# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

CATHAS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES LLC,	
Plaintiff,	Civil Action No
V.	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
LINKEDIN CORPORATION,	
Defendant.	

# **COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

Plaintiff, Cathas Advanced Technologies, LLC ("Cathas") by its undersigned attorneys complains of Defendant LinkedIn Corporation, ("LinkedIn" or "Defendant"), and alleges as follows:

#### **PARTIES**

- 1. Plaintiff Cathas is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware with offices located at 2961 Fontenay Road, Shaker Heights, OH 44120.
- 2. On information and belief, Defendant LinkedIn Corporation ("LinkedIn"), is a Delaware corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, has a principal place of business at 2029 Stierlin Court, Mountain View, California, 94043. Defendant LinkedIn has appointed the Corporation Service Company, 2711 Centerville Road, Suite 400, Wilmington, Delaware 19808, as its agent for service of process.
- 3. On information and belief, Defendant LinkedIn, through its subsidiaries allows members to create, manage, and share their professional identity online, build and engage with

their professional network, access shared knowledge and insights, and find business opportunities. Its platform also provides members with solutions, including applications and tools to search, connect and communicate with business contacts, learn about career opportunities, join industry groups, research organizations and share information. LinkedIn operates one or more servers that enable users to register and create personal profiles. Through this and other actions, LinkedIn collects and stores information from its users. In turn, LinkedIn enables its users to receive information about products and services from business enterprises or other entities in which the users might be interested.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 4. This action for infringement arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code.
- 5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 6. This court has personal jurisdiction over LinkedIn based on the following, among other reasons: (a) LinkedIn is a Delaware corporation that is authorized to do business in the State of Delaware and regularly conducts such business; (b) LinkedIn maintains a website and social media presence that are accessible to residents of the State of Delaware; (c) LinkedIn has purposefully availed itself of the benefits and protections of Delaware law by doing and transacting business in the State of Delaware; and (d) LinkedIn has committed tortuous acts, including committing acts of patent infringement in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, that it knew or should have known would cause injury to Cathas in the State of Delaware. All of LinkedIn's contacts with the State of Delaware are systematic, continuous and substantial.

7. Venue is proper in this district under at least 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c) and 1400(b) because LinkedIn is subject to personal jurisdiction in this District and regularly transacts business in this District; and because Defendant has committed one or more acts of patent infringement within this Judicial District.

#### THE PATENT-IN-SUIT

- 8. Plaintiff Cathas is owner by Assignment of United States Patent No. 7,412,446 ("the '446 Patent") entitled "Method, System and Storage Medium For Providing Supplier Branding Services Over a Communications Network." The '446 Patent was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent & Trademark Office on August 12. 2008. A true and correct copy of the '446 Patent is attached as Exhibit 1.
  - 9. Tulip Shah is the named inventor on the '446 Patent.
- 10. The '446 Patent generally covers a method, system and storage medium for providing and managing supplier-branded marketing and related services to targeted constituencies within a customer organization utilizing information exchanges over a communications network. The '446 Patent also covers the collection of supplier-branded information and sales collateral, as well as collecting personal information from customers or from user behavior, storing it in a database and establishing online marketing based on those activities.

## COUNT I INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,412,446

- 11. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 1-10 as if fully incorporated herein.
- 12. On information and belief, Defendant has been and now is directly infringing the '446 Patent in the State of Delaware, in this Judicial District, and elsewhere in the United States.

- or more claims of the '446 Patent in the State of Delaware, in this Judicial District, and elsewhere in the United States, by, among other things, making, using, operating, selling or offering to sell products or services employing a method, system and/or storage medium for providing and managing supplier-branded marketing and related services to targeted customers covered by at least claim 1 of the '446 Patent. For example, LinkedIn Ads, which is a LinkedIn Advertising Solutions product provided via LinkedIn's website, <a href="www.LinkedIn.com">www.LinkedIn.com</a>, offers several advertising platforms for customers to deliver personalized campaign messages and offerings across the LinkedIn network to targeted consumers based on previously entered profile information.
- 14. Defendant is thus liable for infringement of the '446 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.
- 15. As a result of Defendant's past infringement of the '446 Patent, Plaintiff Cathas has suffered monetary damages in an amount adequate to compensate for Defendant's past infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the invention by Defendant, together with interest and cost as fixed by the Court, and Plaintiff Cathas will continue to suffer damages in the future unless Defendant's infringing activities are enjoined by the Court.
- 16. At least prior to the filing of this action and with respect to the '446 Patent, Plaintiff had no marking obligations pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 287(a).
- 17. Plaintiff has incurred and will incur attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses in the prosecution of this action. The circumstances of this dispute create an exceptional case within

the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285, and Plaintiff is entitled to recover its reasonable and necessary fees and expenses.

## **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Cathas respectfully requests that this Court enter:

- 1. A judgment in favor of Plaintiff Cathas declaring that Defendant has infringed the '446 Patent;
- 2. A judgment and order requiring Defendant to pay Plaintiff Cathas its damages, costs, expenses, and prejudgment and post-judgment interest for Defendant's infringement of the '446 Patent as provided under 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- 3. An award to Plaintiff Cathas for enhanced damages as provided under 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- 4. A judgment and order finding that this is an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and awarding to Plaintiff Cathas its reasonable attorneys' fees; and
- 5. An award of any and all other relief to which Plaintiff Cathas may show itself to be entitled.

## **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff Cathas Advanced Technologies, LLC, under Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, requests a trial by jury of any issues so triable by right.

Dated: May 29, 2012 BAYARD, P.A.

/s/ Richard D. Kirk (rk0922)
Richard D. Kirk (rk0922)
Stephen B. Brauerman (sb4952)
222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 900
P.O. Box 25130
Wilmington, DE 19899
rkirk@bayardlaw.com
sbrauerman@bayardlaw.com
(302) 655-5000

Attorneys for Plaintiff Cathas Advanced Technologies, LLC