FILED

Tyler J. Woods (State Bar No. 232464) twoods@trialnewport.com
Richard H. Hikida (State Bar No. 196149)
rhikida@trialnewport.com
Scott J. Ferrell (State Bar No. 202091)
sferrell@trialnewport.com
NEWPORT TRIAL GROUP 2013 JAN 11 - AM 11: 26 2 CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIF. SANTA AHA 3 BY. 4 A Professional Corporation 895 Dove Street, Suite 425 Newport Beach, CA 92660 Tel: (949) 706-6464 Fax: (949) 706-6469 6 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 Case No. SACV13-00051 CJC (ANx) 12 THE TAWNSAURA GROUP, LLC, Plaintiff, 13 COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT 14 VS. JURY TRIAL DEMANDED 15 M.D. SCIENCE LAB, LLC Defendant 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28



Plaintiff The Tawnsaura Group, LLC ("Plaintiff") hereby alleges for its Complaint against M.D. Science Lab, LLC ("Defendant"), on personal knowledge as to its own activities and on information and belief as to the activities of others, as follows:

4

5 6

1.

8

7

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

21

22

20

23 24

25

26

27

28

I. THE PARTIES

- Plaintiff is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of Nevada, with a registered office at 50 West Liberty Street, Suite 1100, Reno, Nevada 89501.
- 2. Plaintiff is the owner and assignee of United States Patent No. 5,874,471 ("the '471 patent") titled "Orthomolecular Medical Use of L-Citrulline for Vasoprotection, Relaxative Smooth Muscle Tone and Cell Protection," and United States Patent No. 6,028,107 ("the '107 patent") titled "Orthomolecular Medical Use of L-Citrulline for Vasoprotection, Relaxative Smooth Muscle Tone and Cell Protection," and Plaintiff licenses the '471 patent and the '107 patent to more than one third-party and is in negotiations to license the patents to numerous other parties.
- 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant M.D. Science Lab, LLC is a company organized and existing under the laws of Florida with a principal place of business at 2131 Blount Road in Pompano Beach, Florida, 33069.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. Accordingly, this Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338, and 1367.
 - 5. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400.
- This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant. Defendant, directly 6. through intermediaries (including distributors, retailers, and others), ships, distributes, offers for sale, sells, and advertises its nutritional supplement products in the United States, the State of California, and the Central District of California. including the products branded and sold as "Herbal Viva Super Sex Booster" and "Max Hard." Defendant has purposefully and voluntarily placed these products into the

stream of commerce with the expectation that they will be purchased in the Central District of California.

III. THE DEFENDANT'S INFRINGING PRODUCTS

- 7. The label and/or advertisements for Defendant's "Herbal Viva Super Sex Booster" product states that it contains, inter alia, the ingredient "L-Citrulline," and that "Herbal VIVA Super Sex Booster™ has four specific amino acids to rapidly supply vital nutrients that focus on activation of the nitric oxide pathways for vasodilatation. The latest data supports that L-Citrulline's [sic] creates a recycling and/or renewable source of L-Arginine via several enzymatic erectile pathways. This cycle is the key to maximizing L-Arginine's relationship with the Nitric Oxide Pathway (The essential conduit to all sustained erection and orgasm). The process is ultimately what provides one with the firmest erections and highest form of orgasms possible."
- 8. The label and/or advertisements for Defendant's "Max Hard" product states that it contains, inter alia, the ingredient "L-Citrulline," and that it is "Scientifically formulated for maximum male enhancement and endurance. Maxhard is for men looking to get it there and keep it there! Incredible erections with increased stamina & endurance."
- 9. As set forth herein, these products infringe the claimed methods of the '471 patent and the '107 patent, and are therefore referred to herein as "the products that infringe the claimed methods."

IV. THE DEFENDANT'S INFRINGEMENTS

10. Defendant's employees, agents, representatives and other persons sponsored by or who endorse Defendant and Defendant's products in advertising and marketing activities, have taken, used, and orally administered the products that infringe the claimed methods which have the effect, without limitation, of increasing the plasma level of arginine in the persons taking the products from a low or normal fasting level to a level which is up to three times an average overnight fasting level and increasing the plasma concentration of arginine in the persons taking the products from

- a low or normal fasting concentration to a level which is up to three times an average overnight fasting arginine level of about 90 mu.mole per liter of plasma. These persons included persons who, without limitation, were in good health, were in a condition of increased or continuing brain or neural activities, or were in a condition of increased or continuing muscular activities. Defendant has encouraged and/or is aware of these persons' oral administration of the products that infringe the claimed methods for these purposes, and these persons are acting under Defendant's direction and control. Therefore, Defendant practices the methods as set forth in the '471 patent and the '107 patent and is a direct infringer of the patents.
- also direct infringers of the '471 patent and the '107 patent, because they have taken, used, and orally administered the products that infringe the claimed methods which have the effect, without limitation, of increasing the plasma level of arginine in the persons taking the products from a low or normal fasting level to a level which is up to three times an average overnight fasting level and/or increasing the plasma concentration of arginine in the persons taking the products from a low or normal fasting concentration to a level which is up to three times an average overnight fasting arginine level of about 90 mu.mole per liter of plasma. These persons included persons who, without limitation, were in good health, were in a condition of increased or continuing brain or neural activities, or were in a condition of increased or continuing muscular activities. Therefore, they practice the methods as set forth in the '471 patent and the '107 patent.
- 12. Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that Defendant's labels and advertising for the products that infringe the claimed methods explain the elements and essential elements of the methods disclosed in the '471 patent and the '107 patent to end-users and encourage, urge, and induce the products' end-users to purchase and orally administer the products to practice those methods, and end-users do practice those methods, and that Defendant

has therefore specifically intended to cause these end-users to directly infringe the claimed methods of the patents, and has urged them to do so. Plaintiff intends to amend this complaint at the appropriate time to include more specific allegations pertaining to these facts.

- 13. Defendant's products that infringe the claimed methods are not suitable for non-infringing uses, and none of Defendant's labels or advertisements for the products disclose any uses for the products nor for the citrulline in those products that do not infringe upon the methods disclosed in the '471 patent and the '107 patent. The inclusion of the citrulline content in the products are, in fact, material to practicing the methods disclosed in the '471 patent and the '107 patent.
- 14. Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that Defendant has knowledge that its products that infringe the claimed methods are especially adapted by end-users of the products for the practicing of the methods disclosed in the '471 patent and '107 patent, and, indeed, Defendant encourages, urges, and induces the products' end-users to purchase and orally administer the products to practice those methods, and has done so in the past. Plaintiff intends to amend this complaint at the appropriate time to include more specific allegations pertaining to these facts.
- 15. Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that Defendant has intentionally and knowingly induced, encouraged, and urged end-users of the products that infringe the claimed methods to purchase and orally administer the products for the purpose, without limitation, of increasing the plasma level of arginine in the persons taking the products from a low or normal fasting level to a level which is up to three times an average overnight fasting level and increasing the plasma concentration of arginine in the persons taking the products from a low or normal fasting concentration to a level which is up to three times an average overnight fasting arginine level of about 90 mu.mole per liter of plasma, including by end-users who, without limitation, were in good health, were in a condition of increased

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

or continuing brain or neural activities, or were in a condition of increased or continuing muscular activities. Plaintiff intends to amend this complaint at the appropriate time to include more specific allegations pertaining to these facts.

- Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that Defendant had actual, first-hand knowledge of the '471 patent and the '107 patent as early as the time Defendant launched each of the products that infringe the claimed methods in the marketplace. By way of example and without limitation, Plaintiff believes such facts to be ascertained will include the fact that Defendant employs and engages sophisticated, experienced legal counsel with expertise in patent law, and that Defendant, through its counsel, conducts or should conduct due diligence on the potential for Defendant's products to infringe on patents and knew or should have known that the products that infringe the claimed methods actually infringe or could infringe on the '471 patent and '107 patent, but Defendant decided to infringe the patents anyway or ignored the risk of infringement. By way of further example and without limitation, Plaintiff believes such facts to be ascertained will include the fact that Defendant became aware of the '471 patent and '107 patent following the filing of lawsuits by Plaintiff against Defendant's competitors arising out of infringements by those competitors of the patents. Plaintiff intends to amend this complaint at the appropriate time to include more specific allegations pertaining to these facts.
- 17. In the alternative, Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that Defendant subjectively believed at the time Defendant launched each of the products that infringe the claimed methods in the marketplace that there is or was a high probability of the fact that patents existed that covered the use of citrulline as in the products and that Defendant took deliberate actions to avoid confirming that fact, including not conducting due diligence as to potential patent infringements, and that Defendant therefore willfully blinded itself to the infringing nature of its sales of the products that infringe the claimed methods. Plaintiff intends to amend this complaint at the appropriate time to include more

specific allegations pertaining to these facts.

- 18. At a minimum, Defendant will become aware of the '471 patent and the '107 patent at the time of the filing and service of this Complaint, yet Defendant will likely not cease its own direct infringement, nor what Plaintiff believes is Defendant's indirect infringement by contributory infringement or inducement of infringements by end-users, despite such knowledge. Plaintiff intends to amend this complaint at the appropriate time to include more specific allegations pertaining to these post-filing activities, based on facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter.
- The earliest exact date Defendant obtained knowledge of the '471 patent 19. and the '107 patent is within the exclusive possession and control of Defendant. However, Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that Defendant specifically induces end-users to use its products, and particularly the citrulline component in the products, to "provide erections," and Defendant knows and intends that end-users achieve that objective by practicing the methods as set forth in the '471 and '107 patents. Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that this is why Defendant includes citrulline in its products - for the purposes of improving of the health of endusers to increase the plasma level of arginine in end-users to a level from a low or normal fasting level to a level which is up to three times an average overnight fasting level, and improving the health of end-users to increase the plasma concentration of arginine in the subject to a level from a low or normal fasting concentration to a level which is up to three times an average overnight fasting arginine level of about 90 mu.mole per liter of plasma - and Defendant knows that end-users purchase and ingest the products, and particularly the citrulline content of the products, for those purposes. Plaintiff intends to amend this complaint at the appropriate time to include more specific allegations pertaining to these facts.

27 || / / /

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28 | / / /

V. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,874,471

- 20. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 21. Defendant has in the past and still is literally and directly infringing or directly infringing under the doctrine of equivalents one or more claims of the '471 patent by making, using, selling, and offering for sale nutritional supplements embodying the patented invention, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.
- 22. The claims of the '471 patent infringed by Defendant include claims 1, 2, 20, 21, and 28.
- 23. Examples of Defendant's direct infringement includes, without limitation, the fact that Defendant's employees, agents, representatives and other persons sponsored by or who endorse Defendant and Defendant's products in advertising and marketing activities, have taken, used, and orally administered the products that infringe the claimed methods which have the effect, without limitation, of increasing the plasma level of arginine in the persons taking the products from a low or normal fasting level to a level which is up to three times an average overnight fasting level. These persons included persons who, without limitation, were in good health, were in a condition of increased or continuing brain or neural activities, or were in a condition of increased or continuing muscular activities. Defendant has encouraged and/or is aware of these persons' oral administration of the products that infringe the claimed methods for these purposes, these persons are acting under Defendant's direction and control, and therefore Defendant is directly practicing the methods set forth in the '471 patent.
- 24. Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that Defendant is also literally and indirectly or indirectly infringing under the doctrine of equivalents, through contributory and/or induced infringement, one or more claims of the '471 patent. Plaintiff intends to amend this First Cause of

- 3 4
- 5 6
- 8

7

- 10 11
- 12 13
- 14
- 15 16
- 17
- 18
- 19 20
- 21

22

23 24

25 26

27 28

- Action at the appropriate time once more specific facts pertaining to Defendant's acts of indirect infringement are ascertained.
- Defendant's activities have been without express or implied license by 25. Plaintiff.
- Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter 26. will demonstrate that the infringement by Defendant has been and continues to be willful, and Plaintiff intends to amend this First Cause of Action at the appropriate time once more specific facts pertaining to Defendant's willful acts of infringement are ascertained.
- 27. As a result of Defendant's acts of infringement, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer damages in an amount to be proved at trial.
- As a result of Defendant's acts of infringement, Plaintiff has been and will 28. continue to be irreparably harmed by Defendant's infringements, which will continue unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court.
- 29. Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that Defendant's past infringement and/or continuing infringement has been deliberate and willful, and that this case is therefore an exceptional case, which warrants an award of treble damages and attorneys' fees in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 285. Plaintiff intends to amend this First Cause of Action at the appropriate time once more specific facts pertaining to Defendant's deliberate and willful acts of infringement are ascertained.

VI. **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,028,107

- 30. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 31. Defendant has in the past and still is literally and directly infringing or directly infringing under the doctrine of equivalents one or more claims of the '107 patent by making, using, selling, and offering for sale nutritional supplements

- 32. The claims of the '107 patent infringed by Defendant include claims 1, 2, 15, and 16.
- 33. Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that Defendant is also literally and indirectly or indirectly infringing under the doctrine of equivalents, through contributory and/or induced infringement, one or more claims of the '107 patent. Plaintiff intends to amend this Second Cause of Action at the appropriate time once more specific facts pertaining to Defendant's acts of indirect infringement are ascertained.
- 34. Examples of Defendant's direct infringement includes, without limitation, the fact that Defendant's employees, agents, representatives and other persons sponsored by or who endorse Defendant and Defendant's products in advertising and marketing activities, have taken, used, and orally administered the products that infringe the claimed methods which have the effect, without limitation, of increasing the plasma concentration of arginine in the persons taking the products from a low or normal fasting concentration to a level which is up to three times an average overnight fasting arginine level of about 90 mu.mole per liter of plasma. These persons included persons who, without limitation, were in good health, were in a condition of increased or continuing brain or neural activities, or were in a condition of increased or continuing muscular activities. Defendant has encouraged and/or is aware of these persons' oral administration of the products that infringe the claimed methods for these purposes, these persons are acting under Defendant's direction and control, and therefore Defendant is directly practicing the methods set forth in the '107 patent.
- 35. Defendant's activities have been without express or implied license by Plaintiff.
- 36. Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that the infringement by Defendant has been and continues to be

willful, and Plaintiff intends to amend this Second Cause of Action at the appropriate time once more specific facts pertaining to Defendant's willful acts of infringement are ascertained.

- 37. As a result of Defendant's acts of infringement, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer damages in an amount to be proved at trial.
- 38. As a result of Defendant's acts of infringement, Plaintiff has been and will continue to be irreparably harmed by Defendant's infringements, which will continue unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court.
- 39. Plaintiff believes facts to be ascertained during discovery in this matter will demonstrate that Defendant's past infringement and/or continuing infringement has been deliberate and willful, and that this case is therefore an exceptional case, which warrants an award of treble damages and attorneys' fees in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 285. Plaintiff intends to amend this Second Cause of Action at the appropriate time once more specific facts pertaining to Defendant's deliberate and willful acts of infringement are ascertained.

VII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for entry of judgment against Defendant as follows:

- 1. A declaration that Defendant has infringed the '471 patent and the '107 patent under 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 et seq.;
- 2. That injunctions, preliminary and permanent, be issued by this Court restraining Defendant, its respective officers, agents, servants, directors, and employees, and all persons in active concert or participation with each, from directly infringing the '471 patent and the '107 patent;
- 3. That Defendant be required to provide to Plaintiff an accounting of all gains, profits, and advantages derived by Defendant's infringement of the '471 patent and the '107 patent, and that Plaintiff be awarded damages adequate to compensate Plaintiff for the wrongful infringing acts by Defendant, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. §

284;

- 4. That, at the appropriate time after more specific facts pertaining to Defendant's acts of indirect, willful, and deliberate infringement are ascertained and this Complaint is amended to include such facts: (a) injunctions, preliminary and permanent, be issued by this Court restraining Defendant, its respective officers, agents, servants, directors, and employees, and all persons in active concert or participation with each, from indirectly infringing the '471 patent and the '107 patent; (b) Defendant be required to provide to Plaintiff an accounting of all gains, profits, and advantages derived by Defendant's indirect infringement of the '471 patent and the '107 patent; (c) Plaintiff be awarded damages adequate to compensate Plaintiff for the wrongful infringing acts by Defendant, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 284; and (d) the damages awarded to Plaintiff with regard to the '471 patent and the '107 patent be increased up to three times, in view of Defendant's willful infringement, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- 5. That, at the appropriate time after more specific facts pertaining to Defendant's acts of willful and deliberate infringement are ascertained and this Complaint is amended to include such facts, this case be declared to be exceptional in favor of Plaintiff under 35 U.S.C. § 285, and that Plaintiff be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and other expenses incurred in connection with this action;
- 6. That Plaintiff be awarded its interest and costs of suit incurred in this action;
 - 7. Compensatory damages;
 - 8. Punitive damages; and
- 9. That Plaintiff be awarded such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

NEWPORT TRIAL GROUP, APC

Dated: January 9, 2013

By: Tyler I Woods

Attorneys for Plaintiff

1	DEMAND FO	R JURY TRIAL					
2	Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b), Plaintiff hereby demands a						
3	ury trial for all issues in this case that properly are subject to a jury trial.						
4							
5		Respectfully submitted,					
6		NEWPORT TRIAL GROUP A Professional Corporation					
7							
8	Dated: January 9, 2013	By: Tyler I. Woods Attorneys for Plaintiff					
9		9, 2					
10 11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							
- 11							

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Cormac J. Carney and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Arthur Nakazato.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

SACV13- 51 CJC (ANx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

Western Division
312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516

Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

Name & Address: NEWPORT TRIAL GROUP Tyler J. Woods (State Bar No. 232464) Richard H. Hikida (State Bar No. 196149) Scott J. Ferrell (State Bar No. 202091) 895 Dove Street, Ste 425, Newport Beach, CA 92660	
	DISTRICT COURT T OF CALIFORNIA
THE TAWNSAURA GROUP, LLC,	CASE NUMBER
PLAINTIFF(S) V.	SACV13-00051 CJC (ANx)
M.D. SCIENCE LAB, LLC	
DEFENDANT(S).	SUMMONS
A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within21 days after service of this summon must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached ☑ counterclaim □ cross-claim or a motion under Rule 1 or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, Ne 895 Dove Street, Suite 425, Newport Beach, CA 92660 judgment by default will be entered against you for the ryour answer or motion with the court.	2 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer wport Trial Group, whose address is If you fail to do so,
JAN 1 1 2013 Dated:	By: Deputy Clerk (Seal of the Court)
[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].	s agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed
CV-01A (10/11 SUMM	ORIGINAL

Case 8:13-cv-00051-CJC-AN Document 1 Filed 01/11/13 Page 15 of 17 Page ID #:17

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself □) THE TAWNSAURA GROUP, LLC,		DEFENDANTS M.D. SCIENCE LAB, LI	C				
							
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are yourself, provide same.)	representing	Attorneys (If Known)					
NEWPORT TRIAL GROUP, 895 Dove Street, Suite 425, Newport 92660 Tel; (949) 706-6464 Fax (949) 706-6469	Beach, CA						
H. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only (Place an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.)						
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff ☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of This S		F DEF	Incorporated or P		PTF □ 4	DEF □ 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant 15 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State 2 2 1 Incorporated and Principal Place of Business in Another State			₫ 5	1 5		
	Citizen or Subje	et of a Foreign Country 🗆 3	□ 3	Foreign Nation		□6	□6
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.)							
	instated or ☐ 5 copened	Transferred from another d	strict (sp	ecify): □6 Mult Distr Litig	ict Judg	eal to I e from istrate	ı
V. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: JURY DEMAND: Yes	No (Check 'Yes'	only if demanded in compla	int.)				
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C.P. 23: ☐ Yes ☑ No	EZ N	IONEY DEMANDED IN C	OMPLA	AINT: § TBD			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you a	are filing and writ	e a brief statement of cause.	Do not c	ite jurisdictional sta	atutes unless div	ersity.)	
35 USC §§271, et seq; 35 USC §§284; 35 USC §§285		·····					
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an X in one box only.)							
OTHER STATUTES CONTRACT	TORTS	TORTS	i savata a	PRISONER	LAB	OR	
** 1	SONAL INJURY	PERSONAL	may read \$1,000 co. (5,000)	PETITIONS	□710 Fair Lal	or Sta	ndards
	Airplane Airplane Produc	PROPERTY □ 370 Other Fraud	□ 510	Motions to Vacate Sentence	Act □ 720 Labor/N	d and	
☐ 450 Commerce/ICC ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	□ 371 Truth in Lending		Habeas Corpus	Relation		
14103000	Assault, Libel &	LET 200 CURT LETROHET		General	□ 730 Labor/N		
□ 460 Deportation Overpayment & Enforcement of □ 330	Slander Fed. Employers'	Property Damage	535	Death Penalty	Reporti		
and Corrupt Judgment	Liability	☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability		Mandamus/ Other	Disclos ☐ 740 Railway		
Organizations	Marine	BANKRUPTCY	. 1	Civil Rights	☐ 790 Other L		AG
132 Recovery of Defaulted	Marine Product Liability	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC		Prison Condition	Litigatie	on	
	Motor Vehicle	158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28	Assistanticular of	RFEITURE/	□ 791 Empl. R		
□ 850 Securities/Commodities/ □ 153 Recovery of	Motor Vehicle	1100 157	1	PENALTY Agriculture	Security PROPERTY		TTS 2TT
Exchange Overpayment of □ 360	Product Liability Other Personal	CIVIL RIGHTS		Other Food &	□ 820 Copyrig		110
USC 3410 Veteran's Benefits USC 3410 D 160 Stockholders' Suits	Injury	441 Voting		Drug	₩ 830 Patent		
□ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 190 Other Contract	Personal Injury- Med Malpractice	☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/Acco-	11 023	Drug Related Seizure of	☐ 840 Tradem SOCIAL SI		TV .
	Personal Injury-	mmodations	l		□ 861 HIA (13		
□ 892 Economic Stabilization Liability Act □ 196 Franchise □ 368	Product Liability		L 620	881	□ 862 Black L		
Act ☐ 196 Franchise ☐ 368 ☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ REAL PROPERTY	Asbestos Persona Injury Product	l □ 445 American with Disabilities -		Liquor Laws R.R. & Truck	□ 863 DIWC/I (405(g)		
□ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 210 Land Condemnation	Liability	Employment		Airline Regs	□ 864 SSID T		'I
	MMIGRATION	☐ 446 American with		Occupational	□ 865 RSI (40	5(g))	
□ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal □ 240 Torts to Land □ 462	Naturalization Application	Disabilities - Other	F3 400	Safety /Health	FEDERAL T	AX SU	
	Habeas Corpus-	□ 440 Other Civil	□ 690	Offici	□ 870 Taxes () or Defe		aintiit
□ 950 Constitutionality of □ 290 All Other Real Property	Alien Detainee	Rights		-	□ 871 IRS-Th		ty 26
State Statutes	Other Immigration Actions	OR			USC 76	09	
CACTIO ARREL CIC (AND)							
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number:							
AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV 71. COMPLETE THE INFORMATION DECUESTED DELOW							

Case 8:13-cvunuusdistricatas inistricatas in

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Ha If yes, list case number(s):	s this action been pr	eviously filed in this court and o	dismissed, remanded or closed? ■No □ Yes	
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Hav If yes, list case number(s):	e any cases been pre	viously filed in this court that a	re related to the present case? ♥No □ Yes	
□ C.	Arise from the same Call for determination For other reasons w	or closely related transactions, on of the same or substantially a ould entail substantial duplicati	, happenings, or events; or related or similar questions of law and fact; or on of labor if heard by different judges; or and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.	
IX. VENUE: (When completing the	following informati	on, use an additional sheet if ne	ecessary.)	
(a) List the County in this District; Check here if the government, in	California County o s agencies or emplo	utside of this District; State if o yees is a named plaintiff. If thi	ther than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. is box is checked, go to item (b).	
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country	
		T	HE TAWNSAURA GROUP, LLC - Washoe County, Nevada	
(b) List the County in this District; □ Check here if the government, it	California County of s agencies or emplo	ntside of this District; State if or yees is a named defendant. If the	ther than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. his box is checked, go to item (c).	
County in this District:*		C	California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country	
		M	I.D. SCIENCE LAB, LLC - Broward County, FL	
(c) List the County in this District; (Note: In land condemnation ca	California County or	atside of this District; State if or n of the tract of land involved	ther than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.	
County in this District:*		C	California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country	
Plaintiff's Claim - Orange Count	y, CA			
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernar Note: In land condemnation cases, us	dino, Riverside, Ve	ntura, Santa Barbara, or San tract of land involved	Luis Obispo Counties	
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PRO PER):	-/US/. No	Date January 9, 2013	
or other papers as required by law but is used by the Clerk of the Co	7. This form, approve ourt for the purpose of	ed by the Judicial Conference of	tion contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings f the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)	
Key to Statistical codes relating to So	cial Security Cases:			
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of Ca	ause of Action	
861	HIA	All claims for health insuranc Also, include claims by hospi program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF	the benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Itals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the (b))	
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)		
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))		
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))		
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.		
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))		

CV-71 (05/08) CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 2 of 2