IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

EASYWEB INNOVATIONS, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 2:11-cv-5121-JFB-ETB

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

FACEBOOK, INC.,

Defendant.

EASYWEB'S SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, EasyWeb Innovations, LLC ("EasyWeb"), through its undersigned counsel, hereby alleges as follows:

PARTIES

 Plaintiff EasyWeb Innovations, LLC is a New York limited liability company having a principal place of business at 3280 Sunrise Highway, Suite 171, Wantagh, New York 11793.

2. Defendant Facebook, Inc. ("Facebook") is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 1601 S. California Ave, Palo Alto, CA 94304. Facebook may be served with process by serving its registered agent, Corporation Service Company, 2711 Centerville Road Suite 400, Wilmington, DE 19808.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

3. This is a civil action for the infringement of United States Patent Numbers 7,032,030, 7,596,606, 7,685,247, 7,689,658, and 7,698,372 (collectively, the "Patents-in-Suit") under the Patent Laws of the United States 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq*.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because this action arises under the patent laws of the United States, including 35 U.S.C. § 271 *et seq*.

5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Facebook because, among other things, Facebook has committed, aided, abetted, contributed to and/or participated in the commission of acts giving rise to this action within the State of New York and this judicial district and has established minimum contacts within the forum such that the exercise of jurisdiction over Facebook would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice. Facebook has placed products and services that practice the claims of the Patents-in-Suit into the stream of commerce with the reasonable expectation and/or knowledge that actual or potential users of such products and/or services were located within this judicial district. Facebook has sold, advertised, solicited customers, marketed and distributed its services that practice the claims of the Patents-in-Suit in this judicial district.

Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b).

THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT

7. EasyWeb realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1-6 above as if fully set forth herein.

8. On April 18, 2006, United States Patent Number 7,032,030 ("the '030 patent"), titled, "Message Publishing System and Method," was duly and lawfully issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. A true and correct copy of the '030 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

9. EasyWeb is the assignee of the '030 patent and holds the right to sue for and recover all damages for infringement thereof, including past infringement.

On September 29, 2009, United States Patent Number 7,596,606 ("the '606 patent"), titled, "Message Publishing System for Publishing Messages from Identified,
Authorized Senders," was duly and lawfully issued by the United States Patent and Trademark
Office. A true and correct copy of the '606 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

11. EasyWeb is the assignee of the '606 patent and holds the right to sue for and recover all damages for infringement thereof, including past infringement.

12. On March 23, 2010, United States Patent Number 7,685,247 ("the '247 patent"), titled, "System for Publishing and Converting Messages from Identified, Authorized Senders," was duly and lawfully issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. A true and correct copy of the '247 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

13. EasyWeb is the assignee of the '247 patent and holds the right to sue for and recover all damages for infringement thereof, including past infringement.

14. On March 30, 2010, United States Patent Number 7,689,658 ("the '658 patent"), titled, "Method for Publishing Messages from Identified, Authorized Senders to Subscribers," was duly and lawfully issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. A true and correct copy of the '658 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit D.

15. EasyWeb is the assignee of the '658 patent and holds the right to sue for and recover all damages for infringement thereof, including past infringement.

16. On April 13, 2010, United States Patent Number 7,698,372 ("the '372 patent"), titled, "System for Publishing Messages from Identified, Authorized Senders to Subscribers," was duly and lawfully issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. A true and correct copy of the '372 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit E.

17. EasyWeb is the assignee of the '372 patent and holds the right to sue for and recover all damages for infringement thereof, including past infringement.

18. On June 2, 2011, July 11, 2011, and again on September 21, 2011, EasyWeb notified Facebook in writing of the Patents-in-Suit and of Facebook's infringement of those patents.

19. To date, Facebook has not responded to EasyWeb and has not taken a license to the Patents-In-Suit.

COUNT I

(Infringement of the '030 Patent)

20. Paragraphs 1-19 are incorporated by reference as if fully restated herein.

21. Facebook has infringed, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, one or more of the claims of the '030 patent by having made, designed, offered for sale, sold, provided, used, maintained and/or supported its "<u>facebook.com</u>" website and service, including Groups, Messages, Photos, and Events, and other products, services, or features that allow users to post messages onto facebook.com in a way that infringes the '030 patent, including identifying the sender of the message as an authorized sender based on information associated with the message in comparison to data in a sender account, wherein the identification is dependent upon a format of the message, in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States. Facebook's infringement is continuing.

22. Facebook, either alone or in conjunction with others, has induced the infringement, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, of one or more claims of the '030 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b). Facebook had knowledge of the inventions claimed in the '030 Patent at least since having received written notice of its infringement from EasyWeb. Facebook intended for and actively induced others, including without limitation its customers, to use the claimed inventions in a way that Facebook knew infringes one or more claims of the '030 Patent.

23. Facebook has willfully infringed and continues to willfully infringe the '030 patent. Facebook has continued its infringement despite its knowledge of the '030 patent and despite having received written notice of its infringement from EasyWeb.

24. Facebook's acts of infringement have caused damage to EasyWeb. Under 35 U.S.C. § 284, EasyWeb is entitled to recover from Facebook the damages sustained by EasyWeb as a result of its infringement of the '030 patent.

COUNT II

(Infringement of the '606 Patent)

25. Paragraphs 1-24 are incorporated by reference as if fully restated herein.

26. Facebook has infringed, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, one or more of the claims of the '606 patent by having made, designed, offered for sale, sold, provided, used, maintained and/or supported its "<u>facebook.com</u>" website and service, including Groups, Messages, Photos, and Events, and other products, services, or features that allow users to post messages onto facebook.com, in a way that infringes the '606 patent, including identifying the sender of the message as an authorized sender based on information associated with the message in comparison to data in a sender account, wherein the identification is dependent upon a format of the message, in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States. Facebook's infringement is continuing.

27. Facebook, either alone or in conjunction with others, has induced the infringement, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, of one or more claims of the '606 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b). Facebook had knowledge of the inventions claimed in the '606 Patent at least since having received written notice of its infringement from EasyWeb. Facebook intended for and actively induced others, including without limitation its customers, to use the claimed inventions in a way that Facebook knew infringes one or more claims of the '606 Patent.

28. Facebook has willfully infringed and continues to willfully infringe the '606 patent. Facebook has continued its infringement despite its knowledge of the '606 patent and despite having received written notice of its infringement from EasyWeb.

29. Facebook's acts of infringement have caused damage to EasyWeb. Under 35 U.S.C. § 284, EasyWeb is entitled to recover from Facebook the damages sustained by EasyWeb as a result of its infringement of the '606 patent.

COUNT III

(Infringement of the '247 Patent)

30. Paragraphs 1-29 are incorporated by reference as if fully restated herein.

31. Facebook has infringed, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, one or more of the claims of the '247 patent by having made, designed, offered for sale, sold, provided, used, maintained and/or supported its "<u>facebook.com</u>" website and service, including Groups, Messages, Photos, and Events, and other products, services, or features that allow users to post messages onto facebook.com, in a way that infringes the '247 patent, including identifying the sender of the message as an authorized sender based on information associated with the message in comparison to data in a sender account, wherein the identification is dependent upon a format of the message, in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States. Facebook's infringement is continuing.

32. Facebook, either alone or in conjunction with others, has induced the infringement, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, of one or more claims of the '247 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b). Facebook had knowledge of the inventions claimed in the '247 Patent at least since having received written notice of its infringement from EasyWeb. Facebook intended for and actively induced others, including without limitation its customers, to use the claimed inventions in a way that Facebook knew infringes one or more claims of the '247 Patent.

33. Facebook has willfully infringed and continues to willfully infringe the '247 patent. Facebook has continued its infringement despite its knowledge of the '247 patent and despite having received written notice of its infringement from EasyWeb.

34. Facebook's acts of infringement have caused damage to EasyWeb. Under 35 U.S.C. § 284, EasyWeb is entitled to recover from Facebook the damages sustained by EasyWeb as a result of its infringement of the '247 patent.

COUNT IV

(Infringement of the '658 Patent)

35. Paragraphs 1-34 are incorporated by reference as if fully restated herein.

36. Facebook has infringed, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, one or more of the claims of the '658 patent by having made, designed, offered for sale, sold, provided, used, maintained and/or supported its "<u>facebook.com</u>" website and service, including Groups, Messages, Photos, and Events, and other products, services, or features that allow users to post messages onto facebook.com, in a way that infringes the '658 patent, including identifying the sender of the message as an authorized sender based on information associated with the message in comparison to data in a sender account, wherein the identification is dependent upon a format of the message, in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States. Facebook's infringement is continuing.

37. Facebook, either alone or in conjunction with others, has induced the infringement, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, of one or more claims of the '658 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b). Facebook had knowledge of the inventions claimed in the '658 Patent at least since having received written notice of its infringement from EasyWeb. Facebook intended for and actively induced others, including without limitation its customers, to use the claimed inventions in a way that Facebook knew infringes one or more claims of the '658 Patent.

38. Facebook has willfully infringed and continues to willfully infringe the '658 patent. Facebook has continued its infringement despite its knowledge of the '658 patent and despite having received written notice of its infringement from EasyWeb.

39. Facebook's acts of infringement have caused damage to EasyWeb. Under 35U.S.C. § 284, EasyWeb is entitled to recover from Facebook the damages sustained by EasyWeb as a result of its infringement of the '658 patent.

COUNT V

(Infringement of the '372 Patent)

40. Paragraphs 1-39 are incorporated by reference as if fully restated herein.

41. Facebook has infringed, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, one or more of the claims of the '372 patent by having made, designed, offered for sale, sold, provided, used, maintained and/or supported its "<u>facebook.com</u>" website and service, including Groups, Messages, Photos, and Events, and other products, services, or features that allow users to post messages onto facebook.com, in a way that infringes the '372 patent, including identifying the sender of the message as an authorized sender based on information associated with the message in comparison to data in a sender account, wherein the identification is dependent upon a format of the message, in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States. Facebook's infringement is continuing.

42. Facebook, either alone or in conjunction with others, has induced the infringement, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, of one or more claims of the '372 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b). Facebook had knowledge of the inventions claimed in the '372 Patent at least since having received written notice of its infringement from EasyWeb. Facebook intended for and actively induced others, including without limitation its customers, to use the claimed inventions in a way that Facebook knew infringes one or more claims of the '372 Patent.

43. Facebook has willfully infringed and continues to willfully infringe the '372 patent. Facebook has continued its infringement despite its knowledge of the '372 patent and despite having received written notice of its infringement from EasyWeb.

44. Facebook's acts of infringement have caused damage to EasyWeb. Under 35

U.S.C. § 284, EasyWeb is entitled to recover from Facebook the damages sustained by EasyWeb as a result of its infringement of the '372 patent.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, EasyWeb respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment against Facebook as follows:

a) that Facebook has infringed each of the Patents-in-Suit;

b) that Facebook's infringement is willful;

c) that EasyWeb be awarded damages in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 284, and, if necessary to adequately compensate EasyWeb for Facebook's infringement, an accounting;

d) that this case is exceptional under 35 U.S.C. § 285;

e) that EasyWeb be awarded the attorney fees, costs, and expenses that it incurs in prosecuting this action; and

f) that EasyWeb be awarded such further relief at law or in equity as the Court deems just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

EasyWeb hereby demands trial by jury on all claims and issues so triable.

DATED: October 20, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Xiao Li

John M. Desmarais (JD-6460) Alan S. Kellman (AK-6734) Xiao Li (XL-1006) DESMARAIS LLP 230 Park Avenue New York, NY 10169 Tel: (212) 351-3400 Fax: (212) 351-3401 Email: jdesmarais@desmaraisllp.com

akellman@desmaraisllp.com xli@desmaraisllp.com

Counsel for Plaintiff EASYWEB INNOVATIONS, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on October 20, 2012, the foregoing document was filed with the Clerk of the Court and served in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and/or the Eastern District's Local Rules, and/or the Eastern District's Rules on Electronic Service upon the following parties and participants:

Heidi L. Keefe Vidya Bhakar Cooley LLP 5 Palo Alto Square 3000 El Camino Real Palo Alto, CA 94306 Email: hkeefe@cooley.com vbhakar@cooley.com

Jonathan P. Bach Cooley LLP 1114 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 Email: jbach@cooley.com

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT FACEBOOK, INC.

/s/ Xiao Li

Xiao Li