

Plaintiff The Tawnsaura Group, LLC ("Plaintiff") hereby alleges for its Complaint against Optimum Nutrition, Inc. ("Defendant"), on personal knowledge as to its own activities and on information and belief as to the activities of others, as follows:

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

22

21

23 24

25

26 27

28

T. THE PARTIES

- Plaintiff is a limited liability company organized and existing under the 1. laws of Nevada, with a registered office at 50 West Liberty Street, Suite 1100, Reno. Nevada 89501.
- Plaintiff is the owner and assignee of United States Patent No. 5,874,471 2. ("the '471 patent") titled "Orthomolecular Medical Use of L-Citrulline for Vasoprotection, Relaxative Smooth Muscle Tone and Cell Protection," and United States Patent No. 6,028,107 ("the '107 patent") titled "Orthomolecular Medical Use of L-Citrulline for Vasoprotection, Relaxative Smooth Muscle Tone and Cell Protection." and Plaintiff licenses the '471 patent and the '107 patent to more than one third-party and is in negotiations to license the patents to numerous other parties.
- 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant Optimum Nutrition, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Illinois with a principal place of business at 700 Commerce Street in Aurora, Illinois, 60504.

II. **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of 4. the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. Accordingly, this Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338, and 1367.
 - 5. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400.
- 6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant. Defendant, directly through intermediaries (including distributors, retailers, and others), ships, distributes, offers for sale, sells, and advertises its nutritional supplement products in the United States, the State of California, and the Central District of California, including the products "Essential AmiN.O. Energy," "Essential AmiN.O. Energy Chewables," and "Platinum Hydrobuilder." Defendant has purposefully and

voluntarily placed these products into the stream of commerce with the expectation that they will be purchased in the Central District of California.

III. THE DEFENDANT'S INFRINGING PRODUCTS

- 7. The label and/or advertisements for Defendant's product Essential AmiN.O. Energy state that the product contains, <u>inter alia</u>, the ingredient "Micronized Citrulline" to "promote nitric oxide production throughout training."
- 8. The label and/or advertisements for Defendant's product Essential AmiN.O. Energy Chewables state that the product contains, <u>inter alia</u>, the ingredient "L-Citrulline" and helps "get you going with a fast-acting boost of alertness and energy." Essential AmiN.O. Energy Chewables also contains Vitamin C.
- 9. The label and/or advertisements for Defendant's product Platinum Hydrobuilder state that the product contains, <u>inter alia</u>, the ingredient "Micronized L-Citrulline," and is an "all-in-one muscle constructor."
- 10. As set forth herein, these products infringe the claimed methods of the '471 patent and the '107 patent, and are therefore referred to herein as "the products that infringe the claimed methods."

IV. THE DEFENDANT'S INFRINGEMENTS

11. Defendant's employees, agents, representatives and other persons sponsored by or who endorse Defendant and Defendant's products in advertising and marketing activities, have taken, used, and orally administered the products that infringe the claimed methods which have the effect, without limitation, of increasing the plasma level of arginine in the persons taking the products from a low or normal fasting level to a level which is up to three times an average overnight fasting level and increasing the plasma concentration of arginine in the persons taking the products from a low or normal fasting concentration to a level which is up to three times an average overnight fasting arginine level of about 90 mu.mole per liter of plasma. These persons included persons who, without limitation, were in good health, were in a condition of increased or continuing brain or neural activities, or were in a condition of increased or

- 12. End-users of Defendant's products that infringe the claimed methods are also direct infringers of the '471 patent and the '107 patent, because they have taken, used, and orally administered the products that infringe the claimed methods which have the effect, without limitation, of increasing the plasma level of arginine in the persons taking the products from a low or normal fasting level to a level which is up to three times an average overnight fasting level and/or increasing the plasma concentration of arginine in the persons taking the products from a low or normal fasting concentration to a level which is up to three times an average overnight fasting arginine level of about 90 mu.mole per liter of plasma. These persons included persons who, without limitation, were in good health, were in a condition of increased or continuing muscular activities. Therefore, they practice the methods as set forth in the '471 patent and the '107 patent.
- AmiN.O. Energy Chewables called "robertoboy" posted a review regarding his use of the product on http://reviews.bodybuilding.com/Optimum/Essential_AmiNO_Energy _Chewables, writing, "Best pump of my life." This is just one of many end-users of the infringing products who has practiced the methods as set forth in the '471 patent and the '107 patent and is a direct infringer of the patents.
- 14. Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that Defendant's labels and advertising for the products that infringe the claimed methods explain the elements and essential elements of the methods disclosed in the '471 patent and the '107 patent to end-users and encourage, urge, and

- 15. Defendant's products that infringe the claimed methods are not suitable for non-infringing uses, and none of Defendant's labels or advertisements for the products disclose any uses for the products nor for the citrulline in those products that do not infringe upon the methods disclosed in the '471 patent and the '107 patent. The inclusion of the citrulline content in the products are, in fact, material to practicing the methods disclosed in the '471 patent and the '107 patent.
- 16. Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that Defendant has knowledge that its products that infringe the claimed methods are especially adapted by end-users of the products for the practicing of the methods disclosed in the '471 patent and '107 patent, and, indeed, Defendant encourages, urges, and induces the products' end-users to purchase and orally administer the products to practice those methods, and has done so in the past. Plaintiff intends to amend this complaint at the appropriate time to include more specific allegations pertaining to these facts.
- 17. Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that Defendant has intentionally and knowingly induced, encouraged, and urged end-users of the products that infringe the claimed methods to purchase and orally administer the products for the purpose, without limitation, of increasing the plasma level of arginine in the persons taking the products from a low or normal fasting level to a level which is up to three times an average overnight fasting level and increasing the plasma concentration of arginine in the persons taking the products from a low or normal fasting concentration to a level which is up to three times an average

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

overnight fasting arginine level of about 90 mu.mole per liter of plasma, including by end-users who, without limitation, were in good health, were in a condition of increased or continuing brain or neural activities, or were in a condition of increased or continuing muscular activities. Plaintiff intends to amend this complaint at the appropriate time to include more specific allegations pertaining to these facts.

- Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter 18. will demonstrate that Defendant had actual, first-hand knowledge of the '471 patent and the '107 patent as early as the time Defendant launched each of the products that infringe the claimed methods in the marketplace. By way of example and without limitation, Plaintiff believes such facts to be ascertained will include the fact that Defendant employs and engages sophisticated, experienced legal counsel with expertise in patent law, and that Defendant, through its counsel, conducts or should conduct due diligence on the potential for Defendant's products to infringe on patents and knew or should have known that the products that infringe the claimed methods actually infringe or could infringe on the '471 patent and '107 patent, but Defendant decided to infringe the patents anyway or ignored the risk of infringement. By way of further example and without limitation, Plaintiff believes such facts to be ascertained will include the fact that Defendant became aware of the '471 patent and '107 patent following the filing of lawsuits by Plaintiff against Defendant's competitors arising out of infringements by those competitors of the patents. Plaintiff intends to amend this complaint at the appropriate time to include more specific allegations pertaining to these facts.
- 19. In the alternative, Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that Defendant subjectively believed at the time Defendant launched each of the products that infringe the claimed methods in the marketplace that there is or was a high probability of the fact that patents existed that covered the use of citrulline as in the products and that Defendant took deliberate actions to avoid confirming that fact, including not conducting due diligence as to potential patent infringements, and that Defendant therefore willfully blinded itself to

the infringing nature of its sales of the products that infringe the claimed methods. Plaintiff intends to amend this complaint at the appropriate time to include more specific allegations pertaining to these facts.

- 20. At a minimum, Defendant will become aware of the '471 patent and the '107 patent at the time of the filing and service of this Complaint, yet Defendant will likely not cease its own direct infringement, nor what Plaintiff believes is Defendant's indirect infringement by contributory infringement or inducement of infringements by end-users, despite such knowledge. Plaintiff intends to amend this complaint at the appropriate time to include more specific allegations pertaining to these post-filing activities, based on facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter.
- 21. The earliest exact date Defendant obtained knowledge of the '471 patent and the '107 patent is within the exclusive possession and control of Defendant. However, Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that Defendant specifically induces end-users to use its products, and particularly the citrulline component in the products, to "promote nitric oxide production throughout training," provide them with "alertness and energy," and help construct their muscles, and Defendant knows and intends that end-users achieve those objectives by practicing the methods as set forth in the '471 and '107 patents. Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that this is why Defendant includes citrulline in its products – for the purposes of improving of the health of end-users to increase the plasma level of arginine in end-users to a level from a low or normal fasting level to a level which is up to three times an average overnight fasting level, and improving the health of end-users to increase the plasma concentration of arginine in the subject to a level from a low or normal fasting concentration to a level which is up to three times an average overnight fasting arginine level of about 90 mu.mole per liter of plasma - and Defendant knows that end-users purchase and ingest the products, and particularly the citrulline content of the products.

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

for those purposes. Plaintiff intends to amend this complaint at the appropriate time to include more specific allegations pertaining to these facts.

V. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,874,471

- 22. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 23. Defendant has in the past and still is literally and directly infringing or directly infringing under the doctrine of equivalents one or more claims of the '471 patent by making, using, selling, and offering for sale nutritional supplements embodying the patented invention, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.
- 24. The claims of the '471 patent infringed by Defendant include claims 1, 2, 20, 21, and 28.
- 25. Examples of Defendant's direct infringement includes, without limitation, the fact that Defendant's employees, agents, representatives and other persons sponsored by or who endorse Defendant and Defendant's products in advertising and marketing activities, have taken, used, and orally administered the products that infringe the claimed methods which have the effect, without limitation, of increasing the plasma level of arginine in the persons taking the products from a low or normal fasting level to a level which is up to three times an average overnight fasting level. These persons included persons who, without limitation, were in good health, were in a condition of increased or continuing brain or neural activities, or were in a condition of increased or continuing muscular activities. Defendant has encouraged and/or is aware of these persons' oral administration of the products that infringe the claimed methods for these purposes, these persons are acting under Defendant's direction and control, and therefore Defendant is directly practicing the methods set forth in the '471 patent.
- 26. Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that Defendant is also literally and indirectly or indirectly infringing

under the doctrine of equivalents, through contributory and/or induced infringement, one or more claims of the '471 patent. Plaintiff intends to amend this First Cause of Action at the appropriate time once more specific facts pertaining to Defendant's acts of indirect infringement are ascertained.

- 27. Defendant's activities have been without express or implied license by Plaintiff.
- 28. Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that the infringement by Defendant has been and continues to be willful, and Plaintiff intends to amend this First Cause of Action at the appropriate time once more specific facts pertaining to Defendant's willful acts of infringement are ascertained.
- 29. As a result of Defendant's acts of infringement, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer damages in an amount to be proved at trial.
- 30. As a result of Defendant's acts of infringement, Plaintiff has been and will continue to be irreparably harmed by Defendant's infringements, which will continue unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court.
- 31. Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that Defendant's past infringement and/or continuing infringement has been deliberate and willful, and that this case is therefore an exceptional case, which warrants an award of treble damages and attorneys' fees in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 285. Plaintiff intends to amend this First Cause of Action at the appropriate time once more specific facts pertaining to Defendant's deliberate and willful acts of infringement are ascertained.

VI. <u>SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION</u>

Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,028,107

32. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

- 33. Defendant has in the past and still is literally and directly infringing or directly infringing under the doctrine of equivalents one or more claims of the '107 patent by making, using, selling, and offering for sale nutritional supplements embodying the patented invention, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.
- 34. The claims of the '107 patent infringed by Defendant include claims 1, 2, 15, and 16 with all three products, and, additionally, claim 19 with Essential AmiN.O. Energy Chewables.
- 35. Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that Defendant is also literally and indirectly or indirectly infringing under the doctrine of equivalents, through contributory and/or induced infringement, one or more claims of the '107 patent. Plaintiff intends to amend this Second Cause of Action at the appropriate time once more specific facts pertaining to Defendant's acts of indirect infringement are ascertained.
- 36. Examples of Defendant's direct infringement includes, without limitation, the fact that Defendant's employees, agents, representatives and other persons sponsored by or who endorse Defendant and Defendant's products in advertising and marketing activities, have taken, used, and orally administered the products that infringe the claimed methods which have the effect, without limitation, of increasing the plasma concentration of arginine in the persons taking the products from a low or normal fasting concentration to a level which is up to three times an average overnight fasting arginine level of about 90 mu.mole per liter of plasma. These persons included persons who, without limitation, were in good health, were in a condition of increased or continuing brain or neural activities, or were in a condition of increased or continuing muscular activities. Defendant has encouraged and/or is aware of these persons' oral administration of the products that infringe the claimed methods for these purposes, these persons are acting under Defendant's direction and control, and therefore Defendant is directly practicing the methods set forth in the '107 patent.

8

6

12 13 14

15 16

18

17

19 20

22

23

21

24

25 26

27 28

- 37. Defendant's activities have been without express or implied license by Plaintiff.
- Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter 38. will demonstrate that the infringement by Defendant has been and continues to be willful, and Plaintiff intends to amend this Second Cause of Action at the appropriate time once more specific facts pertaining to Defendant's willful acts of infringement are ascertained.
- 39. As a result of Defendant's acts of infringement, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer damages in an amount to be proved at trial.
- As a result of Defendant's acts of infringement, Plaintiff has been and will 40. continue to be irreparably harmed by Defendant's infringements, which will continue unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court.
- 41. Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that Defendant's past infringement and/or continuing infringement has been deliberate and willful, and that this case is therefore an exceptional case, which warrants an award of treble damages and attorneys' fees in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 285. Plaintiff intends to amend this Second Cause of Action at the appropriate time once more specific facts pertaining to Defendant's deliberate and willful acts of infringement are ascertained.

VII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for entry of judgment against Defendant as follows:

- A declaration that Defendant has infringed the '471 patent and the '107 1. patent under 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 et seg.;
- That injunctions, preliminary and permanent, be issued by this Court 2. restraining Defendant, its respective officers, agents, servants, directors, and employees, and all persons in active concert or participation with each, from directly infringing the '471 patent and the '107 patent;

- 3. That Defendant be required to provide to Plaintiff an accounting of all gains, profits, and advantages derived by Defendant's infringement of the '471 patent and the '107 patent, and that Plaintiff be awarded damages adequate to compensate Plaintiff for the wrongful infringing acts by Defendant, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- 4. That, at the appropriate time after more specific facts pertaining to Defendant's acts of indirect, willful, and deliberate infringement are ascertained and this Complaint is amended to include such facts: (a) injunctions, preliminary and permanent, be issued by this Court restraining Defendant, its respective officers, agents, servants, directors, and employees, and all persons in active concert or participation with each, from indirectly infringing the '471 patent and the '107 patent; (b) Defendant be required to provide to Plaintiff an accounting of all gains, profits, and advantages derived by Defendant's indirect infringement of the '471 patent and the '107 patent; (c) Plaintiff be awarded damages adequate to compensate Plaintiff for the wrongful infringing acts by Defendant, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 284; and (d) the damages awarded to Plaintiff with regard to the '471 patent and the '107 patent be increased up to three times, in view of Defendant's willful infringement, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- 5. That, at the appropriate time after more specific facts pertaining to Defendant's acts of willful and deliberate infringement are ascertained and this Complaint is amended to include such facts, this case be declared to be exceptional in favor of Plaintiff under 35 U.S.C. § 285, and that Plaintiff be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and other expenses incurred in connection with this action;
- 6. That Plaintiff be awarded its interest and costs of suit incurred in this action;
 - 7. Compensatory damages;
 - 8. Punitive damages; and
 - 9. That Plaintiff be awarded such other and further relief as this Court may

1	deem just and proper.
2	
3	Respectfully submitted,
4	Respectfully submitted, NEWPORT TRIAL GROUP A Professional Corporation
5	
6	Dated: November /4, 2012 By: Tyler J. Woods
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	12

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b), Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial for all issues in this case that properly are subject to a jury trial. Respectfully submitted, NEWPORT TRIAL GROUP A Professional Corporation Dated: November __/4_, 2012 By: nevs for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Josephine Tucker and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Marc Goldman.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

SACV12- 2002 JST (MLGx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

	11.12	1		C .1	
А	Il discovery related motions	snou	ld be noticed on the calendar	ot the	e Magistrate Judge
				==	:
			NOTICE TO COUNSEL		
	py of this notice must be served w a copy of this notice must be serv		e summons and complaint on all del n all plaintiffs).	endan	nts (if a removal action is
Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:					
	Western Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012		Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516		Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 Riverside, CA 92501
Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.					

Name & Address: NEWPORT TRIAL GROUP Tyler J. Woods (State Bar No. 232464) Richard H. Hikida (State Bar No. 196149) Scott J. Ferrell (State Bar No. 202091) 895 Dove Street, Ste 425, Newport Beach, CA 92660					
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
THE TAWNSAURA GROUP, LLC,	CASE NUMBER				
PLAINTIFF(S)	SACV 12 - 02002 JST (MLGx)				
OPTIMUM NUTRITION, INC.					
DEFENDANT(S).	SUMMONS				
TO: DEFENDANT(S): A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it), you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint amended complaint counterclaim cross-claim or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, Newport Trial Group, whose address is 895 Dove Street, Suite 425, Newport Beach, CA 92660, If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court. Clerk, U.S. District Court					
Dated: 11 15 2012	By: Dews DENISE VO Deputy Clerk (Seal of the Court)				
[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)]. CV-01A (10/11 SUMMONS					

Name & Address: NEWPORT TRIAL GROUP Tyler J. Woods (State Bar No. 232464) Richard H. Hikida (State Bar No. 196149) Scott J. Ferrell (State Bar No. 202091) 895 Dove Street, Ste 425, Newport Beach, CA 92660				
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
THE TAWNSAURA GROUP, LLC,	CASE NUMBER			
PLAINTIFF(S) V.	SACV 12 - 02002 JST (MLGx)			
OPTIMUM NUTRITION, INC.	SUMMONS			
DEFENDANT(S).				
TO: DEFENDANT(S): A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it), you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint amended complaint counterclaim cross-claim or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, Newport Trial Group, whose address is 895 Dove Street, Suite 425, Newport Beach, CA 92660 If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.				
Dated: 115/2012	By: DENISE VO Deputy Clerk (Seal of the Court)			
[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].				

SUMMONS

CV-01A (10/11

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself □) THE TAWNSAURA GROUP, LLC,	DEFENDANTS OPTIMUM NUTRITION, INC.					
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are representing yourself, provide same.) NEWPORT TRIAL GROUP, 895 Dove Street, Suite 425, Newport Beach, CA 92660 Tel; (949) 706-6464 Fax (949)706-6469	Attorneys (If Known)					
II DACYCOE HUDICDICTYON (DI V i I III)	DENOMIN OF DESIDONAL PLEASURE B. D. C. C. C.					
	ENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.)					
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff ☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party) Citizen of T	PTF DEF PTF DEF					
of Parties in Item III)	Another State					
	bubject of a Foreign Country □ 3 □ 3 Foreign Nation □ 6 □ 6					
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.)						
Off 1 Original Proceeding State Court State Court Appellate Court Appellate Court Reopened State Court Appellate Court Reopened State Court State Court State Court Reopened State Court S						
V. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: JURY DEMAND: Yes □ No (Check	Yes' only if demanded in complaint.)					
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C.P. 23: Yes Yo	MONEY DEMANDED IN COMPLAINT: \$ TBD					
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and						
35 USC §§271, et seq; 35 USC §§284; 35 USC §§285	2 miles a state data de					
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an X in one box only.)						
ATTITUD OF ATTATOON AND CONTROL OF A STATE O	NACTAR CARACTAR AND THE AND THE AND ARREST ARREST AND ARREST ARREST AND ARREST ARREST AND ARREST ARREST AND ARREST AND ARREST AND ARREST AND ARREST ARREST ARREST AND ARREST A					
OTHER STATUTES CONTRACT TORTS □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 110 Insurance PERSONAL INJ	TORTS PRISONER LABOR URY PERSONAL PETITIONS \$\Boxed{\pi}\$ 710 Fair Labor Standards					
□ 410 Antitrust □ 120 Marine □ 310 Airplane	URY PERSONAL PETITIONS 710 Fair Labor Standards PROPERTY 510 Motions to Act					
□ 430 Banks and Banking □ 130 Miller Act □ 315 Airplane Pr						
□ 450 Commerce/ICC □ 140 Negotiable Instrument Liability	□ 371 Truth in Lending Habeas Corpus Relations					
Rates/etc.	2500 Calof Felsonal 2500 General 2750 Eabol/Mgmt.					
□ 460 Deportation Overpayment & Slander □ 470 Racketeer Influenced Enforcement of □ 330 Fed. Emplo	Property Damage 535 Death Penalty Reporting & Property Damage 540 Mandamus/ Disclosure Act					
and Corrupt Judgment Liability	Product Liability Other Disclosure Act Other Disclosure Act Other 740 Railway Labor Act					
Organizations	RANKRIPTCY 550 Civil Rights 1700 Other Labor					
□ 480 Consumer Credit □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted □ 345 Marine Pro Liability	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC ☐ 555 Prison Condition Litigation					
Student Loan (Excl. 350 Motor Vehi	cle 158 FORFEITURE / 1791 Empl. Ret. Inc.					
□ 810 Selective Service Veterans) □ 355 Motor Vehi □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ □ 153 Recovery of Product Lie	I I CO 157 I C (10 A - i - i - i - i - DD ODED TY DIOYYOO !					
Evolunda Overnoviment of	CIVIL DICHTS GOOD ON THE BOOK GOOD					
□ 875 Customer Challenge 12 Veteran's Benefits □ 360 Other Personal Injury	□ 441 Voting Drug 1830 Patent					
USC 3410 □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 362 Personal In						
□ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 190 Other Contract Med Malpr						
□ 891 Agricultural Act □ 195 Contract Product □ 365 Personal In □ 892 Economic Stabilization Liability Product Lia						
Act 196 Franchise 368 Asbestos Pe						
☐ 893 Environmental Matters REAL PROPERTY Injury Prod						
□ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 210 Land Condemnation Liability	Employment ☐ 650 Airline Regs ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI					
□ 895 Freedom of Info. Act □ 220 Foreclosure IMMIGRATIO 1900 Appeal of Fee Determi- □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 462 Naturalization	= 000 1001 (105(g))					
□ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal □ 240 Torts to Land Application	Salety / Health LED BIG 1717 DOTTO					
Access to Justice 245 Tort Product Liability 463 Habeas Cor	pus- 440 Other Civil or Defendant)					
□ 950 Constitutionality of □ 290 All Other Real Property Alien Detai	nee Rights RS-Third Party 26					
State Statutes 465 Other Immi	gration USC 7609					
- Coulons						

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number: SACV 12 - 02002 JST (MLGx)

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

Case 8:12-cv400000-st64ff48100struccucourt, cEntral dis/12/cPog@A01001891aPage ID #:19 civil cover sheet

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Has this action been previously filed in this court and dismissed, remanded or closed? VNo						
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have any cases been previously filed in this court that are related to the present case? If No Yes If yes, list case number(s):						
□ B. □ C.	Arise from the sam Call for determinat For other reasons w	e or closely related transaction ion of the same or substantial would entail substantial duplic	ons, happenings, or events; or Ily related or similar questions of law and fact; or cation of labor if heard by different judges; or t, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.			
IX. VENUE: (When completing the	following informat	tion, use an additional sheet i	if necessary.)			
(a) List the County in this District; ☐ Check here if the government, i	California County of the agencies or employed	outside of this District; State oyees is a named plaintiff. If	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. this box is checked, go to item (b).			
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
			THE TAWNSAURA GROUP, LLC - Washoe County, Nevada			
(b) List the County in this District; ☐ Check here if the government, it	California County of a gencies or emplo	outside of this District; State byees is a named defendant.	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. If this box is checked, go to item (c).			
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
			OPTIMUM NUTRITION, INC Kane County, IL			
(c) List the County in this District; Note: In land condemnation ca			if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose. ved.			
County in this District:*		·	California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
Plaintiff's Claim - Orange Count	y, CA					
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernar Note: In land condemnation cases, us	dino, Riverside, V e the location of the	entura, Santa Barbara, or S e tract of land involved	San Luis Obispo Counties			
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PRO PER):	11	Date November 14, 2012			
or other papers as required by lav	 This form, approve 	ved by the Judicial Conferenc	rmation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings to of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed ting the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)			
Key to Statistical codes relating to So	cial Security Cases:					
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	f Cause of Action			
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))				
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)				
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))				
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))				
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.				
RSI All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. U.S.C. (g))						

CV-71 (05/08) CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 2 of 2