## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

INNOVATIVE AUTOMATION LLC,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 6:12-cv-882

v.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

APPLE INC.,

Defendant.

## **COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

Plaintiff Innovative Automation LLC states its Complaint against Defendant Apple Inc. and alleges as follows:

#### THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff Innovative Automation LLC is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, with its principal place of business at 606 North First Street, San Jose, California 95112.
- 2. Upon information and belief, Defendant Apple Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, with its principal place of business at 1 Infinite Loop, Cupertino, California 95014.

### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the above paragraphs of this Complaint, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- 4. This action is for patent infringement pursuant to the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1 *et seq*. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

- 5. Personal jurisdiction exists generally over Defendant because Defendant has sufficient minimum contacts with the forum as a result of business conducted within the State of Texas and within the Eastern District of Texas. Personal jurisdiction also exists specifically over Defendant because it, directly or through subsidiaries or intermediaries, makes, uses, offers for sale, sells, imports, advertises, makes available and/or markets one or more products and/or services within the State of Texas, and more particularly, within the Eastern District of Texas, that infringe the patent-in-suit, as described more particularly below.
- 6. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of Texas pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b), because Defendant has committed acts of infringement in the Eastern District of Texas and has transacted business in the Eastern District of Texas.

# COUNT ONE INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,174,362

- 7. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the above paragraphs of this Complaint, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- 8. Plaintiff is the owner of all right, title, and interest in United States Patent No. 7,174,362, entitled "Method and System for Supplying Products from Pre-Stored Digital Data in Response to Demands Transmitted via Computer Network," duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on February 6, 2007 (the "'362 patent'). A true and correct copy of the '362 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 9. Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, one or more claims of the '362 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 by making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing into the United States the patented invention within the United States. Specifically, Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe the '362

patent by making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing into the United States its iCloud product and service, which uses the claimed method of duplicating digital data.

- 10. As a result of Defendant's infringing activities with respect to the '362 patent, Plaintiff has suffered damages in an amount not yet ascertained. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate it for Defendant's infringing activities in an amount to be determined at trial, but in no event less than reasonable royalties, together with interest and costs. Defendant's infringement of Plaintiff's exclusive rights under the '362 patent will continue to damage Plaintiff, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by this Court.
- 11. Plaintiff reserves the right to allege, after discovery, that Defendant's infringement of the '362 patent is willful and deliberate, entitling Plaintiff to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284, and to attorneys' fees incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

## COUNT TWO INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,392,283

- 12. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the above paragraphs of this Complaint, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- 13. Plaintiff is the owner of all right, title, and interest in United States Patent No. 7,392,283, entitled "Method and System for Supplying Products from Pre-Stored Digital Data in Response to Demands Transmitted Via Computer Network," duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on June 24, 2008 (the "'283 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '283 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B.
- 14. Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, one or more claims of the '283 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 by making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing into the United States the patented invention

within the United States. Specifically, Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe the '283 patent by making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing into the United States its iCloud product and service, which uses the claimed method of duplicating digital data.

- 15. As a result of Defendant's infringing activities with respect to the '283 patent, Plaintiff has suffered damages in an amount not yet ascertained. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate it for Defendant's infringing activities in an amount to be determined at trial, but in no event less than reasonable royalties, together with interest and costs. Defendant's infringement of Plaintiff's exclusive rights under the '283 patent will continue to damage Plaintiff, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by this Court.
- 16. Plaintiff reserves the right to allege, after discovery, that Defendant's infringement of the '283 patent is willful and deliberate, entitling Plaintiff to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284, and to attorneys' fees incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Plaintiff requests entry of judgment in its favor against Defendant as follows:

- a) For a declaration that Defendant has infringed one or more claims of the '362 patent and '283 patent;
- b) For an award of damages adequate to compensate Plaintiff for Defendant's infringement of the '362 patent and '283 patent, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty, together with prejudgment and post-judgment interest and costs, in an amount according to proof;
- c) For an entry of a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant, and its respective officers, agents, employees, and those acting in privity with them, from further infringement of

the '362 patent and '283 patent, or in the alternative, awarding a royalty for post-judgment infringement; and

d) For an award to Plaintiff of such other costs and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

### **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff respectfully requests a trial by jury.

Dated: November 16, 2012 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Charles Ainsworth
Charles Ainsworth
State Bar No. 00783521
PARKER BUNT & AINSWORTH, P.C.

100 E. Ferguson, Suite 1114 Tyler, Texas 75702

Telephone: (903) 531-3535

Facsimile: (903) 533-9687 <a href="mailto:charley@pbatyler.com">charley@pbatyler.com</a>

Adam J. Gutride, Esq.

Seth A. Safier, Esq. – pending admission Todd Kennedy, Esq. – pending admission

Anthony J. Patek, Esq. GUTRIDE SAFIER, LLP

835 Douglass Street

San Francisco, California 94114

Telephone: (415) 789-6390 Facsimile: (415) 449-6469 adam@gutridesafier.com seth@gutridesafier.com todd@gutridesafier.com anthony@gutridesafier.com Victoria L.H. Booke Peter Ajlouny BOOKE & AJLOUNY LLP 606 North First Street San Jose, California 95112 Telephone: (408) 286-7000 Facsimile: (408) 286-7111 vbooke@gmail.com peter@bookelaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Innovative Automation LLC