1	Tyson K. Hottinger (California State Bar No. 253221)						
2	E-mail: thottinger@wnlaw.com						
3	David R. Wright (Utah State Bar No. 5164; <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> Forthcoming) E-mail: dwright@wnlaw.com						
4	Kirk R. Harris (Utah State Bar No. 10221; Pro Hac Vice Forthcoming)						
5	E-mail: kharris@wnlaw.com WORKMAN NYDEGGER A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION						
6	20 Pacifica, Suite 1130 & 60 E. South Temple, Suite 1000 Irvine, California 92618 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111						
7							
8	Telephone: (949) 242-1900 Telephone: (801) 533-9800 Facsimile: (949) 453-1104 Facsimile: (801) 328-1707						
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
10	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
11							
12	ICON HEALTH & FITNESS, INC., a	Case No.: SACV12-00531 JVS (ANx)					
13	Delaware corporation,	Case 110					
14	Plaintiff,						
15	i idiliti,						
16	V.	COMPLAINT FOR					
17	HEINZ KETTLER GMBH & CO., KG, a	PATENT INFRINGEMENT					
18	corporation; KETTLER INTERNATIONAL, INC., a Virginia						
19	corporation,						
20	Defendants.	[Demand For Jury Trial]					
21	Dolondants.	[Demand 101 out y 11 mil					
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

	ĺ					
2						
3						
3						
5						
6						
	, 7					
Ç						
1						
	1					
	1 2					
1	2					
1						
1						
1						
1						
1						
	8					
	9					
2						
2						
2.						
2						
2						
2	5					
2	6					

Plaintiff ICON Health & Fitness, Inc. ("ICON") hereby complains against defendants Heinz Kettler GmbH Co., KG ("Heinz Kettler") and Kettler International, Inc. ("Kettler") (collectively "Defendants") and alleges as follows:

THE PARTIES

- 1. ICON is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 1500 South 1000 West, Logan, Utah, 84321.
- 2. ICON alleges upon information and belief that Heinz Kettler is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the Federal Republic of Germany with its principal place of business located at Hauptstrasse 28, D-59469 Ense-Parsit, Germany.
- 3. ICON alleges upon information and belief that Kettler is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the commonwealth of Virginia with its principal place of business located at 1355 London Bridge Road, Virginia Beach, Virginia 23453.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This is a civil action by ICON for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, including 35 U.S.C. § 271, which gives rise to the remedies specified under 35 U.S.C. §§ 281, 283, 284, and 285.
- 5. This court has original jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a).
- 6. ICON further alleges on information and belief that Defendants sold or contracted for the sale of infringing goods to consumers within the State of California, including Los Angeles and Orange Counties. These actions by Defendants relate to and, in part, give rise to the claims asserted herein by ICON, and have resulted in injury to ICON.
- 7. ICON alleges on information and belief that Defendants maintain physical points of sale and service of infringing goods in Los Angeles and Orange Counties.

27

28

- 8. ICON alleges on information and belief that Defendants advertise, market, and sell its products through its web site located at www.kettlerusa.com, which is available to persons within the State of California.
- 9. This Court's exercise of personal jurisdiction over Defendants is consistent with the Constitutions of the United States and the State of California.
- 10. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c), Defendants are deemed to reside in this judicial district for purposes of venue.
- 11. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to, at least, 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b).

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 12. ICON is an award-winning innovator in the field of exercise equipment and manufactures and sells a variety of consumer products.
- 13. ICON came to its position of technological leadership through hard work and substantial investment in research and development. As a result of its endeavors, many of the technological innovations created and owned by ICON are protected by a valuable and diverse intellectual property portfolio that includes patents, trademarks, trade dress, and copyrights.
- 14. Many of the technological innovations owned by ICON are protected by a portfolio of utility and design patents, which includes United States Patent Nos. 5,772,560 (the "'560 Patent"), 6,447,424 (the "'424 Patent"), 6,808,472 (the "'472 Patent"), 7,166,064 (the "'064 Patent"), and 7,556,590 (the "'590 Patent") (collectively the "ICON Patents").
 - 15. ICON is the owner by assignment of the ICON Patents.
- 16. ICON has not licensed Defendants to practice the ICON Patents, and Defendants have no right or authority to license others to practice the ICON Patents.
- 17. ICON alleges upon information and belief that Defendants import, make, use, sell, and/or offer for sale within the United States and within California, either directly or through established distribution channels, products that give rise to

1	
2	

4

5 6

8

9

7

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27

28

infringement of the ICON Patents, including by way of example and not limitation, the TX1 and TX3 Treadmills and the RX7 Recumbent Bike, in conjunction with the World Tours Software.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Patent Infringement of the '560 Patent Against Defendants)

- By this reference ICON realleges and incorporates the foregoing paragraphs 18. 1 through 17, as though fully set forth herein.
- ICON alleges on information and belief that Defendants have infringed and continue to infringe the '560 Patent by making, using, selling, offering for sale within the United States, or importing into the United States systems and products that embody one or more of the claims of the '560 Patent, and/or by contributing to infringement, inducing others to infringe the '560 Patent, or carrying out acts constituting infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271(f).
- ICON further alleges on information and belief that Defendants had 20. knowledge of the '560 Patent since at least as early as August 2011, Defendants had, and continue to have, the specific intent to induce their customers to infringe the '560 Patent, and Defendants' customers do in fact infringe the '560 Patent, of which infringement Defendants know or should have known.
- 21. ICON further alleges on information and belief that Defendants provide products, devices, systems, and/or services that are especially made to be used, are intended to be used, and are in fact used by Defendants' customers, in a way that infringes the '560 Patent, and that have no substantial non-infringing uses.
- ICON alleges on information and belief that, unless and until enjoined by this Court, Defendants will continue to infringe the '560 Patent.
- 23. The conduct of Defendants as set forth hereinabove gives rise to a claim for infringement of the '560 Patent, pursuant to at least 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 and 281.
- ICON alleges on information and belief that Defendants will continue to 24. infringe the '560 Patent subsequent to receiving notice of the initiation of this action

despite an objectively high likelihood that their actions constitute infringement, thereby making their actions both willful and deliberate.

25. By reason of the foregoing, ICON is entitled to injunctive and monetary relief against Defendants, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 283, 284, and 285.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Patent Infringement of the '424 Patent Against Defendants)

- 26. By this reference ICON realleges and incorporates the foregoing paragraphs 1 through 25, as though fully set forth herein.
- 27. ICON alleges on information and belief that Defendants have infringed and continue to infringe the '424 Patent by making, using, selling, offering for sale within the United States, or importing into the United States systems and products that embody one or more of the claims of the '424 Patent, and/or by contributing to infringement, inducing others to infringe the '424 Patent, or carrying out acts constituting infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271(f).
- 28. ICON further alleges on information and belief that Defendants had knowledge of the '424 Patent since at least as early as August 2011, Defendants had, and continue to have, the specific intent to induce their customers to infringe the '424 Patent, and Defendants' customers do in fact infringe the '424 Patent, of which infringement Defendants know or should have known.
- 29. ICON further alleges on information and belief that Defendants provide products, devices, systems, and/or services that are especially made to be used, are intended to be used, and are in fact used by Defendants' customers, in a way that infringes the '424 Patent, and that have no substantial non-infringing uses.
- 30. ICON alleges on information and belief that, unless and until enjoined by this Court, Defendants will continue to infringe the '424 Patent.
- 31. The conduct of Defendants as set forth hereinabove gives rise to a claim for infringement of the '424 Patent, pursuant to at least 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 and 281.

- 32. ICON alleges on information and belief that Defendants will continue to infringe the '424 Patent subsequent to receiving notice of the initiation of this action despite an objectively high likelihood that their actions constitute infringement, thereby making their actions both willful and deliberate.
- 33. By reason of the foregoing, ICON is entitled to injunctive and monetary relief against Defendants, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 283, 284, and 285.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Patent Infringement of the '472 Patent Against Defendants)

- 34. By this reference ICON realleges and incorporates the foregoing paragraphs 1 through 33, as though fully set forth herein.
- 35. ICON alleges on information and belief that Defendants have infringed and continue to infringe the '472 Patent by making, using, selling, offering for sale within the United States, or importing into the United States systems and products that embody one or more of the claims of the '472 Patent, and/or by contributing to infringement, inducing others to infringe the '472 Patent, or carrying out acts constituting infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271(f).
- 36. ICON further alleges on information and belief that Defendants had knowledge of the '472 Patent since at least as early as receiving notice of the initiation of this action, and continues to have, the specific intent to induce their customers to infringe the '472 Patent, and Defendants' customers do in fact infringe the '472 Patent, of which infringement Defendants know or should have known.
- 37. ICON further alleges on information and belief that Defendants provide products, devices, systems, and/or services that are especially made to be used, are intended to be used, and are in fact used by Defendants' customers, in a way that infringes the '472 Patent, and that have no substantial non-infringing uses.
- 38. ICON alleges on information and belief that, unless and until enjoined by this Court, Defendants will continue to infringe the '472 Patent.

- 39. The conduct of Defendants as set forth hereinabove gives rise to a claim for infringement of the '472 Patent, pursuant to at least 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 and 281.
- 40. ICON alleges on information and belief that Defendants will continue to infringe the '472 Patent subsequent to receiving notice of the initiation of this action despite an objectively high likelihood that their actions constitute infringement, thereby making their actions both willful and deliberate.
- 41. By reason of the foregoing, ICON is entitled to injunctive and monetary relief against Defendants, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 283, 284, and 285.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Patent Infringement of the '064 Patent Against Defendants)

- 42. By this reference ICON realleges and incorporates the foregoing paragraphs 1 through 41, as though fully set forth herein.
- 43. ICON alleges on information and belief that Defendants have infringed and continue to infringe the '064 Patent by making, using, selling, offering for sale within the United States, or importing into the United States systems and products that embody one or more of the claims of the '064 Patent, and/or by contributing to infringement, inducing others to infringe the '064 Patent, or carrying out acts constituting infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271(f).
- 44. ICON further alleges on information and belief that Defendants had knowledge of the '064 Patent since at least as early as receiving notice of the initiation of this action, and continues to have, the specific intent to induce their customers to infringe the '064 Patent, and Defendants' customers do in fact infringe the '064 Patent, of which infringement Defendants know or should have known.
- 45. ICON further alleges on information and belief that Defendants provide products, devices, systems, and/or services that are especially made to be used, are intended to be used, and are in fact used by Defendants' customers, in a way that infringes the '064 Patent, and that have no substantial non-infringing uses.

-22

- 46. ICON alleges on information and belief that, unless and until enjoined by this Court, Defendants will continue to infringe the '064 Patent.
- 47. The conduct of Defendants as set forth hereinabove gives rise to a claim for infringement of the '064 Patent, pursuant to at least 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 and 281.
- 48. ICON alleges on information and belief that Defendants will continue to infringe the '064 Patent subsequent to receiving notice of the initiation of this action despite an objectively high likelihood that their actions constitute infringement, thereby making their actions both willful and deliberate.
- 49. By reason of the foregoing, ICON is entitled to injunctive and monetary relief against Defendants, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 283, 284, and 285.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Patent Infringement of the '590 Patent Against Defendants)

- 50. By this reference ICON realleges and incorporates the foregoing paragraphs 1 through 49, as though fully set forth herein.
- 51. ICON alleges on information and belief that Defendants have infringed and continue to infringe the '590 Patent by making, using, selling, offering for sale within the United States, or importing into the United States systems and products that embody one or more of the claims of the '590 Patent, and/or by contributing to infringement, inducing others to infringe the '590 Patent, or carrying out acts constituting infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271(f).
- 52. ICON further alleges on information and belief that Defendants had knowledge of the '590 Patent since at least as early as receiving notice of the initiation of this action, and continues to have, the specific intent to induce their customers to infringe the '590 Patent, and Defendants' customers do in fact infringe the '590 Patent, of which infringement Defendants know or should have known.
- 53. ICON further alleges on information and belief that Defendants provide products, devices, systems, and/or services that are especially made to be used, are

13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

- intended to be used, and are in fact used by Defendants' customers, in a way that infringes the '590 Patent, and that have no substantial non-infringing uses.
- ICON alleges on information and belief that, unless and until enjoined by this Court, Defendants will continue to infringe the '590 Patent.
- 55. The conduct of Defendants as set forth hereinabove gives rise to a claim for infringement of the '590 Patent, pursuant to at least 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 and 281.
- ICON alleges on information and belief that Defendants will continue to 56. infringe the '590 Patent subsequent to receiving notice of the initiation of this action despite an objectively high likelihood that their actions constitute infringement, thereby making their actions both willful and deliberate.
- 57. By reason of the foregoing, ICON is entitled to injunctive and monetary relief against Defendants, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 283, 284, and 285.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, ICON prays for judgment against Defendants as follows:

- A judgment finding Defendants liable for infringement of the ICON Patents; A.
- An imposition of constructive trust on, and an order requiring a full В. accounting of, the sales made by Defendants as a result of its wrongful or infringing acts alleged herein;
- C. An Order of this Court pursuant to at least 35 U.S.C. § 283 permanently enjoining Defendants, its agents and servants, and any and all parties acting in concert with them, from: directly or indirectly infringing in any manner the ICON Patents, whether by making, using, selling, offering to sell, or importing into the United States any product falling within the scope of any of the claims of the ICON Patents; engaging in acts constituting contributory infringement of any of the claims of the ICON Patents; or inducing others to engage in any of the aforementioned acts or otherwise;
- D. An Order of this Court pursuant to at least 35 U.S.C. § 283 directing Defendants to destroy their entire stock of infringing products:

		그래 그 본 사람들은 발대한 사내에게 되고 있다는 것은	보통하셨다. 나이트 시간에 지하면서 이 사용하다. 등을 하면 말라고 나를 하는 기를 통통하는 일본이다. 속하고 말라고 하는 모든 하게 살으면 보다는 말했다. 아니				
1	Ε.	An award of dama	ages to ICON, in an amount to be proven at trial, pursuant				
2	to at least 35 U.S.C. § 284;						
3	F.	F. Trebling of ICON's damages in view of the willful infringement by					
4	Defendants,	efendants, and the award of such trebled damages to ICON, pursuant to at least 35					
5	U.S.C. § 284	4;					
6	G.	G. An award to ICON of prejudgment interest, pursuant to at least 35 U.S.C.					
7	§ 284;						
8	H.	An award to ICO	N of its costs in bringing this action, pursuant to at least 35				
9	U.S.C. § 284, and Rule 54(d)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;						
10	I. That this be declared an exceptional case, and that ICON be awarded its						
11	attorneys' fees and expenses, pursuant to at least 35 U.S.C. § 285;						
12	J.						
13	and						
14	K.	K. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just, proper, and					
15	equitable.						
16			DEMAND FOR JURY				
17	ICON demands TRIAL BY JURY of all causes so triable.						
18	DATED: A	pril 6, 2012	Tyson K. Hottinger				
19	DAILU. A	tpm 0, 2012	David R. Wright				
20			Kirk R. Harris Workman Nydegger, APC				
21			WORKMAN TITBEOUR, 2 II C				
22			By: Jyun Stattenyr				
23			Tyson K. Hottinger				
24			Attorneys for Plaintiff ICON HEALTH & FITNESS, INC.				
25			CONTRACTIONESS, INC.				
26							
27							
28							

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Tyson K. Hottinger (SBN 253221)	
email: thottinger@wnlaw.com	
Workman Nydegger	
20 Pacifica, Suite 1130	
Irvine, CA 92618	
Telephone: (949) 202-1900	L
	DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA
ICON HEALTH & FITNESS, INC., a Delaware	CASE NUMBER
corporation,	
	SACV12-00531 JVS (ANx)
PLAINTIFF(S) V.	
HEINZ KETTLER GMBH & CO., KG, a corporation;	
KETTLER INTERNATIONAL, INC., a Virginia	
corporation,	SUMMONS
DEFENDANT(S).	
DEFERDANT(S).	
must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached \(\mathbb{M} \)	12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer yson K. Hottinger, whose address is If you fail to do so,
	20 2.0 3.7 1 2.0
	Clerk, U.S. District Court
11/1/2012	By: Denise Vo
Dated: 4 6 2012	By: Deputy Clerk
	Deputy Clerk 1191
	(Seal of the Court)
[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States of a United States of the United States of a United States of the	tes agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowea
CV-01A (10/11 SUN	AMONS

CV-01A (10/11

Case 8:12-cv-00531-JVS-AN Document 1 Filed 04/06/12 Page 12 of 13 Page ID #:25

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself []) ICON HEALTH & FITNESS, INC., a Delaware Corporation			DEFENDANTS HEINZ KETTLER GMBH & CO., KG, a corporation; KETTLER INTERNATIONAL, INC., a Virginia corporation,					
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number, If you are represented by yourself, provide same.)			ing Attorneys (If Known)					
Workman Nydegger - Tysi 20 Pacifica, Suite 1130, Irv (949) 202-1900					Rv	E.		
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION		SHIP OF PRINCIPAL X in one box for plaintiff			Only J	1		
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	Citizen of This	PTF DEF Citizen of This State □ 1 □ 1 Incorpora of Busine			rincipal Place s State	PTF DE		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi of Parties in Item III)	p Citizen of Anot	her State	□2 □2	Incorporated and of Business in Ar		O5 O5	
		Citizen or Subje	ect of a Foreign Country	□3 □3	Foreign Nation		□6 □6	
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one 1 Original 2 Remove Proceeding State Co	d from 3 Remanded from 4	Reinstated or 🔲 : Reopened	5 Transferred from anot	her district (spe	ecify): □ 6 Mult Distr Litig	ict Judg	eal to Districe ge from distrate Judge	
V. REQUESTED IN COMPLA			s' only if demanded in co					
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C.	.P. 23: ☐ Yes 👿 No	Œ :	MONEY DEMANDED	IN COMPLA	INT: § Subject to	o proof at trial.		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite	the U.S. Civil Statute under which yo	u are filing and wr	ite a brief statement of ca	ause. Do not ci	te jurisdictional sta	atutes unless dive	ersity.)	
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place	e an X in one box only.)		7					
□ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Act □ 892 Economic Stabilization	□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & □ 33 Enforcement of □ 34 Judgment □ 34 □ 151 Medicare Act □ 34 □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Veterans) □ 35 □ 153 Recovery of □ 35 ○ Veteran's Benefits □ 36 □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 36 □ 195 Contract Product □ 36 □ 195 Contract Product □ 36	TORIS ERSONAL INJUR 10 Airplane 115 Airplane Product Liability 20 Assault, Libel & Slander 30 Fed. Employers Liability 40 Marine 415 Marine Product Liability 50 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 50 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 60 Other Personal Injury 61 Personal Injury 62 Personal Injury 63 Personal Injury 64 Malpractic 65 Personal Injury 66 Personal Injury 67 Personal Injury 68 Personal Injury 69 Personal Injury 60 Personal Injury 60 Personal Injury	PROPERTY 370 Other Frau 371 Truth in Le 380 Other Persu Property D Product Lis BANKRUPTO 422 Appeal 28 158 423 Withdrawa USC 157 CIVIT RIGHT 441 Voting 442 Employmen 443 Housing/A mmodation	510 510 d 510 d	Habeas Corpus General Death Penalty Mandamus/ Other	□ 710 Fair Lai Act Act	Mgmt. ns Mgmt. ng & ure Act y Labor Act abor on Ret. Inc. y Act RIGHTS ghts ark EGURITY.	
Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Info. Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	REAS PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	68 Asbestos Perso Injury Product Liability INIMIGRATIONS 62 Naturalization Application Application Habeas Corpus Alien Detainee 65 Other Immigrat Actions	Disabilities Employmen Hand American v Disabilities Other U 440 Other Civil Rights	- □ 640 nt □ 650 with □ 660	Liquor Laws R.R. & Truck Airline Regs Occupational Safety /Health Other	□ 863 DIWC// (405(g)) □ 864 SSID T □ 865 RSI (40 **REDERAL T □ 870 Taxes (or Defe □ 871 IRS-Th USC 76) itle XVI 5(g)) AX SUITS U.S. Plaintil ndant) ird Party 26	
			tion					

CV-71 (05/08)

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

Case 8:12-cv-00531-JVS-AN Document 1 Filed 04/06/12 Page 13 of 13 Page ID #:26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDEN If yes, list case	ITICAL CASES: Has the number(s):	nis action been prev	iously filed in this court and	i dismissed, remanded or closed? Mo □ Yes				
VIH(b). REL		ny cases been previ	ously filed in this court that	are related to the present case? ☑No □ Yes				
Civil cases are (Check all boxe	□ B, Ca □ C. Fo	rise from the same o all for determination or other reasons wo	or closely related transaction n of the same or substantially uld entail substantial duplica	ns, happenings, or events; or y related or similar questions of law and fact; or ation of labor if heard by different judges; or <u>and</u> one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.				
IX. VENUE:	(When completing the fo	ollowing informatio	n, use an additional sheet if	necessary.)				
(a) List the C	County in this District; Ca	alifornia County out	tside of this District, State if	f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. this box is checked, go to item (b).				
County in this	terme tradice virgitating Statistication	35,010		California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
				Delaware and Utah				
(b) List the C	County in this District; Core if the government, its	alifornia County ou	tside of this District; State it	f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. If this box is checked, go to item (c).				
County in thi	anagagi galija Makhinga Darabaka	<u>.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>		California County outside of this District, State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
				Heinz Kettler GMBH & Co., KG - Germany Kettler International, Inc Virginia, United States				
Note: In	land condemnation cas	alifornia County ou	ntside of this District; State in of the tract of land involved	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose. ved. California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
County in thi	is District:*			Camoina county dustice of this district, state, it dutes than conforme, of 1 stages				
Orange								
Note: In land	condemnation cases, use	the location of the	entura, Santa Barbara, or tract of land involved					
x. signatt	JRE OF ATTORNEY (C	OR PRO PER):	Typun Hab	Date April 6, 2012				
Notice to	o Counsel/Parties: The	CV-71 (JS-44) Ci	vil Cover Sheet and the info	rmation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings ce of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed atting the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)				
Key to Statist	ical codes relating to So	cial Security Cases:						
	Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement o	of Cause of Action				
	861	ніа	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))					
	862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S,C. 923)					
	863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))					
	863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))					
	864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.					
	865 RSI All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (4: U.S.C. (g))							

CV-71 (05/08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 2 of 2