiff,
v. **NOTICE OF APPEAL**

**INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS
MACHINES CORPORATION,
SAP AMERICA, INC.,
JPMORGAN CHASE & CO.,
HON. RICHARD G.ANDREWS, AND
DOES 1-100,**
Defendants

Notice is hereby given that Plaintiff, Dr. Lakshmi Arunachalam (“Dr. Arunachalam”), hereby timely appeals to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit from:

1. The Order entered in this case on May 22, 2018, (DI. 117) granting Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss (D.I. 105) the patent infringement claims, and dismissal with prejudice of the remaining counts of the amended complaint (D.I. 6), and dismissing Plaintiff’s motion for leave to file a sur-reply (D.I. 110) as moot, and denying Plaintiff’s motion "for this court to give a statement of decision on whether contract law applies to patents" (D.I. 114), ordering the Clerk to close the case, denying Plaintiff due process of filing a Motion to Reconsider, together with the underlying Memorandum Opinion and Order of the Court (DI. 116);
2. Clerk’s Order (D.I. 47) of 7/26/2016 denying Plaintiff’s Motion for Entry of Default (D.I. 42);
3. Hon. Leonard P. Stark’s Order (D.I. 61) of 9/12/2016 referring Motion: Statement of Interest (D.I. 33) of the United States of America;

4. the Memorandum Order (D.I. 72) denying Plaintiff's Motion to Recuse Judge Stark, and granting the government's Motion to Dismiss (D.I. 33), and dismissing Judge Andrews as a Defendant;
5. the Order (D.I. 80) of 2/24/17 denying Motion to Recuse Judge Andrews (D.I. 40), denying Plaintiff's motion for entry of default judgment (D.I. 44), and the motion for reconsideration (D.I. 48); denying the motion for extension of time to file reply brief (D.I. 63) as moot; denying the motion for certification of final judgment (D.I. 78);
6. ORDER of 3/7/2017 (D.I. 84) Denying MOTION to Recuse Hon. Judge Andrews (D.I. 83); Oral Order (D.I. 87) of 3/8/2017 denying Plaintiff's Motion (D.I. 85) to Order Hon. Judge Andrews to Recuse As His Public Employer; Order (D.I. 88) of 3/8/2017 denying Plaintiff's REQUEST (D.I. 86) (to the extent it seeks relief in the above-captioned case and otherwise dismissing it.) to Hon. Judge Andrews as His Public Employer to Vacate and Enter a New and Different Order Per '*First Impression*' Constitutional *Res Judicata* on Government Grants and to Give Equal Access to the Court and Stop Discriminating in the Disparate Filing Procedure;
7. Order (D.I. 90) of 3/21/17 dismissing the RICO claims and granting Defendants' Motions (D.I. 17, 21 and 28) to Dismiss, and dismissing Counts 2, 3 and 4;
8. Order of 1/24/18 (D.I.103) denying Motion to Vacate (D.I. 92) Order of 3/21/17 Pursuant to FRCP 60(b)(4) and 60(b)(5) and to Recuse Hon. Judge Andrews; and denying the motion to recuse Judge Andrews (D.I. 97), and denying Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment (D.I. 98)., and denying Plaintiff's motion for leave to amend pleadings (D.I. 93), and prohibiting any further attempts to amend as futile, and without leave of court.

A Certificate of Service and the Appeal filing fee of \$505 are attached.

June 22, 2018
222 Stanford Avenue
Menlo Park, CA 94025
650.690.0995, laks22002@yahoo.com

Respectfully Submitted,

Lakshmi Arunachalam

Pro Se Plaintiff,
Dr. Lakshmi Arunachalam

