1	John J. Edmonds (State Bar No. 274200)		
2	jedmonds@cepiplaw.com COLLINS, EDMONDS, POGORZELSKI,		
3	SCHLATHER & TOWER, PLLC		
J	1851 East First Street, Suite 900		
4	Santa Ana, California 92705 Telephone: (951) 708-1237		
5	Facsimile: (951) 824-7901		
6	Attorney for Plaintiff,		
7	GAMETEK LLC		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9			
10	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	GAMETEK LLC,	Case No.:	'12CV2928 BTM BLM
12	Plaintiff,	Plaintiff, COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF	
13	v.	U.S. PATE	NT NO. 7,076,445
14	FUNZIO, INC.; FUNZIO USA, INC.; and GREE INTERNATIONAL, INC.	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
15		Complaint Filed: December 10, 2012	
16	Defendants.		
17	This is an action for patent infringement in which GAMETEK LLC submits this Complain		
18	against Defendants named herein, namely FUNZIO, INC.; FUNZIO USA, INC.; and GREI		
19			
20	INTERNATIONAL, INC. (collectively "Defendants"), as follows:		
21	THE PARTIES		
22	1. GAMETEK LLC ("GAMETEK" or "Plaintiff") is a California limited liability		
23	company with a place of business at 500 Newport Center Drive, Suite 700, Newport Beach, CA		
24	company with a place of business at 500 Newport Center Brive, Butte 700, Newport Beach, Cr		
25	92660.		
	2. On information and belief, FUNZI	O, INC. is a D	Delaware corporation with a place of
26			
27	business at 500 Howard Street, Suite 425, San Francisco, CA 94105.		
28			

- 3. On information and belief, FUNZIO USA, INC. is a Delaware corporation with a place of business at 500 Howard Street, Suite 425, San Francisco, CA 94105.
- 4. On information and belief, GREE INTERNATIONAL, INC. is a California corporation with a place of business at 330 Primrose Rd. Suite 407, Burlingame, CA 94010. Hereinafter, FUNZIO, INC., FUNZIO USA, INC., and GREE INTERNATIONAL, INC. are collectively referred to as "FUNZIO."

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 5. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 6. On information and belief, the Defendants are subject to this Court's specific and/or general personal jurisdiction, pursuant to due process and/or the California Long Arm Statute, due at least to their substantial business in California, including related to the infringements alleged herein. Further, on information and belief, Defendants have interactive websites and/or games comprising infringing methods, which are at least used in and/or accessible in California. Further, on information and belief, Defendants regularly conduct and/or solicit business, engage in other persistent courses of conduct, and/or derive substantial revenue from goods and services provided to persons and/or entities in California.
- 7. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), 1391(c) and 1400(b). Without limitation, on information and belief, Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in this district. On information and belief, the Defendants are subject to this Court's specific and/or general personal jurisdiction, pursuant to due process and/or the California Long Arm Statute, due at least to their substantial business in this district, including related to the infringements alleged herein. Further, on information and belief, Defendants have interactive websites and games comprising

infringing methods, which are at least used in and/or accessible in this district. Further, on information and belief, Defendants regularly conduct and/or solicit business, engage in other persistent courses of conduct, and/or derive substantial revenue from goods and services provided to persons and/or entities in this district.

## **COUNT I**

## **INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,076,445**

- 8. United States Patent No. 7,076,445 ("the '445 patent"), entitled "SYSTEM AND METHODS FOR OBTAINING ADVANTAGES AND TRANSACTING THE SAME IN A COMPUTER GAMING ENVIRONMENT," issued on July 11, 2006.
- 9. GAMETEK is the present assignee of the entire right, title and interest in and to the '445 patent, including all rights to sue for past and present infringement. Accordingly, GAMETEK has standing to bring this lawsuit for infringement of the '445 patent.
- 10. The various claims of the '445 patent cover, inter alia, a method of managing a game comprising displaying a plurality of game objects, determining if the user has sufficient consideration to purchase a game object, presenting an offer to purchase the game object dependent upon parameters comprising the tracked activity of the user and the indication that the user has sufficient consideration, permitting the user to purchase the game object without interrupting the game, supplying the purchased game object to the user without interrupting the game, and incorporating the game object into the game.
- 11. On information and belief, FUNZIO has been and now is infringing, including jointly, the '445 patent by actions comprising managing a game comprising displaying a plurality of game objects, determining if the user has sufficient consideration to purchase a game object, presenting an offer to purchase the game object dependent upon parameters comprising the tracked

activity of the user and the indication that the user has sufficient consideration, permitting the user to purchase the game object without interrupting the game, supplying the purchased game object to the user without interrupting the game, and incorporating the game object into the game. On information and belief, such methods comprise Crime City, Kingdom Age, and Modern War.

- 12. Further, on information and belief, FUNZIO makes, uses, and hosts the game known as Crime City.
- 13. Further, on information and belief, FUNZIO makes, uses, and hosts the game known as Kingdom Age.
- 14. Further, on information and belief, FUNZIO makes, uses, and hosts the game known as Modern War.
- 15. As a result of FUNZIO's infringing conduct, FUNZIO has damaged GAMETEK. FUNZIO is liable to GAMETEK in an amount that adequately compensates GAMETEK for its infringement, which by law, can be no less than a reasonable royalty.
- 16. FUNZIO was put on notice of the '445 patent prior to the filing of this suit. GAMTEK contends that, at a minimum, FUNZIO's ongoing infringement of the '445 patent since receiving notice of the '445 patent is willful, including because FUNZIO's infringement is clear and, at a minimum, such infringement is an objectively reckless act.

## **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, GAMETEK respectfully requests that this Court enter:

- 1. A judgment in favor of GAMETEK that Defendants have infringed the '445 patent;
- 2. A judgment that FUNZIO's infringement is and/or has been willful and objectively reckless;