3 4 5 6 7 8	rhikida@trialnewport.com Scott J. Ferrell (State Bar No. 202091) sferrell@trialnewport.com NEWPORT TRIAL GROUP A Professional Corporation 895 Dove Street, Suite 425 Newport Beach, CA 92660	CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIF. SANTA AHA
9	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
10	CENTRAL DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA
11	THE TAWNSAURA GROUP, LLC,	Case NosACV 13 - 00080 JST (MLGx)
12	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR PATENT
13	Vs.	INFRINGEMENT
14	TRIBRAVUS ENTERPRISES, LLC d/b/a IFORCE NUTRITION,	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
15	Defendant	
16	Detendant	
17		4 ·
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	i i	
24		
25		
26		
27		a

Plaintiff The Tawnsaura Group, LLC ("Plaintiff") hereby alleges for its Complaint against Tribravus Enterprises, LLC d/b/a iForce Nutrition ("Defendant"), on personal knowledge as to its own activities and on information and belief as to the activities of others, as follows:

I. THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of Nevada, with a registered office at 50 West Liberty Street, Suite 1100, Reno, Nevada 89501.
- 2. Plaintiff is the owner and assignee of United States Patent No. 5,874,471 ("the '471 patent") titled "Orthomolecular Medical Use of L-Citrulline for Vasoprotection, Relaxative Smooth Muscle Tone and Cell Protection," and United States Patent No. 6,028,107 ("the '107 patent") titled "Orthomolecular Medical Use of L-Citrulline for Vasoprotection, Relaxative Smooth Muscle Tone and Cell Protection," and Plaintiff licenses the '471 patent and the '107 patent to more than one third-party and is in negotiations to license the patents to numerous other parties.
- 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a California company with a place of business at 1305 Hot Springs Way #103 in Vista, California, 92081.

II. <u>JURISDICTION AND VENUE</u>

- 4. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. Accordingly, this Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338, and 1367.
 - 5. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400.
- 6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant. Defendant, directly or through intermediaries (including distributors, retailers, and others), ships, distributes, offers for sale, sells, and advertises its nutritional supplement products in the United States, the State of California, and the Central District of California, including the products branded and sold as "Hemavol." Defendant has purposefully

and voluntarily placed these products into the stream of commerce with the expectation that they will be purchased in the Central District of California.

III. THE DEFENDANT'S INFRINGING PRODUCTS

- 7. Defendant's "Hemavol"-branded products contain Vitamin C (As Ascorbic Acid) and L-citrulline and are advertised by Defendant as follows: "world's first plasma volumizer"; "specifically engineered to generate skin splitting muscle pumps and myofibrallar plasma volumization"; "scientifically formulated and precisely dosed to dramatically raise the actions of the Nitric Oxide Synthase (NOS) Enzyme, while at the same time dramatically increasing the plasma concentration of key compounds that are critical to the production of N.O."; "Taken before exercise, Citrulline Malate or L-Citrulline will improve the performance and reduce muscle cramps, thanks to abundance of oxygen"; and "By augmenting the NOS Enzyme and maximizing the concentration of amino acids needed to produce N.O., the Hemodynamix matrix literally forces intracellular plasma, glycogen, and water into muscle cells creating a cell volumizing pump unlike anything you have ever experienced."
- 8. The powder version of Hemavol contains 2,500 mg per serving of "Citrulline Malate" and Defendant instructs end-users to, among other things, take up to two servings per day of the powder version.
- 9. The capsule version of Hemavol contains 5,000 mg per serving (one serving is ten capsules) of "Citrulline Malate", and Defendant instructs end-users to, among other things, take five capsules in the morning and five capsules before working out on one day.
- 10. As set forth herein, these Hemavol products infringe the claimed methods of the '471 patent and the '107 patent, and are therefore referred to herein as "the products that infringe the claimed methods."

IV. THE DEFENDANT'S INFRINGEMENTS

11. Defendant's employees, agents, representatives and other persons sponsored by or who endorse Defendant and Defendant's products in advertising and

marketing activities, have taken, used, and orally administered the products that infringe the claimed methods which have the effect, without limitation, of increasing the plasma level of arginine in the persons taking the products from a low or normal fasting level to a level which is up to three times an average overnight fasting level and increasing the plasma concentration of arginine in the persons taking the products from a low or normal fasting concentration to a level which is up to three times an average overnight fasting arginine level of about 90 mu.mole per liter of plasma. These persons included persons who, without limitation, were in good health, were in a condition of increased or continuing brain or neural activities, or were in a condition of increased or continuing muscular activities. Defendant has encouraged and/or is aware of these persons' oral administration of the products that infringe the claimed methods for these purposes, and these persons are acting under Defendant's direction and control. Therefore, Defendant practices the methods as set forth in the '471 patent and the '107 patent and is a direct infringer of the patents.

12. End-users of Defendant's products that infringe the claimed methods are also direct infringers of the '471 patent and the '107 patent, because they have taken, used, and orally administered the products that infringe the claimed methods which have the effect, without limitation, of increasing the plasma level of arginine in the persons taking the products from a low or normal fasting level to a level which is up to three times an average overnight fasting level and/or increasing the plasma concentration of arginine in the persons taking the products from a low or normal fasting concentration to a level which is up to three times an average overnight fasting arginine level of about 90 mu.mole per liter of plasma. These persons included persons who, without limitation, were in good health, were in a condition of increased or continuing brain or neural activities, or were in a condition of increased or continuing muscular activities. Therefore, they practice the methods as set forth in the '471 patent and the '107 patent.

- 13. Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that Defendant's labels and advertising for the products that infringe the claimed methods explain the elements and essential elements of the methods disclosed in the '471 patent and the '107 patent to end-users and encourage, urge, and induce the products' end-users to purchase and orally administer the products to practice those methods, and end-users do practice those methods, and that Defendant has therefore specifically intended to cause these end-users to directly infringe the claimed methods of the patents, and has urged them to do so. Plaintiff intends to amend this complaint at the appropriate time to include more specific allegations pertaining to these facts.
- 14. Defendant's products that infringe the claimed methods are not suitable for non-infringing uses, and none of Defendant's labels or advertisements for the products disclose any uses for the products nor for the citrulline in those products that do not infringe upon the methods disclosed in the '471 patent and the '107 patent. The inclusion of the citrulline content in the products are, in fact, material to practicing the methods disclosed in the '471 patent and the '107 patent.
- 15. Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that Defendant has knowledge that its products that infringe the claimed methods are especially adapted by end-users of the products for the practicing of the methods disclosed in the '471 patent and '107 patent, and, indeed, Defendant encourages, urges, and induces the products' end-users to purchase and orally administer the products to practice those methods, and has done so in the past. Plaintiff intends to amend this complaint at the appropriate time to include more specific allegations pertaining to these facts.
- 16. Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that Defendant has intentionally and knowingly induced, encouraged, and urged end-users of the products that infringe the claimed methods to purchase and orally administer the products for the purpose, without limitation, of increasing the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

plasma level of arginine in the persons taking the products from a low or normal fasting level to a level which is up to three times an average overnight fasting level and increasing the plasma concentration of arginine in the persons taking the products from a low or normal fasting concentration to a level which is up to three times an average overnight fasting arginine level of about 90 mu.mole per liter of plasma, including by end-users who, without limitation, were in good health, were in a condition of increased or continuing brain or neural activities, or were in a condition of increased or continuing muscular activities. Plaintiff intends to amend this complaint at the appropriate time to include more specific allegations pertaining to these facts.

- Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter 17. will demonstrate that Defendant had actual, first-hand knowledge of the '471 patent and the '107 patent as early as the time Defendant launched each of the products that infringe the claimed methods in the marketplace. By way of example and without limitation, Plaintiff believes such facts to be ascertained will include the fact that Defendant employs and engages sophisticated, experienced legal counsel with expertise in patent law, and that Defendant, through its counsel, conducts or should conduct due diligence on the potential for Defendant's products to infringe on patents and knew or should have known that the products that infringe the claimed methods actually infringe or could infringe on the '471 patent and '107 patent, but Defendant decided to infringe the patents anyway or ignored the risk of infringement. By way of further example and without limitation, Plaintiff believes such facts to be ascertained will include the fact that Defendant became aware of the '471 patent and '107 patent following the filing of lawsuits by Plaintiff against Defendant's competitors arising out of infringements by those competitors of the patents. Plaintiff intends to amend this complaint at the appropriate time to include more specific allegations pertaining to these facts.
- 18. In the alternative, Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that Defendant subjectively believed at the time Defendant launched each of the products that infringe the claimed methods in the

marketplace that there is or was a high probability of the fact that patents existed that covered the use of citrulline as in the products and that Defendant took deliberate actions to avoid confirming that fact, including not conducting due diligence as to potential patent infringements, and that Defendant therefore willfully blinded itself to the infringing nature of its sales of the products that infringe the claimed methods. Plaintiff intends to amend this complaint at the appropriate time to include more specific allegations pertaining to these facts.

- 19. At a minimum, Defendant will become aware of the '471 patent and the '107 patent at the time of the filing and service of this Complaint, yet Defendant will likely not cease its own direct infringement, nor what Plaintiff believes is Defendant's indirect infringement by contributory infringement or inducement of infringements by end-users, despite such knowledge. Plaintiff intends to amend this complaint at the appropriate time to include more specific allegations pertaining to these post-filing activities, based on facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter.
- 20. The earliest exact date Defendant obtained knowledge of the '471 patent and the '107 patent is within the exclusive possession and control of Defendant. However, Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that Defendant specifically induces end-users to use its products, and particularly the citrulline component in the products, to "generate skin splitting muscle pumps," "plasma volumization"; "dramatically raise the actions of the Nitric Oxide Synthase (NOS) Enzyme, while at the same time dramatically increasing the plasma concentration of key compounds that are critical to the production of N.O.," and "improve the performance and reduce muscle cramps, thanks to abundance of oxygen," and Defendant knows and intends that end-users achieve that objective by practicing the methods as set forth in the '471 and '107 patents. Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that this is why Defendant includes citrulline in its products for the purposes of improving of the health of end-users to increase the plasma level of arginine in end-users to a level from a low or

normal fasting level to a level which is up to three times an average overnight fasting level, and improving the health of end-users to increase the plasma concentration of arginine in the subject to a level from a low or normal fasting concentration to a level which is up to three times an average overnight fasting arginine level of about 90 mu.mole per liter of plasma – and Defendant knows that end-users purchase and ingest the products, and particularly the citrulline content of the products, for those purposes. Plaintiff intends to amend this complaint at the appropriate time to include more specific allegations pertaining to these facts.

V. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,874,471

- 21. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 22. Defendant has in the past and still is literally and directly infringing or directly infringing under the doctrine of equivalents one or more claims of the '471 patent by making, using, selling, and offering for sale nutritional supplements embodying the patented invention, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.
- 23. The claims of the '471 patent infringed by Defendant include claims 1, 2, 20, 21, 26, 27, and 28.
- 24. Examples of Defendant's direct infringement includes, without limitation, the fact that Defendant's employees, agents, representatives and other persons sponsored by or who endorse Defendant and Defendant's products in advertising and marketing activities, have taken, used, and orally administered the products that infringe the claimed methods which have the effect, without limitation, of increasing the plasma level of arginine in the persons taking the products from a low or normal fasting level to a level which is up to three times an average overnight fasting level. These persons included persons who, without limitation, were in good health, were in a condition of increased or continuing brain or neural activities, or were in a condition of

of these persons' oral administration of the products that infringe the claimed methods for these purposes, these persons are acting under Defendant's direction and control, and therefore Defendant is directly practicing the methods set forth in the '471 patent.

25. Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter

increased or continuing muscular activities. Defendant has encouraged and/or is aware

- 25. Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that Defendant is also literally and indirectly or indirectly infringing under the doctrine of equivalents, through contributory and/or induced infringement, one or more claims of the '471 patent. Plaintiff intends to amend this First Cause of Action at the appropriate time once more specific facts pertaining to Defendant's acts of indirect infringement are ascertained.
- 26. Defendant's activities have been without express or implied license by Plaintiff.
- 27. Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that the infringement by Defendant has been and continues to be willful, and Plaintiff intends to amend this First Cause of Action at the appropriate time once more specific facts pertaining to Defendant's willful acts of infringement are ascertained.
- 28. As a result of Defendant's acts of infringement, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer damages in an amount to be proved at trial.
- 29. As a result of Defendant's acts of infringement, Plaintiff has been and will continue to be irreparably harmed by Defendant's infringements, which will continue unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court.
- 30. Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that Defendant's past infringement and/or continuing infringement has been deliberate and willful, and that this case is therefore an exceptional case, which warrants an award of treble damages and attorneys' fees in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 285. Plaintiff intends to amend this First Cause of Action at the appropriate time once

more specific facts pertaining to Defendant's deliberate and willful acts of infringement are ascertained.

VI. <u>SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION</u>

Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,028,107

- 31. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 32. Defendant has in the past and still is literally and directly infringing or directly infringing under the doctrine of equivalents one or more claims of the '107 patent by making, using, selling, and offering for sale nutritional supplements embodying the patented invention, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.
- 33. The claims of the '107 patent infringed by Defendant include claims 1, 2, 15, 16, 18, and 19.
- 34. Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that Defendant is also literally and indirectly or indirectly infringing under the doctrine of equivalents, through contributory and/or induced infringement, one or more claims of the '107 patent. Plaintiff intends to amend this Second Cause of Action at the appropriate time once more specific facts pertaining to Defendant's acts of indirect infringement are ascertained.
- 35. Examples of Defendant's direct infringement includes, without limitation, the fact that Defendant's employees, agents, representatives and other persons sponsored by or who endorse Defendant and Defendant's products in advertising and marketing activities, have taken, used, and orally administered the products that infringe the claimed methods which have the effect, without limitation, of increasing the plasma concentration of arginine in the persons taking the products from a low or normal fasting concentration to a level which is up to three times an average overnight fasting arginine level of about 90 mu.mole per liter of plasma. These persons included persons who, without limitation, were in good health, were in a condition of increased

- 36. Defendant's activities have been without express or implied license by Plaintiff.
- 37. Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that the infringement by Defendant has been and continues to be willful, and Plaintiff intends to amend this Second Cause of Action at the appropriate time once more specific facts pertaining to Defendant's willful acts of infringement are ascertained.
- 38. As a result of Defendant's acts of infringement, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer damages in an amount to be proved at trial.
- 39. As a result of Defendant's acts of infringement, Plaintiff has been and will continue to be irreparably harmed by Defendant's infringements, which will continue unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court.
- 40. Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that Defendant's past infringement and/or continuing infringement has been deliberate and willful, and that this case is therefore an exceptional case, which warrants an award of treble damages and attorneys' fees in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 285. Plaintiff intends to amend this Second Cause of Action at the appropriate time once more specific facts pertaining to Defendant's deliberate and willful acts of infringement are ascertained.

VII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for entry of judgment against Defendant as follows:

- 1. A declaration that Defendant has infringed the '471 patent and the '107 patent under 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 et seq.;
- 2. That injunctions, preliminary and permanent, be issued by this Court restraining Defendant, its respective officers, agents, servants, directors, and employees, and all persons in active concert or participation with each, from directly infringing the '471 patent and the '107 patent;
- 3. That Defendant be required to provide to Plaintiff an accounting of all gains, profits, and advantages derived by Defendant's infringement of the '471 patent and the '107 patent, and that Plaintiff be awarded damages adequate to compensate Plaintiff for the wrongful infringing acts by Defendant, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- 4. That, at the appropriate time after more specific facts pertaining to Defendant's acts of indirect, willful, and deliberate infringement are ascertained and this Complaint is amended to include such facts: (a) injunctions, preliminary and permanent, be issued by this Court restraining Defendant, its respective officers, agents, servants, directors, and employees, and all persons in active concert or participation with each, from indirectly infringing the '471 patent and the '107 patent; (b) Defendant be required to provide to Plaintiff an accounting of all gains, profits, and advantages derived by Defendant's indirect infringement of the '471 patent and the '107 patent; (c) Plaintiff be awarded damages adequate to compensate Plaintiff for the wrongful infringing acts by Defendant, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 284; and (d) the damages awarded to Plaintiff with regard to the '471 patent and the '107 patent be increased up to three times, in view of Defendant's willful infringement, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- 5. That, at the appropriate time after more specific facts pertaining to Defendant's acts of willful and deliberate infringement are ascertained and this Complaint is amended to include such facts, this case be declared to be exceptional in

favor of Plaintiff under 35 U.S.C. § 285, and that Plaintiff be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and other expenses incurred in connection with this action; 2 That Plaintiff be awarded its interest and costs of suit incurred in this 3 action; 4 Compensatory damages; 7. 5 8. Punitive damages; and 6 That Plaintiff be awarded such other and further relief as this Court may 9. 7 deem just and proper. 8 9 Respectfully submitted, 10 NEWPORT TRIAL GROUP A Professional Corporation 11 12 Dated: January 15, 2013 By: 13 Attorneys for Plaintiff 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b), Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial for all issues in this case that properly are subject to a jury trial. Respectfully submitted, NEWPORT TRIAL GROUP A Professional Corporation Dated: January 15, 2013 By: Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Josephine Tucker and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Marc Goldman.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

SACV13- 80 JST (MLGx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge

NOTICE TO COUNSEL A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is

filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

[]	Western Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012
	312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8
	Los Angeles CA 90012

1	Southern Division
	411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053
	Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516

ī	Eastern Division		
	3470 Twelfth St., Rm.	134	
	Riverside, CA 92501		

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

Case 8:13-cv-00080-JST-MLG Document 1	Filed 01/16/13 Page 16 of 18 Page ID #:16
Name & Address: NEWPORT TRIAL GROUP	
Tyler J. Woods (State Bar No. 232464)	
Richard H. Hikida (State Bar No. 196149)	
Scott J. Ferrell (State Bar No. 202091)	
895 Dove Street, Ste 425, Newport Beach, CA 92660	
UNITED STATES I CENTRAL DISTRIC	
THE TAWNSAURA GROUP, LLC,	CASE NUMBER
	SACV 13 - 00080 JST (MLGx)
PLAINTIFF(S) V.	
TRIBRAVUS ENTERPRISES, LLC d/b/a IFORCE	
NUTRITION	
	SUMMONS
DEFENDANT(S).	
A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summor must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached of counterclaim cross-claim or a motion under Rule 1 or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, Ne 895 Dove Street, Suite 425, Newport Beach, CA 92660 judgment by default will be entered against you for the r your answer or motion with the court.	2 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer wport Trial Group, whose address is If you fail to do so,
	Clerk, U.S. District Court
Dated: 1 16/13	By: DENISE VO
	Deputy Clerk
	(Seal of the Court)
[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].	agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed
CV-01A (10/11 SUMM	IONS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

	The state of the s
I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself □) THE TAWNSAURA GROUP, LLC,	DEFENDANTS TRIBRAVUS ENTERPRISES, LLC d/b/a IFORCE NUTRITION
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are repres yourself, provide same.)	enting Attorneys (If Known)
NEWPORT TRIAL GROUP, 895 Dove Street, Suite 425, Newport Beach 92660 Tel; (949) 706-6464 Fax (949)706-6469	h, CA
	CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only (Place an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.)
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff ☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party) Citize	PTF DEF en of This State PTF DEF Incorporated or Principal Place Of Business in this State
of Parties in Item III)	en of Another State
Citize	en or Subject of a Foreign Country 3 3 Foreign Nation 6 6
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.)	
original □ 2 Removed from □ 3 Remanded from □ 4 Reinstate Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopense	ed or
V. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: JURY DEMAND: Yes INo (C	Check 'Yes' only if demanded in complaint.)
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C.P. 23: Yes You	MONEY DEMANDED IN COMPLAINT: \$ TBD
	ng and write a brief statement of cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.)
35 USC §§271, et seq; 35 USC §§284; 35 USC §§285	ing that make a sales parents of sensor we not say just a first and a sales an
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an X in one box only.)	
The properties of a consequence process of the consequence of the cons	
And estimated and another provided after the property of the provided and	RTS TORTS PRISONER LABOR
□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 110 Insurance PERSONA □ 410 Antitrust □ 120 Marine □ 310 Airpl	AL INJURY PERSONAL PETITIONS 710 Fair Labor Standards lane PROPERTY 510 Motions to Act
□ 430 Banks and Banking □ 130 Miller Act □ 315 Airpi	
☐ 450 Commerce/ICC ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument Liabi	
Rates/etc.	ult, Libel & 380 Other Personal 530 General 730 Labor/Mgmt.
☐ 460 Deportation Overpayment & Sland	der Property Damage ☐ 535 Death Penalty Reporting &
□ 470 Racketeer Influenced Enforcement of □ 330 Fed.	- IL 363 1 100city Daniago IL 340 (Viandania) Discressive for
and Corrupt Judgment Liabi	Hoddet Elabinty Chief 1740 Railway Eabot Act
Organizations 151 Medicare Act 345 Mari	ne Product BANKRUPICY (1) 350 Civil Rights 1 /90 Other Labor
☐ 480 Consumer Credit ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted ☐ Light	Hity U 422 Appeal 28 USC U 555 Prison Condition Editigation
1490 Cable/Sat TV Student Loan (Exct. 1350 Moto	or Vehicle 158 FORFEITURE / 121 Empl. Ret. mc.
□ 810 Selective Service Veterans) □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ □ 153 Recovery of	or venicle LISC 157 FIGURE DROPERTY RIGHTS
Freshame Community of	CIVIL DICLITS G 620 Other Food & G 920 Comprishts
□ 875 Customer Challenge 12 Veteran's Benefits Injur	v 441 Voting Drug V 830 Patent
USC 3410	
and a contract of the contract	Malpractice ☐ 443 Housing/Acco- Seizure of SOCIAL SECURITY pal Injury- mmodations Property 21 USC ☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)
	uct Liability
1	stos Personal
1	y Product Disabilities - □ 640 R.R. & Truck (405(g))
☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act ☐ 210 Land Condemnation Liabi	
	RATION 446 American with 660 Occupational 865 RSI (405(g))
□ 900 Appeal of Fee Determi- □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 462 Natu	
The state of the s	ication Other 690 Other 5870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)
1100035 to 545100 [Li 245 10tt 10440t Diability]	n Detainee Rights Rights Rights Rights
State Statutes Constitutionally of State Statutes	r Immigration USC 7609
Actio	

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number: SACV 13 - 00080 JST (MLGx)

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

Case 8:13-cv4000000-st647f451103structure tirt, ceneral itis/tiricipagec148.10f0f6ni.Page ID #:18

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Ha If yes, list case number(s):	s this action been pr	reviously filed in this court a	nd dismissed, remanded or closed? ☑ No ☐ Yes	
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have If yes, list case number(s):	e any cases been pre	eviously filed in this court the	at are related to the present case? ♥ No □ Yes	
□ B. □ C.	Arise from the sam Call for determinati For other reasons w	e or closely related transaction on of the same or substantial ould entail substantial duplic	ons, happenings, or events; or Ily related or similar questions of law and fact; or cation of labor if heard by different judges; or t; and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.	
IX. VENUE: (When completing the	following informat	ion, use an additional sheet i	f necessary.)	
			if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. This box is checked, go to item (b).	
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country	
			THE TAWNSAURA GROUP, LLC - Washoe County, Nevada	
 (b) List the County in this District; □ Check here if the government, it 	California County of agencies or emplo	utside of this District; State byees is a named defendant.	If other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. If this box is checked, go to item (c).	
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country	
TRIBRAVUS ENTERPRISES, Diego County, CA	LLC d/b/a IFOR(CE NUTRITION - San		
(c) List the County in this District; Note: In land condemnation ca	California County o	utside of this District; State i	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.	
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country	
Plaintiff's Claim - Orange Count	y, CA			
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernar Note: In land condemnation cases, us	dino, Riverside, Vec the location of the	entura, Santa Barbara, or S tract of land involved	San Luis Obispo Counties	
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PRO PER):	/4/. W	Date January 15, 2013	
or other papers as required by law but is used by the Clerk of the Co	v. This form, approvourt for the purpose	red by the Judicial Conferenc of statistics, venue and initiat	rmation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings to of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed ting the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)	
Key to Statistical codes relating to So	•			
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	f Cause of Action	
861	НІА	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))		
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)		
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))		
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))		
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.		
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))		

CV-71 (05/08) CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 2 of 2