	Case 3:11-cv-02586-BEN-BLM Docum	nent 1 Filed 11/07/11 Page 1 of 5						
1	X-PATENTS, APC JONATHAN HANGARTNER, Cal. Bar No. 196268							
2	5670 La Jolla Blvd. La Jolla, CA 92037							
3	Telephone: 858-454-4313 Facsimile: 858-454-4313							
4	jon@x-patents.com							
5	Jennifer Towle, Cal. Bar No. 225095 W. Bryan Farney							
6	Steven R. Daniels, Cal. Bar No. 235398 FARNEY DANIELS LLP							
7	800 S. Austin Ave., Suite 200 Georgetown, Texas 78626							
8	Telephone: (512) 582-2828 Facsimile: (512) 582-2829							
9	JTowle@farneydaniels.com BFarney@farneydaniels.com							
10	SDaniels@farneydaniels.com							
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff Mobile Commerce Framework Inc.							
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
13	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
14								
15	MOBILE COMMERCE FRAMEWORK	Case No. 111CV2586 MMAWMc						
16	INC.,							
17	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT						
18	·							
19	V.	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED						
20	Groupon, Inc.,							
21	Defendant.							
22								
23	Plaintiff Mobile Commerce Framework Inc. ("MCF") for its complaint against							
24	Defendant Groupon, Inc. ("Groupon") avers as follows:							
25	PARTIES							
26		n organized under the laws of Delaware, with its						
27	_							
28	principal place of business at 24196 Alicia Parkway, Suite L, Mission Viejo, California 92691.							
	COMPLAINT	- 1 -						

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

2. On information and belief, Defendant Groupon is a corporation organized under the laws of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 600 W. Chicago Avenue, Ste. 830, Chicago, Illinois 60654-2822.

JURISDICTION

- 3. This is a civil action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States of America, 35 U.S.C. § 1, et seq.
- 4. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 & 1338.
- 5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Groupon because Groupon purposefully offers and provides the infringing products through established distribution channels into the State of California and the Southern District of California.
- 6. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c) and 1400(b) because Groupon offers the infringing products to customers in the Southern District of California and because Groupon is subject to personal jurisdiction in the Southern District of California.
- 7. This case involves the same patent at issue in the matter *Mobile Commerce*Framework, Inc. v. Foursquare Labs, Inc., Civil Action No. 3:11-cv-00481-BEN-BLM, which is currently pending in the United States Court for the Southern District of California.

BACKGROUND

- 8. On April 6, 2010, United States Patent No. 7,693,752 (the '752 patent), on an invention entitled "MOBILE COMMERCE FRAMEWORK," was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. Attached as Exhibit A is a copy of the '752 patent.
- 9. The '752 patent has been in force and effect since its issuance. MCF is the owner of the entire right, title and interest in and to the '752 patent.
- 10. Groupon has made and distributes to customers throughout the United States various software applications for mobile devices that can be used to subscribe to the Groupon platform to obtain information and offers from merchants based on their merchant type and physical location.

COMPLAINT - 2 -

1

COUNT I

2 3

(INFRINGEMENT OF THE '752 PATENT)

4 5

7

6

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

- 11. MCF realleges and incorporates the previous paragraphs of this Complaint as though set forth in full herein.
- 12. Groupon has used, offered for sale, sold, and/or imported in the United States products, including at least various Groupon mobile applications, such as, for example, Groupon for iphone, Groupon for iPad, Groupon for Android, Groupon for Blackberry, and Groupon for Windows Phone 7, which literally and under the doctrine of equivalents infringe one or more claims of the '752 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271.
- 13. MCF has been damaged and has suffered irreparable injury due to acts of infringement by Groupon and will continue to suffer irreparable injury unless Groupon's activities are enjoined.
- 14. MCF has suffered and will continue to suffer substantial damages by reason of Groupon's acts of patent infringement alleged above, and MCF is entitled to recover from Groupon for the damages sustained as a result of Groupon's acts.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, MCF prays that judgment be entered by this Court in its favor and against Groupon as follows:

- A. That Groupon has infringed the '752 patent;
- В. Permanently enjoining and restraining Groupon, its agents, affiliates, subsidiaries, servants, employees, officers, directors, attorneys and those persons in active concert with or controlled by Groupon from further infringing the '752 patent;
- C. For an award of damages adequate to compensate MCF for the damages it has suffered as a result of Groupon's conduct, including pre-judgment interest;
- D. That Groupon be directed to withdraw from distribution all infringing products, whether in the possession of Groupon or its distributors or retailers, and that all infringing products or materials be impounded or destroyed;
 - E. For monetary damages in an amount according to proof;

	Case 3:11-cv-02586-E	BEN-BLM	Document 1	Filed 11/07/11	Page 4 of 5				
1	F. For intere	est on said d	amages at the le	egal rate from and	after the date such damages				
2	were incurred;								
3	G. For such of	other relief	as the Court ma	ny deem just and p	roper.				
4	4	<u>DE</u>	MAND FOR J	URY TRIAL					
5	Plaintiff MCF he	Plaintiff MCF hereby demands a jury trial as to all issues that are so triable.							
6	5								
7	Dated: November 7, 201	11	X-PA	ΓENTS, APC					
8	3								
9	9		By:	/s/ Jonathan Han Jonathan Hangar					
10)			Attorneys for Pla	nintiff Mobile Commerce				
11	1			Framework Inc.					
12	2								
13	3								
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21 22									
23									
24									
25									
26									
27									
28									
	COMPLADITE		1						

COMPLAINT - 4 -

Case 3:11-cv-02586-BEN-BLM Document 1 Filed 11/07/11 Page 5 of 5

SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

T 77 W		DEPOSID AND				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		They shall built and bett-all better Annual Better Stock S	DEFENDANTS			
MOBILE COMMERC	E FRAMEWORK INC.	GROUPON, INC	GROUPON, INC.			
(E	of First Listed Plaintiff Orange XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) Address, and Telephone Number)	NOTE: IN LAND	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)			
X-Patents, APC, 5670 I	La Jolla Blvd., La Jolla, CA 92037; tel:					
858-454-4313	za sona zival, za sona, sivi zzor, ien	B				
II. BASIS OF JURISD	DICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF PI				
U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) PT Citizen of This State	F DEF			
2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity	Citizen of Another State				
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		of Business In An	other State		
		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	0606		
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	Foreign Country		1.00		
CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES		
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment Æ Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise ■ REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property		620 Other Food & Drug	□ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
□ 2 R	tate Court Appellate Court	Reopened another		Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment		
11220 02710 2000 00710 00710	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you a	are filing (Do not cite jurisdictions	al statutes unless diversity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	Brief description of cause: Patent intringement	sa Santis or	7000000 WILDOW			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	N DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only it JURY DEMAND:	f demanded in complaint: Ø Yes No		
VIII. RELATED CAS		. Benitez	DOCKET NUMBER 11	-cv-00481-BEN-BLM		
DATE	SIGNATURE OF A	TTORNEY OF RECORD	AAA			
11/07/2011	s/ Jonathan H	angartner				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		1 2 2 2	141			
RECEIPT#	AMOUNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUD	GE		