	Case4:12-cv-04230-PJH Document35	5 Filed01/30/13 Page1 of 7			
1	HEIDI L. KEEFE (178960) (hkeefe@cooley.com) MARK R. WEINSTEIN (193043) (mweinstein@cooley.com) BRIAN P. WIKNER (244292) (bwikner@cooley.com) ANDREW C. MACE (284484) (amace@cooley.com) COOLEY LLP Five Palo Alto Square 3000 El Camino Real Palo Alto, CA 94306-2155 Telephone: (650) 843-5000 Facsimile: (650) 849-7400				
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff Facebook, Inc.				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	FACEBOOK, INC.,	Case No. 4:12-cv-4230-PJH			
12	Plaintiff,	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT			
13	V.	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL			
14	MITEL NETWORKS CORP., a Canadian corporation;				
15 16	MITEL (DELAWARE), INC., a Delaware corporation,				
17	Defendants.				
18	Plaintiff Facebook, Inc. ("Facebool	k") submits this First Amended Complaint			
19	("Complaint") for Patent Infringement against Defendants Mitel Networks Corporation and Mitel				
20	(Delaware), Inc. (collectively "Mitel") as set fo	(Delaware), Inc. (collectively "Mitel") as set forth below:			
21	BACK	BACKGROUND			
22	1. On March 16, 2012, Mitel filed a lawsuit against Facebook in the United States				
23	District Court for the District of Delaware alleging infringement of two Mitel patents. The case				
24	brought by Mitel is styled <i>Mitel Networks Corp. v. Facebook, Inc.</i> , Case No. 1:12-cv-00325-GMS				
25	("the Delaware Action").				
26					
27					
28	dismiss with respect to Mitel's complaint, and a motion to transfer to this District. Facebook's				
AW		1. FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT - 4:12-CV-4230-PJH			

Case4:12-cv-04230-PJH Document35 Filed01/30/13 Page2 of 7

1	motion to dismiss and motion to transfer are currently pending before the Delaware Court.			
2	3. Facebook accordingly brings this action in this District based on Mitel's			
3	infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,454,709 ("the '709 patent") and 7,778,396 ("the '396 patent")			
4	(collectively the "Asserted Patents"), as described herein.			
5	THE PARTIES			
6	4. Facebook is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business located at			
7	1601 Willow Road, Menlo Park, California, 94025.			
8	5. On information and belief, Defendant Mitel Networks Corporation is a Canadian			
9	corporation with its principal place of business located at 350 Leggett Drive, Ottawa, Ontario			
10	Canada, K2K 2W7.			
11	6. On information and belief, Defendant "Mitel (Delaware), Inc." has its principal			
12	place of business at 7300 W. Boston Street, Chandler, Arizona 85226 and is incorporated in			
13	Delaware. On information and belief, that company is a subsidiary of Mitel Networks			
14	Corporation and is responsible for Mitel's U.S. operations, including sales and marketing.			
15	7. Mitel purports to be a global provider of business communications and			
16	collaboration software, hardware, and services. Among its offerings are the Mitel Unified			
17	Communicator Advanced, Mitel 5000 Communications Platform, and Mitel Communications			
18	Director products. Mitel provides on its website detailed instructions on how to implement,			
19	configure, and operate these products in a customer environment, including product manuals,			
20	specifications, and data sheets. Mitel likewise offers configuration services and works directly			
21	with customers and potential customers to choose and implement particular configurations of			
22	Mitel products, including Mitel Unified Communicator Advanced, Mitel 5000 Communications			
23	Platform, and Mitel Communications Director.			
24	8. Mitel's website features profiles of customers that Mitel assists in implementing			
25	communications solutions using the Mitel Unified Communicator Advanced, Mitel 5000			
26	Communications Platform, and Mitel Communications Director products. For example, the Mitel			

COOLEY LLP Attorneys At Law Palo Alto

27

28

website includes a case study for Pacific City Bank, located in Los Angeles, California, which

indicates that Pacific City implements and operates Mitel Unified Communicator Advanced for

Case4:12-cv-04230-PJH Document35 Filed01/30/13 Page3 of 7

110 users. Mitel also advertises that customers Link Engineering Company, based in Plymouth,
 Michigan, and Intrasphere Technologies, Inc., located in New Jersey, also implement Mitel
 Unified Communicator Advanced. On information and belief, Mitel has provided each of these
 customers with support, including documentation and instructions on how to operate Unified
 Communicator Advanced and the telephone line status and contact list features of that product.

9. On information and belief, Mitel includes these customer profiles and case studies
on its website as a means of marketing its products and services, including Mitel Unified
Communicator Advanced, Mitel 5000 Communications Platform, and Mitel Communications
Director, and the features of those products, to potential customers.

10

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

11 10. This is an action for infringement of the Asserted Patents. This action arises under
12 the patent laws of the United States, including 35 U.S.C. § 101, *et seq*. This Court has original
13 jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1338.

14 11. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Mitel because it regularly conducts
15 business, including selling products, in the State of California and has had continuous and
16 systematic contacts with the State of California, including maintaining an office in this District
17 located at 6475 Christie Ave., Suite 325, Emeryville, California 94608.

18 12. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c) and
19 § 1400(b) because Mitel has committed acts of infringement and maintains a regular and
20 established place of business in this District at 6475 Christie Ave., Suite 325, Emeryville,
21 California 94608.

22

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

23 13. This case is an Intellectual Property Action subject to district-wide assignment
24 under Civil Local Rule 3-2(c).

25

THE PATENTS

14. The '709 patent, entitled "User Definable On-Line Co-User Lists," issued on
November 18, 2008, naming Barry Appelman as the inventor. Facebook is the owner of all right,
title, and interest in the '709 patent. A true and correct copy of the '709 patent is attached hereto

Case4:12-cv-04230-PJH Document35 Filed01/30/13 Page4 of 7

1 as **Exhibit A** and is incorporated herein.

15. The '396 patent, entitled "Telephone Status Notification System," issued on
August 17, 2010, naming Joseph Vardi, Arie Vardi, Joseph Vigiser, and Yair Goldfinger, as
inventors. Facebook is the owner of all right, title, and interest in the '396 patent. A true and
correct copy of the '396 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B and is incorporated herein.

COUNT I

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '709 PATENT

8 16. Facebook incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1-15 above as9 though fully set forth herein.

9

6

7

10 17. Mitel has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '709 patent
11 under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by making, using, offering for sale and/or selling within the United
12 States, or importing into the United States, network-based messaging and presence software and
13 hardware, including, but not limited to, the Mitel Unified Communicator Advanced product,
14 without authorization by Facebook.

15 18. Since at least August 10, 2012, when Mitel received notice of the Asserted Patents 16 through the filing of Facebook's Complaint for Patent Infringement (Dkt. No. 1), Mitel has 17 further, and without authorization, induced third parties, including its customers and potential 18 customers, to infringe the '709 patent, alone or in conjunction with Mitel. Mitel's website has 19 included, and continues to provide, product manuals and instructions for the Mitel Unified 20 Communicator Advanced product that encourage and induce customers to perform, alone or in 21 conjunction with Mitel, one or more claims of the '709 patent, including at least claims 33, 34, 22 36-38, 41, and 44-46. Mitel's website specifically identifies customers who, on information and 23 belief, are currently performing, alone or in conjunction with Mitel, one or more claims of the 24 '709 patent through their use of the Mitel Unified Communicator Advanced product. On 25 information and belief, through these and other actions, Mitel has encouraged third parties to 26 commit acts that Mitel knew would induce actual infringement of the '709 patent.

27 19. Mitel's infringement of the '709 patent has caused and will continue to cause
28 damage to Facebook for which Facebook is entitled to recovery under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

Facebook has been irreparably harmed by Mitel's infringement, for which there is no adequate
 remedy at law, and such harm will continue unless Mitel is enjoined by this Court.

<u>COUNT II</u>

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '396 PATENT

5 20. Facebook incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1-15 above as
6 though fully set forth herein.

Mitel has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '396 patent
under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by making, using, offering for sale and/or selling within the United
States, or importing into the United States, communications and presence software and hardware,
including, but not limited to, the Mitel Unified Communicator Advanced, Mitel 5000
Communications Platform, and Mitel Communications Director products, without authorization
by Facebook.

13 22. Since at least August 10, 2012, when Mitel received notice of the Asserted Patents 14 through the filing of Facebook's Complaint for Patent Infringement (Dkt. No. 1), Mitel has 15 further, and without authorization, induced third parties, including its customers and potential 16 customers, to infringe the '396 patent, alone or in conjunction with Mitel. Mitel's website has 17 included, and continues to provide, product manuals and instructions for the Mitel Unified 18 Communicator Advanced, Mitel 5000 Communications Platform, and Mitel Communications 19 Director products that encourage and induce customers to perform, alone or in conjunction with 20 Mitel, one or more claims of the '396 patent, including at least claims 1-3 and 6-7. Mitel's 21 website specifically identifies customers who, on information and belief, are currently 22 performing, alone or in conjunction with Mitel, one or more claims of the '396 patent through 23 their use of the Mitel Unified Communicator Advanced, Mitel 5000 Communications Platform, 24 and Mitel Communications Director products. On information and belief, through these and other 25 actions, Mitel has encouraged third parties to commit acts that Mitel knew would induce actual 26 infringement of the '396 patent.

27 23. Mitel's infringement of the '396 patent has caused and will continue to cause
28 damage to Facebook for which Facebook is entitled to recovery under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

3

4

Case4:12-cv-04230-PJH Document35 Filed01/30/13 Page6 of 7

1	Facebook has been irreparably harmed by Mitel's infringement, for which there is no adequate				
2	remedy at law, and such harm will continue unless Mitel is enjoined by this Court.				
3	PRAYER FOR RELIEF				
4	Wherefore, Facebook respectfully requests the following relief:				
5	А.	A. A judgment that Mitel has directly infringed, and induced infringement of,			ged, and induced infringement of, the
6		'709 and '396 par	tents;		
7	B.	An award of dam	ages in favor	r of Facebook	in an amount sufficient to compensate
8		it for Mitel's infr	ingement, inc	cluding pre- ar	nd post-judgment interest;
9	C.	An order enjoinin	ng Mitel and	its officers, di	rectors, agents, employees, successors,
10		and assigns, and	all persons	acting under	, through, or for Mitel, from further
11		infringement of the	he '709 and '	396 patents;	
12	D.	A declaration that	at this case is	s exceptional	under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and awarding
13		Facebook its atto	rneys' fees ar	nd costs in cor	nnection with this case; and
14	E.	An award to Face	ebook of such	n other and fur	ther relief as this Court deems just and
15		proper.			
16	Dated: Januar	v 30 2013		Respectfully	submitted
17		<i>j 5</i> 0, <i>2</i> 0 1 <i>5</i>		respectally	suomitte a,
18				/s/ Brian P. V	Vikner
19				Brian P. Wik	
20				Heidi L. Kee Mark R. Wei	
21				Andrew C. M COOLEY LI	face
22				Five Palo Alt 3000 El Cam	
23				Palo Alto, CA	A 94306-2155
24				Attorneys for Facebook, In	
25 26					
27 28					
20 Cooley LLP Attorneys At Law Palo Alto				6.	First Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement - 4:12-cv-4230-PJH

	Case4:12-cv-04230-PJH	Document35 Filed01/30/13 Page7 of 7				
1		JURY DEMAND				
2	Facebook, Inc. demands a trial by jury as to any and all matters to which it is so entitled.					
3	,	r accook, me. demands a triar by jury as to any and an matters to which it is so entitled.				
4						
5	Dated: January 30, 2013	Respectfully submitted,				
6		/s/ Brian P. Wikner				
7		Brian P. Wikner				
8		Heidi L. Keefe Mark R. Weinstein Andrew C. Mace				
9 10		COOLEY LLP Five Palo Alto Square 3000 El Camino Real Pala Alto, CA, 04206 2155				
11		Palo Alto, CA 94306-2155				
12		Attorneys for Plaintiff Facebook, Inc.				
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
LP t Law 0		7. FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT - 4:12-CV-4230-PJH				